

Minutes  
of the joint  
RPO Technical Coordinating Committee  
And  
Transportation Advisory Committee  
Called Meeting  
June 25, 2013

TCC: Joe Albright, Betty Moore, David Bone, Judy Lannon, James Rhodes, Hood Richardson, Daryl Vreeland

TAC: Tom Coulson, Dempsey Bond, Robert Cayton

State: Jerry Jennings, Gretchen Byrum, Jeff Cabaniss, Beshad Norowzi, Carlos Moya

Staff: Bryant Buck, Justin Oakes, Theresa Harris

Chair Albright called the meeting to order and then proceeded to read the Conflict of Interest statement which applies to both the TCC and TAC. No members of the TCC or TAC indicated a conflict.

Chair Albright asked each one present to introduce themselves.

**Overview of Strategic Mobility Formula**

**Jeff Cabaniss, NCDOT Planner  
Daryl Vreeland, GUAMPO Planner  
Gretchen Byrum, NCDOT Planner**

This presentation provides an overview of the proposed formulas that have been discussed and agreed upon by RPO Staff, MPO Staff, and Division Engineers in response to the Governor's newly proposed Strategic Mobility Formula. Under the new formula, the Statewide Tier would receive 40% of the funding, with no input from the Regional or Division Level.

The proposed quantitative criteria discussed today are at the Regional Level (Regions A and B) and at the Division Level (Divisions 1 and 2). Both the Regional and Division Tiers will receive 30% of funding.

Daryl Vreeland, GUAMPO Planner, gave a summary of the program. Mr. Vreeland stated that what is under consideration at this meeting today is to change this default to what was recommended by the East of 95 Coalition.

There was a lengthy discussion on this presentation and following actions were taken.

As defined by the legislation and the North Carolina Department of Transportation, the Mid-East RPO is located in Regions A and B and Divisions 1 and 2. The set of quantitative criteria which has been proposed to evaluate Regional Impact Projects for Region A jurisdictions is: 20% Benefit-Cost; 15% Congestion; 15% Safety; 10% Lane Width and 10% Shoulder Width. The set of quantitative criteria which has been proposed to evaluate Regional Impact Projects for Region B jurisdictions is: 20% Benefit-Cost; 25% Safety and 25% Multimodal. The set of quantitative criteria which has been proposed to

evaluate Division Needs Projects for Divisions 1 and 4 jurisdictions is: 10% Benefit-Cost; 10% Congestion; 10% Safety; 10% Lane Width and 10% Shoulder Width. The set of quantitative criteria which has been proposed to evaluate Division Needs Projects for Divisions 2 and 3 jurisdictions is: 20% Safety; 20% Congestion and 10% Multimodal.

### **Resolution Supporting Strategic Prioritization Funding**

Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs), Rural Transportation Planning Organizations (RPOs) and the North Carolina Department of Transportation Division Engineers have been given the opportunity to define their own quantitative criteria and formulas for the quantitative evaluation of Regional Impact Projects and Division Needs Projects using the criteria outlined by the Strategic Planning Office of Transportation Workgroup. The Mid-East Rural Planning Organization's supports the presented criteria for the quantitative evaluation of Regional Impact Projects and Division Need Projects for Regions A and B, and Divisions 1 and 2.

### **TCC**

Joe Albright, TCC Chair, stated that the TCC is making a recommendation to the TAC for action on this resolution.

Recommendation to TAC to accept Division Weighting on Divisions 2 & 3:

Motion was made by James Rhodes

Seconded by David Bone

Motion carried.

Recommendation to TAC in supporting recommendations for Divisions 1 & 4:

Motion: David Bone

Seconded by James Rhodes

Motion Carried.

### **TAC**

Motion to accept recommendations from the TCC.

Motion made by Tom Coulson

Seconded by Robert Cayton

Motion Carried.

Motion to TAC from TCC to approve Weighting for Divisions 1 & 2

Motion made by James Rhodes

Seconded by David Bone

Motion Carried

Motion accepted by TAC from TCC of recommendation for approval of Weighting for Divisions 1 & 2

Motion made by Tom Coulson

Seconded by Robert Cayton

Motion carried.

Motion for meeting to adjourn made by Dempsey Bond

Seconded by Robert Cayton

Meeting was adjourned.