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## How STI Works

| $40 \%$ of Funds |
| :---: |
| Statewide Mobility |

Focus = Addressing significant congestion and bottlenecks

Score =
100\% Quantitative Data


Funding based on equal share for each Division (14)


| Mode | Statewide Mobility | Regional Impact | Division Needs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highway | - Interstates (existing \& future) <br> - National Highway System routes (as of 2013) <br> - STRAHNET ${ }^{1}$ <br> - Designated Toll Facilities | Other US and NC Routes | - All Secondary Roads (SR) <br> - Federal-Aid Eligible Local Roads |
| Aviation | Large Commercial Service Airports | Other Commercial Service Airports not in Statewide | All Airports without Commercial Service (General Aviation) |
| BicyclePedestrian | N/A | N/A | All projects <br> (\$0 state highway trust funds) |
| Public Transportation | N/A | Service spanning two or more counties | All other service, including terminals and stations |
| Ferry | N/A | Vessel or infrastructure expansion | Replacement vessels |
| Rail | Freight Service on Class-I Railroad Corridors | Rail service spanning two or more counties not in Statewide | All other service, including terminals and stations (no short lines) |

${ }^{1}$ STRAHNET - Strategic Highway Network, system of roads deemed necessary for emergency mobilization and peacetime movement of personnel and equipment to support U.S. military operations

## Scoring Process

Projects Submitted by MPOs, RPOs, \& Divisions

1. Reviewed for eligibility
2. Data screened \& developed
3. Quantitative scores calculated


## Statewide Mobility 40\% of Funds

1. Projects programmed
2. Projects not programmed cascaded to next category

Statewide Mobility Score = 100\% Quantitative

## Regional Impact 30\% of Funds

1. Local input points assigned
2. Total scores calculated
3. Projects programmed
4. Projects not programmed cascaded to next category

Regional Impact Score = 70\% Quantitative + 30\% Local Input

Division Needs
30\% of Funds

1. Local input points assigned
2. Total scores calculated
3. Projects programmed

Division Needs Score = 50\% Quantitative + 50\% Local Input

## State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP)

- STIP identifies funding and scheduling of projects in NCDOT's capital program ( $\sim 55 \%$ of DOT Budget)
- 10 Year Program (currently 2020-2029)
- First half is "Delivery STIP" - committed projects
-Second half is "Developmental STIP" - projects in early scoping and environmental development stage
- Updated approximately every 2 years
- STIP contains different project types:
- Highway \& non-highway (Prioritization)
- Bridges, safety, Interstate Maintenance, CMAQ


## Projects Scheduled for Delivery / Years Subject to Reprioritization



Blue = Projects to evaluate through Prioritization process

Prioritization
Process

*Produced by SPOT Office*



# MID-EAST RURAL PLANNING ORGANIZATION PRIORITIZATION 7.0 SUBMITTAL DATA 

Total P7 Projects: 65
Submitted by MERPO: 54
Submitted by Other: 11

Aviation Projects: 4
Bicycle \& Pedestrian Projects: 18
Ferry Projects: 6
Highway Projects: 30
Public Transit Projects: 4
Rail Projects: 3

Combined Estimated Cost for all Projects: $\$ 5,567,858,165.94$

## PERCENTAGE OF PROJECT SUBMITTALS BY MODE



13

## PROJECT SUBMITTALS BY FUNDING CATEGORY



PROJECT SUBMITTAL TYPE


## ESTIMATED COSTS: HIGHWAY VS. NON-HIGHWAY



## ESTIMATED COSTS: NON-HIGHWAY MODES



AVIATION

| INTERNAL ID | ID Created by MERPO Staff for tracking purposes. |
| :---: | :---: |
| SUBMITTAL TYPE | New: First time project is being submitted. Includes previously submitted projects that have been segmented. Uses one submittal slot. <br> Holding Tank: Project was submitted in previous Prioritization Cycle, but did not score high-enough for funding and is being submitted again in current cycle. Uses one submittal slot. |
| P7 SUBMITTER | RPO, MPO or Division that is submitting the project in SPOT Online. |
| SPOT ID | Unique Code for Projects that have been submitted in SPOT Online. All projects will have a SPOT ID. |
| TIP | Unique Code for Projects that score(d) high-enough to be included in the STIP (Funded or Unfunded). TIP numbers are only used for projects that have been or are currently in the STIP. |
| COUNTY | County where project is located in. |
| MUNICIPALITY | Municipality where project is located in and/or intersects. Projects that are located in or cross thorugh nonincorporated areas may include "Unincorporated" in this section. |
| DIVISION | Division where project is located. North Carolina has 14 total, which are used for the distribution of Division Needs Funding for Projects. <br> Martin: Division 1 <br> Beaufort \& Pitt: Division 2 |
| STIP REGION | STIP Region where project is located. North Carolina has seven total, which are used for the distribution of Regional Impact Funding for projects. <br> Martin: Region A <br> Beaufort \& Pitt: Region B |
| DOA REGION | Division of Aviation (DOA) Region where project is located. All MERPO Aviation Projects are within the Northeast (NE) DOA Region. |
| RPO / MPO | RPO(s) or MPO(s) where the project is located and/or intersects. |
| AIRPORT | Airport where project is located. |
| AIRPORT SPONSOR | The Board/Commission of the Airport where the project is located. |
| FUNDING CATEGORY | Funding Category that the project was submitted in. NCDOT has three: <br> Statewide Mobility, Regional Impact, and Division Needs. <br> General Aviation Airports can only be submitted in the Division Needs Category. |
| SIT | Specific Improvement Type. Categorizes what type of project is being submitted. |
| PROJECT DESCRIPTION | Describes what improvements / deliverables are being seeked in project. |
| EST. SOURCE | Source of Cost Estimate for Project. |
| EST. TOT | Total estimated cost for project. |

BICYCLE \& PEDESTRIAN

| INTERNAL ID | ID Created by MERPO Staff for tracking purposes. |
| :---: | :---: |
| SUBMITTAL TYPE | New: First time project is being submitted. Includes previously submitted projects that have been segmented. Uses one submittal slot. <br> Holding Tank: Project was submitted in previous Prioritization Cycle, but did not score high-enough for funding and is being submitted again in current cycle. Uses one submittal slot. |
| P7 SUBMITTER | RPO, MPO or Division that is submitting the project in SPOT Online. |
| SPOT ID | Unique Code for Projects that have been submitted in SPOT Online. All projects will have a SPOT ID. |
| TIP | Unique Code for Projects that score(d) high-enough to be included in the STIP (Funded or Unfunded). TIP numbers are only used for projects that have been or are currently in the STIP. |
| COUNTY | County where project is located and/or intersects. |
| MUNICIPALITY | Municipality where project is located and/or intersects. Projects that are located in or cross thorugh nonincorporated areas may include "Unincorporated" in this section. |
| DIVISION | Division where project is located. North Carolina has 14 total, which are used for the distribution of Division Needs Funding for Projects. <br> Martin: Division 1 <br> Beaufort \& Pitt: Division 2 |
| RPO / MPO | RPO(s) or MPO(s) where the project is located and/or intersects. |
| FUNDING CATEGORY | Funding Category that the project was submitted in. NCDOT has three: <br> Statewide Mobility, Regional Impact, and Division Needs. <br> Bicycle \& Pedestrian Projects can only be submitted in the Division Needs Category |
| SIT | Specific Improvement Type. Categorizes what type of project is being submitted. |
| ROUTE | Specifies roadway(s) that the project is located on and/or parralels. |
| FROM | Specifies location where project begins. Intersecting roadways are most often used. |
| T0 | Specifies location where project ends. Intersecting roadways are most often used. |
| PROJECT DESCRIPTION | Describes what improvements / deliverables are being seeked in project. |
| CTP | Answers whether or not the project is reccomended in the cognizant County(s) Comprehensive Transportation Plan (CTP). |
| EST. SOURCE | Source of cost estimate for project. Note: Cost Estimates for all MERPO Bicycle \& Pedestrian projects originated from NCDOT's Bike/Ped Cost Estimation Tool (BPCET). |
| EST. PE | Estimated cost for preliminary engineering to be performed for project. |
| EST. ROW | Estimated cost for all right-of-way needed for project. |
| EST. UTL | Estimated cost for the relocation of existing utilities for project. |
| EST. CON | Estimated cost for construction of project. |
| EST. TOT | Total estimated cost for project. Includes PE, ROW, UTL, and CON. |

FERRY
$\left.\begin{array}{|c|l|}\hline \text { INTERNAL ID } & \begin{array}{l}\text { ID Created by MERPO Staff for tracking } \\ \text { purposes. }\end{array} \\ \hline & \begin{array}{l}\text { New: First time project is being } \\ \text { submitted. Includes previously submitted } \\ \text { projects that have been segmented. } \\ \text { Uses one submittal slot. } \\ \text { Holding Tank: Project was submitted in } \\ \text { previous Prioritization Cycle, but did not } \\ \text { score high-enough for funding and is }\end{array} \\ \text { SUBMITTAL TYPE } & \begin{array}{l}\text { being submitted again in current cycle. } \\ \text { Uses one submittal slot. } \\ \text { SBO: Submitted By Other. Project that } \\ \text { benefits multiple Ferry Routes (including } \\ \text { Aurora-Bayview) that is being submitted } \\ \text { by another RPO/MPO or Division. Does } \\ \text { not use submittal slot. *Highlighted in } \\ \text { Grey* }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { PROJECT } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Describes what improvements / } \\ \text { deliverables are being seeked in project. }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { SESCRIPTION } \\ \hline \text { EST. SOURCE } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Source of cost estimate for project. }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { EST. TOT } & \text { Total estimated cost for project. }\end{array}\right\}$

## HIGHWAY

| INTERNAL ID | ID Created by MERPO Staff for tracking purposes. |
| :---: | :---: |
| SUBMITTAL TYPE | New: First time project is being submitted. Includes previously submitted projects that have been segmented. Uses one submittal slot. <br> Holding Tank: Project was submitted in previous Prioritization Cycle, but did not score high-enough for funding and is being submitted again in current cycle. Uses one submittal slot. <br> Carryover: Project that was submitted in previous Prioritization Cycle, and scored high-enough to be included in the STIP but not high-enough for funding. Includes projects that are "siblings" of committed (funded) projects. Does not use submittal slot. *Highlighted in Pink* <br> SBO: Submitted By Other. Project that is located in and/or intersects MERPO Boundaries that is being submitted by another RPO/MPO or Division. Does not use submittal slot. *Highlighted in Grey* |
| P7 SUBMITTER | RPO, MPO or Division that is submitting the project in SPOT Online. |
| SPOT ID | Unique Code for Projects that have been submitted in SPOT Online. All projects will have a SPOT ID. |
| TIP | Unique Code for Projects that score(d) high-enough to be included in the STIP (Funded or Unfunded). TIP numbers are only used for projects that have been or are currently in the STIP. |
| COUNTY(S) | County(s) where project is located in and/or intersects. |
| MUNICIPALITY(S) | Municipality(s) where project is located in and/or intersects. Projects that are located in or cross thorugh non-incorporated areas may include "Unincorporated" in this section. |
| DIVISION(S) | Division(s) where project is located. North Carolina has 14 total, which are used for the distribution of Division Needs Funding for Projects. <br> Martin: Division 1 <br> Beaufort \& Pitt: Division 2 |
| STIP REGION(S) | STIP Region(s) where project is located. North Carolina has seven total, which are used for the distribution of Regional Impact Funding for projects. <br> Martin: Region A <br> Beaufort \& Pitt: Region B |
| RPO(S) / MPO(S) | RPO(s) or MPO(s) where the project is located in and/or intersects. |
| FUNDING CATEGORY | Funding Category that the project was submitted in. NCDOT has three: Statewide Mobility, Regional Impact, and Division Needs. |
| SIT | Specific Improvement Type. Categorizes what type of project is being submitted. |
| ROUTE | Roadway in which the project is located on. |
| FROM | Location where project begins. Usually a side street. |
| T0 | Location where project ends. Usually a side street. |
| PROJECT DESCRIPTION | Describes what improvements / deliverables are being seeked in project. |
| CTP | Answers whether or not the project is reccomended in the cognizant County(s) Comprehensive Transportation Plan (CTP). |
| EST. SOURCE | Source of cost estimate for project. May originate from NCDOT Highway Cost Estimation Tool (HWCET) or from Feasibility Study (FS). <br> HWCET* signifies that a feasibility study is in-progress for the project, but final cost estimates are not available yet. Cost estimates shown for these projects are from the HWCET. |
| EST. ROW | Estimated cost for all right-of-way needed for project. |
| EST. UTL | Estimated cost for the relocation of existing utilities for project. |
| EST. CON | Estimated cost for construction of project. |
| EST. TOT | Total estimated cost for project. Includes ROW, UTL, and CON. |

## PUBLIC TRANSIT

| INTERNAL ID | ID Created by MERPO Staff for tracking purposes. |
| :---: | :---: |
| SUBMITTAL TYPE | New: First time project is being submitted. Includes previously submitted projects that have been segmented. Uses one submittal slot. <br> Holding Tank: Project was submitted in previous Prioritization Cycle, but did not score high-enough for funding and is being submitted again in current cycle. Uses one submittal slot. |
| P7 SUBMITTER | RPO, MPO or Division that is submitting the project in SPOT Online. |
| SPOT ID | Unique Code for Projects that have been submitted in SPOT Online. All projects will have a SPOT ID. |
| TIP | Unique Code for Projects that score(d) high-enough to be included in the STIP (Funded or Unfunded). TIP numbers are only used for projects that have been or are currently in the STIP. |
| COUNTY | County(s) where project is located and/or intersects. |
| DIVISION | Division where project is located. North Carolina has 14 total, which are used for the distribution of Division Needs Funding for Projects. <br> Martin: Division 1 <br> Beaufort \& Pitt: Division 2 |
| STIP REGION | STIP Region where project is located. North Carolina has seven total, which are used for the distribution of Regional Impact Funding for projects. <br> Martin: Region A <br> Beaufort \& Pitt: Region B |
| RPO / MPO | RPO or MPO where project is located in. |
| OPERATOR | Entity that manages Transit Agency. |
| TRANSIT AGENCY | Name of the Transit Agency that the project is for. |
| FUNDING CATEGORY | Funding Category that the project was submitted in. NCDOT has three: Statewide Mobility, Regional Impact, and Division Needs. |
| SIT | Specific Improvement Type. Categorizes what type of project is being submitted. |
| PROJECT DESCRIPTION | Describes what improvements / deliverables are being seeked in project. |
| EST. SOURCE | Source of cost estimate for project. |
| EST. TOT | Total estimated cost for project. |

RAIL

| INTERNAL ID | ID Created by MERPO Staff for tracking purposes. |
| :---: | :---: |
| SUBMITTAL TYPE | New: First time project is being submitted. Includes previously submitted projects that have been segmented. Uses one submittal slot. <br> Holding Tank: Project was submitted in previous Prioritization Cycle, but did not score high-enough for funding and is being submitted again in current cycle. Uses one submittal slot. |
| P7 SUBMITTER | RPO, MPO or Division that is submitting the project in SPOT Online. |
| SPOT ID | Unique Code for Projects that have been submitted in SPOT Online. All projects will have a SPOT ID. |
| TIP | Unique Code for Projects that score(d) high-enough to be included in the STIP (Funded or Unfunded). TIP numbers are only used for projects that have been or are currently in the STIP. |
| COUNTY(S) | County(s) where project is located and/or intersects. |
| MUNICIPALITY(S) | Municipality(s) where project is located and/or intersects. Projects that are located in or cross thorugh nonincorporated areas may include "Unincorporated" in this section. |
| DIVISION(S) | Division(s) where project is located. North Carolina has 14 total, which are used for the distribution of Division Needs Funding for Projects. <br> Martin: Division 1 <br> Beaufort \& Pitt: Division 2 |
| STIP RECION(S) | STIP Region(s) where project is located. North Carolina has seven total, which are used for the distribution of Regional Impact Funding for projects. <br> Martin: Region A <br> Beaufort \& Pitt: Region B |
| RPO(S) / MPO(S) | RPO(s) or MPO(s) where the project is located in and/or intersects. |
| OWNER(S) | Company that owns Railroad Right-ofWay for Project. |
| OPERATOR(S) | Company that operates on Railroad Right-of-Way for Project. Operater may also be the owner. |
| FUNDING CATEGORY | Funding Category that the project was submitted in. NCDOT has three: <br> Statewide Mobility, Regional Impact, and Division Needs. |
| SIT | Specific Improvement Type. Categorizes what type of project is being submitted. |
| FROM | Location where project begins. May be an unspecified location within a Municipality or a milepost. |
| T0 | Location where project ends. May be an unspecified location within a Municipality or a milepost. |
| PROJECT DESCRIPTION | Describes what improvements / deliverables are being seeked in project. |
| EST. SOURCE | Source of cost estimate for project. |
| EST. TOT | Total estimated cost for project. |



AVIATION

AVIATION PROJECTS SUBMITTED IN PRIORITIZATION 7.0
MID-EAST RURAL PLANNING ORGANIZATION

| AV | INTERNAL ID | SUBMITALL TYPE | P7 SUBMITTER | spot ID | TIP | counir | municipality | DIVISIoN | Stip region | doa region | RPO/ MPO | AIRPORT | AIRPORT SPonsor | FUNDING CATEGORY | SIT | PROJECT DESCRIPTIION | EST. SOURCE |  | EST. TOT | PAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | P7AV-01 | New | Mid-East RPO | A231354 | N/A | Matin | Unincorporated | 1 | A | NE | Mid-East RPO | Martin County (MCZ) | Martin County Airport Commission | Division Needs | $\begin{aligned} & 2100 \text { - Perimeter } \\ & \text { Fencing } \end{aligned}$ | Construct wildife exclusion fencing with barbed wire, and skirt around full perimeter of airport and replace existing fencing at main entrance and auxiliary driveway access. | WK Dickson | s | 1,800,000.00 | 22 |
|  | P7AV.02 | New | Mid-East RPO | A231355 | N/A | Matin | Unincorporated | 1 | B | NE | Mid-East RPO | Martin County (MCZ) | Martin County Airport Commission | Division Needs | 1900 - Hangars | Construct 231' ${ }^{\text {5 }}$ ' T -Hangar. | WK Dickson | \$ | 1,728,000.00 | 26 |
|  | P7AV-03 | New | Mid-East RPO | A231356 | N/A | Matin | Unincorporated | 1 | B | NE | Mid-East RPO | Martin County (MCZ) | Martin County Airport Commission | Division Needs | 200 - Runway <br> Safety Area | Acquire land adjacent to airport and remove trees. | WK Dickson | s | 648,000.00 | 30 |
|  | P7AV-04 | New | Mid-East RPO | A231357 | N/A | Beaufort | Wastington | 2 | B | NE | Mid-East RPO | WashingtonWarren (OCW | Washington-Warren Airport Authority Board | Division Needs | 1100 - Taxiway Requirements | Construct full-length parallel taxiway west of Runway 5-23. | Not Available |  | N/A | 34 |
|  | NOTE: ALL PROJECTS LISTED ARE UNFUNDED AND ARE CURRENTLY BEING SCORED BY THE NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION. PROJECT DETALLS \& COST ESTIMATES ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | SUM | \$ | 4,176,000.00 | AV |



# NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary 

## Fencing

Airport Name: MCZ - Martin County Airport
Location: Project is located at Martin County Airport in the central area of the county. Nearest municipality is the Town of Everetts to the south, and the area of influence is Martin County.

Specific Improvement Type: 2100 - Perimeter Fencing
Project Category: Division Needs
TIP \#:

Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No
Cost to NCDOT: \$1,800,000

## Description:

MCZ currently lacks full perimeter fencing. Wildlife such as deer are frequently spotted on the runway, causing significant safety issues for pilots attempting to take off and land. The Martin County Airport Commission wishes to have wildlife exclusion fencing with barbed wire and skirt around the full perimeter of the airport in order to prevent wildlife and trespassers from encroaching. Includes PC Project Request Number: 3063.
Division(s): Division 1

## County(s): Martin

MPO(s)/RPO(s): Mid-East RPO

## Project Location



Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled Value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| NCDOA Project Rating | NCDOA Project Rating (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| FAA ACIP Rating | FAA ACIP Rating (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Constructability Index | Constructability Index (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Benefit/Cost | Benefit/Cost (100\%) | 0 | 0 |

## Project Data

## Data:

| Project Local ID: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Included in Airport Layout Plan <br> or Other Plan? | Yes |
| Name and Year of Plan: | MCZ ALP (Date N/A) |
| Airport Sponsor: | Martin County Airport <br> Commission |
| Submitted by: | Mid-East RPO |
| Original Submitter: | Mid-East RPO |

Project Cost:

| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 0$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 0$ |
| Construction Cost: | $\$ 1,800,000$ |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 1,800,000$ |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ |
| Other Funding Source(s): |  |
| Cost to NCDOT: | $\$ 1,800,000$ |
| Source of Cost <br> Estimaton: | WK Dickson |

## Project Ownership

Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 1 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Division Points | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-East RPO | 100 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total MPO/RPO Points | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: This project would significantly improve safety for pilots using MCZ, and would also help to retain and attract customers.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

# NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary 

## 10-Unit T-Hangar

Airport Name: MCZ - Martin County Airport
Location: Project is located at Martin County Airport, which sits near the Town of Everetts. Area of Influence is Martin County.

Specific Improvement Type: 1900 - Hangars
Project Category: Division Needs
TIP \#:
Cost to NCDOT: \$1,728,000

## Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

## Description:

Construct 231 ft . x 51 ft .10 -unit T-Hangar. Includes PC Project Request Number: 4646.

Division(s): Division 1
MPO(s)/RPO(s): Mid-East RPO

County(s): Martin

## Project Location

Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled Value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| NCDOA Project Rating | NCDOA Project Rating (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| FAA ACIP Rating | FAA ACIP Rating (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Constructability Index | Constructability Index (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Benefit/Cost | Benefit/Cost (100\%) | 0 | 0 |

## Project Data

## Data:

| Project Local ID: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Included in Airport Layout Plan <br> or Other Plan? | Yes |
| Name and Year of Plan: | MCZ ALP (Date N/A) |
| Airport Sponsor: | Martin County Airport <br> Commission |
| Submitted by: | Mid-East RPO |
| Original Submitter: | Mid-East RPO |

Project Cost:

| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 0$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 0$ |
| Construction Cost: | $\$ 1,728,000$ |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 1,728,000$ |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ |
| Other Funding Source(s): |  |
| Cost to NCDOT: | $\$ 1,728,000$ |
| Source of Cost <br> Estimaton: | WK Dickson |

## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 1 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Division Points | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-East RPO | 100 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total MPO/RPO Points | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Project would create additional aircraft storage space for customers, and would also provide additional space for MCZ staff. This would help to attract new customers, benefitting the economy of Martin County. Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## AWOS \& Beacon Clearing, and Land Acquisition

Airport Name: MCZ - Martin County Airport
Location: Project is located at Martin County Airport, near the Town of Everetts. Area of influence is Martin County.

Specific Improvement Type: 200 - Runway Safety Area
Project Category: Division Needs
TIP \#:
Cost to NCDOT: $\$ 648,000$

Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

## Description:

Acquire land adjacent to MCZ (east side) for clearing. Includes PC Project Request Number: 4876.

Division(s): Division 1
MPO(s)/RPO(s): Mid-East RPO

County(s): Martin

Project Location
Campbell Hill Rd

## Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled Value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| NCDOA Project Rating | NCDOA Project Rating (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| FAA ACIP Rating | FAA ACIP Rating (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Constructability Index | Constructability Index (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Benefit/Cost | Benefit/Cost (100\%) | 0 | 0 |

## Project Data

## Data:

| Project Local ID: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Included in Airport Layout Plan <br> or Other Plan? | Yes |
| Name and Year of Plan: | MCZ ALP, (Date N/A) |
| Airport Sponsor: | Martin County Airport <br> Commission |
| Submitted by: | Mid-East RPO |
| Original Submitter: | Mid-East RPO |

Project Cost:

| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 0$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 0$ |
| Construction Cost: | $\$ 648,000$ |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 648,000$ |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ |
| Other Funding Source(s): |  |
| Cost to NCDOT: | $\$ 648,000$ |
| Source of Cost <br> Estimaton: | WK Dickson |

## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 1 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Division Points | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |

## MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-East RPO | 100 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total MPO/RPO Points | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Project would improve overall safety through clearing of pine trees adjacent to MCZ. Pilots approaching from the east are having difficulties spotting the airport beacon as a result of tree heights.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary

## Runway 5-23 Taxiway

Airport Name: OCW - Warren Field
Location: Project is located at Washington-Warren
Airport (OCW). Area of influence is the City of Washington.

## Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

Project Category: Division Needs TIP \#:

Cost to NCDOT: \$0

## Description:

Construct full-length parallel taxiway along runway 5-23. Includes PC Project Request Number (Not Available)

Division(s): Division 2
MPO(s)/RPO(s): Mid-East RPO

County(s): Beaufort

Project Location

Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled Value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| NCDOA Project Rating | NCDOA Project Rating (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| FAA ACIP Rating | FAA ACIP Rating (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Constructability Index | Constructability Index (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Benefit/Cost | Benefit/Cost (100\%) | 0 | 0 |

## Project Data

## Data:

| Project Local ID: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Included in Airport Layout Plan <br> or Other Plan? | Yes |
| Name and Year of Plan: | OCW Airport Layout <br> Plan, 2022 |
| Airport Sponsor: | Washington-Warren <br> Airport Authority Board |
| Submitted by: | Mid-East RPO |
| Original Submitter: | Mid-East RPO |

Project Cost:

| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 0$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 0$ |
| Construction Cost: | $\$ 0$ |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 0$ |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ |
| Other Funding Source(s): |  |
| Cost to NCDOT: | $\$ 0$ |
| Source of Cost <br> Estimaton: | Not Available |

## Project Ownership

Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Division Points | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |

## MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-East RPO | 100 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total MPO/RPO Points | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Improve operational efficiency and capacity at OCW.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.


## BICYCLE \& PEDESTRIAN

BICYCLE \& PEDESTRIAN PROJECTS SUBMITTED IN PRIORITIZATION 7.0
MID-EAST RURAL PLANNING ORGANIATION

| BP | NTERNAL ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | SUBMITAL TYPE | P7 SUBumiter | SPOTID | T1P | counir | muncilality | ovision | RPO/ MPO | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{c} \text { FANODNG } \\ \text { CATECORY } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | sit | ROUTE | From | то | Prouect description | стр | Est. source |  | Est. PE |  | Est. Row | Est.utL |  | Est. con | Est. Tot | ${ }_{\text {Pat }}^{\text {Pa }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | P78P-01 | New | Mid-East RPO | ${ }^{1823139}$ | NA | Beautort | Aurora | 2 | Mid-East RPO | Divison Needs | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 7-Protected Linear } \\ \hline \text { Pedestrian Facility } \end{array}$ | Midele St | 8th St | 2 dSSt | Construct sidewalks along Middle Street from 8th Street to 2nd Street. | Yes | вPCET | s | 192,000.00 | s | 6,000.00 | s | s | 472,000.00 | S 670,000.00 | 41 |
|  | P78P-02 | New | Mid-East RPO | ${ }^{8231361}$ | NA | Beautort | Bah | 2 | Mid:East RPO | Divison Needs | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 7-Protected Linear } \\ \text { Pedestrian Facility } \end{array}$ | SR-1741 (King St) | NC.92 (Careeret St) | Fronst | Construct sidewalks along SR-1741 (King St) from NC-92 (Carteret St) to Front St | Yes | bPCET | s | 191,000.00 | s | 6,000.00 | s | s | 467,000.00 | S 664,000.00 | 45 |
|  | P778-03 | New | Mid-East RPO | ${ }^{18231363}$ | NA | Beatort | Behaven | 2 | Mid.East RPO | Divison Needs | 7-Protected Linear Pedestrian Faciliy | W Old Country Rd / E Old Country Rd | US.264 BYP | US-264 BUS (Panico St) |  | Yes | bPCET | s | 194,000.00 | s | 8.000.00 | S 118,00.00 | s | 612,000.00 | s 932,000.00 | 49 |
| B | P778-04 | New | Mdi-East RPo | ${ }^{8231365}$ | NA | Beautio | Chooowity | 2 | Mid.EastRPo | Divison Needs | $\left.\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { 7-Protedected Liniear } \\ \text { Pedestian facility } \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | Us-17 ${ }^{\text {Uus }}$ | SR-1143 (Patick L Ln) | NC.33 |  | Yes | BPCET | s | 157,000.00 | s | 4,000.00 | \$ 189,00,00 | s | 345,000.00 | S 69,000.00 | 53 |
| c | P78P.05 | Hoding Tank | Mid-East RPO | B19282 | NA | Beautort | Wastingon | 2 | Mid.East RPO | Divison Neds | $\left.\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { 7-Profecteded Linear } \\ \text { Pedestian Facility } \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | SR-1501 (Highand Dr) | Reed Dr | SR-1306 (E 12h St) |  | Yes | BPCET | s | 171,000.00 | s | 8,000.00 | \$ 146,000.00 | s | 561,000.00 | s 886,000.00 | 57 |
| Y | P78P-06 | New | Mid-East RPO | ${ }^{8230365}$ | NA | Beautort | Wastington | 2 | Mid-East RPO | Divison Needs | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c\|} \begin{array}{c} 3-\text { On-Ropod } \\ \text { Desinnaedicicle } \\ \text { Facily } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}\right.$ | SR-1422 ( N Marke St) | US-264 (E5t StI) | SR-1306 (E 15t St) |  | No | вPCET | s | 211,000.00 | s | 5,000.00 | S 98,00.00 | s | 488,000.00 | S 802,000.00 | 61 |
| L | P778-07 | New | Md.-East PPo | ${ }^{1231391}$ | NA | Matin | Bear Gass | 1 | Mid.East RPO | Divison Needs | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { - Protected Lineer } \\ \text { Pedestrian Facility } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { SR-1001 (E Baar Gass } \\ \text { Rad }}}{ }$ | SR-1106 ( N Rogers St) | Tayor Est |  | Yes | bPCET | s | 188,000.00 | s | 5,000.00 | \$ 299,00,00 | s | 666,000.00 | s 1,158,00.00 | 65 |
| E | P78P.08 | New | Mid-East PPo | 8231400 | NA | Matin | Hamilion | 1 | Mid.East RPO | Divison Needs | $\begin{array}{\|c} 7 \text { - Protected Linear } \\ \text { Pedestrian Facility } \end{array}$ | NC-125003 (S Fron St | SR-1433 ( W Man St) | SR-1429 (Peno Dr) |  | Yes | bPCET | s | 192,000.00 | s | 5,000.00 | \$ 138,00,00 | s | 690,000.00 | s 1,025,00.00 | 69 |
| \& | P778-09 | New | Mid-East PPo | ${ }^{8231401}$ | NA | Matrin | Jamesille | 1 | Mid-East RPO | Division Needs | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 7-Protected Linear } \\ \text { Pedestrian Facility } \end{array}$ | US.64 UUS (Main St) | Sunset A | Hadison | Construct sidewalks along US-64 BUS (Main St) from Sunset Ave to Hardison St. | Yes | вPCET | s | 125,000.00 | s | 2,000.00 | 39,000.00 | s | 199,000.00 | s 365,000.00 | 73 |
| P | P78P-10 | New | Md.East RPO | 8234402 | NA | Matin | Parmele | 1 | Mid.East RPO | Divison Needs | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 7 \text { - Protected Linear } \\ \text { Pedestrian Facility } \end{array}$ | James St | SR-1164 (N Main St) | Jones S | Construct sidewalks along James St from SR-1164 (N Main St) to Jones St | Yes | вPCET | s | 106,000.00 | s | 1,000.00 | \$ 15,000.00 | s | 118,000.00 | s 240,00.00 | 77 |
| E | P78P-11 | New | Mdi-East RPo | ${ }^{1231405}$ | NA | Matin | Robersonvile | 1 | Mid.East RPO | Divison Needs | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 7- Protected Lineer } \\ \text { Pedestrian Faciily } \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ |  | NGimes St | SR-1431 (Netson Rd) |  | Yes | вPCET | s | 162,000.00 | s | 3,000.00 | \$ 80,00.00 | s | 397,000.00 | S 642,00.00 | 81 |
| E | P778-12 | Hoding Tank | Md.-East RPO | 8178820 | NA | Matin | Wuliansion | 1 | Mid-East RPO | Divison Needs | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 7- Protected Lineer } \\ \text { Pedestrian Faciily } \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | US-17 Bus INC-125 | Garet Rd | Peele St | Install sidewalks and curb ramps along the west side of Washington St between the drainage ditch and easement limits | Yes | вPCET | s | 224,000.00 | s | 5,000.00 | \$ 130,000.00 | s | 782,000.00 | s 1,41,000.00 | 85 |
| S | P778-13 | New | Mid-East PPO | ${ }^{1231408}$ | NA | Pith | Benel | 2 | Mid-East RPO | Divison Needs |  | US-64 ALT (W Washington St) | SR-1429 (Cemeeter R R) | Jenkin St | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Construct sidewalks along US-64 ALT (W } \\ & \text { Washington St) from SR-1429 (Cemetery } \end{aligned}$ | Yes | BPCET | s | 202,000.00 | s | 41,000.00 | \$ 131,000.00 | s | 627,000.00 | s 1,001,000.00 | 89 |
| R | P778-14 | New | Mid-East RPo | 8231410 | NA | Pitt | Bethel | 2 | Mid.East RPO | Division Needs | $\begin{array}{\|c} 7 \text { - Protected Linear } \\ \text { Pedestrian Facility } \end{array}$ | $\underset{\text { WS.64sington St (E }}{ }$ | East St | E Raiload St | Construct sidewalks along US-64 ALT (E Washington St) from East St to E Railroad | Yes | BPCET | s | 172,000.00 | s | 28,00.00 | \$ 222,000.00 | s | 446,000.00 | S 868,000.00 | 98 |
| A | P77P-15 | New | Mid-East RPo | ${ }^{8231413}$ | NA | Pitt | Gimesand | 2 | Mid.East RPO | Division Needs | $\left.\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { 7-Protecteded Linear } \\ \text { Pedestian Facility } \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | SR-1568 (School Rd) | NC.33( Pritst) | SR-1665 (NBeautor Sti) | Construct sidewalks along SR-1568 (School Rd) from NC-33 (Pitt St) to SR1565 (N Beaufort St) | Yes | BPCET | s | 387,000.00 | s | 37,000.00 | \$ 89,000.00 | s | 590,000.00 | s 1,103,000.00 | 97 |
| N | P78P-16 | New | Mid-East RPo | ${ }^{8231415}$ | NA | Pitt | Unincorporated | 2 | Mid.East RPo | Divison Needs |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { SR-1567 (Clarks Neck } \\ \text { Rd) }}}{\text { nen }}$ | Pitubeaufort Line) | $\underset{\substack{\text { SR-1565 (Ninesaland } \\ \text { Bridge R0) }}}{ }$ |  | Yes | BPCET | s | 499,000.00 | s | 405,000.00 | \$ 464,000.00 | s | 2,884,000.00 | S 4,252,000.00 |  |
|  | P778-17 | New | Md-East RPO | ${ }^{823147}$ | NA | Pitt | Unincorporated | 2 | Mid:East RPO | Divison Needs |  | $\mid$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { SR-1567 Clarks Neck } \\ \text { Ra) }}}{\text { N }}$ | US.264 | Construct on-road designated bicycle lanes along SR-1565 (N Grimesland Bridge Rd) from SR-1567 (Clarks Neck Rd) to US-264. | Yes | BPCET | s | 186,000.00 | s | 60,000.00 | \$ 68,000.00 | s | 444,000.00 | S 758,000.00 |  |
|  | P78P-18 | New | Mid-East RPO | ${ }^{1831420}$ | NA | Pitt | Farmule | 2 | Mid:East RPO | Division Needs | 7-Protected Linear <br> Pedestrian Faciliy | NC-121 / US-258 BuS (W Wilson St) | US-258 Bus (N Main St) | $\underset{\substack{\text { Rdo })}}{\substack{\text { RR-20d Main }}}$ |  | Yes | вPCET | s | 299,000.00 | s | 119,000.00 | \$ 385,00.00 | s | 2,052.000.00 | s 2,85,000.00 |  |
|  |  |  | NOTE: ALP PRO | LSTEE |  | AND | rentiy | co | Eno | InA | OF TR | ATION. Proie | als \& cost est | ARE SUSJECT To | ge. |  | sum | s | 3,858,000.00 | s | 748,000.00 | \$ 2,611,000,00 |  | 12,840,000.00 | \$ 20,057,000.00 |  |



## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary

SPOT ID: B231359 Mode: Bicycle \& Pedestrian Status: Submitted

## Middle St

From/Cross Street: 8th St
To: 2nd St
Length: 0.49626648
Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

Specific Improvement Type: 7 - Protected Linear Pedestrian Facility (Pedestrian)
Project Category: Division Needs
TIP\#:
Cost to NCDOT: $\$ 478,000$

Description:
Construct sidewalk along roadway.

Division(s): Division 2
County(s): Beaufort
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Mid-East RPO
Project Location


## Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled Value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety | Number of Crashes (40\%) |  |  |
|  | Crash Severity (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Safety Risk (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Project Safety Benefit (20\%) |  |  |
| Accessibility / <br> Connectivity | Accessibility / Connectivity (100\%) |  |  |
| Demand/Density | Persons per Squre Mile (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Employees Per Square Mile (50\%) |  |  |
| Cost Effectiveness | Cost Effectiveness Value (100\%) |  |  |

## Project Data

Data:

| Project Local ID: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Included in Plan? | Yes |
| Name and Year of Plan: | Beaufort County CTP, 2014 |
| Within 2 mi. of K-8 School? | Yes |
| Local Government(s) where project is located: | Town of Aurora, Beaufort County |
| Right-of-Way \% Acquired: | 0 |
| PE / Design \% Completed: | 0 |
| Facility Type: | Sidewalk |
| Bicycle and Pedestrian Crashes: | 0 |
| Average Crash Severity: | 0 |
| Safety Risk Score: | 0.38 |
| Number of Automatic POI: | 6 |
| Number of Manual POI: | 2 |
| Number of Existing or Committed Connections: | 0 |
| Planned Connections? | No |
| Improves or Connects to Designated Route: | Project connects to a designated route |
| Name of Designated Route: | NC Mountains to Sea Trail |
| Population Within Buffer Area: | 260 |
| Employees Within Buffer Area: | 216 |
| Project Sponsor (Organization): | Town of Aurora |
| Submitted by: | Mid-East RPO |
| Original Submitter: | Mid-East RPO |

Project Cost:

| Preliminary Engineering / <br> Design Cost: | $\$ 192,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 6,000$ |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 0$ |
| Construction Cost: | $\$ 472,000$ |
| Total Project Cost (including <br> PE/Design) - used for <br> required match: | $\$ 670,000$ |
| Total Project Cost (without <br> PE/Design) - used for <br> scoring: | $\$ 478,000$ |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 478,000$ |
| Other Funding Source(s): | BPCET |
| Cost to NCDOT: |  |
| Source of Cost Estimation: |  |

## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Divison Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Improve safety for pedestrians.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary

SPOT ID: B231361 Mode: Bicycle \& Pedestrian Status: Submitted

## SR-1741 (King St)

From/Cross Street: NC-92 (Carteret St)
To: Front St
Length: 0.47174478
Specific Improvement Type: 7 - Protected Linear Pedestrian Facility (Pedestrian)
Project Category: Division Needs
TIP\#:
Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No
Cost to NCDOT: \$473,000
Description:
Construct sidewalks along roadway.

Division(s): Division 2
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Mid-East RPO

County(s): Beaufort

## Project Location

## Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled Value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety | Number of Crashes (40\%) |  |  |
|  | Crash Severity (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Safety Risk (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Project Safety Benefit (20\%) |  |  |
| Accessibility / <br> Connectivity | Accessibility / Connectivity (100\%) |  |  |
| Demand/Density | Persons per Squre Mile (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Employees Per Square Mile (50\%) |  |  |
| Cost Effectiveness | Cost Effectiveness Value (100\%) |  |  |

## Project Data

Data:

| Project Local ID: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Included in Plan? | Yes |
| Name and Year of Plan: | Beaufort County CTP, 2014 |
| Within 2 mi. of K-8 School? | Yes |
| Local Government(s) where project is located: | Town of Bath, Beaufort County |
| Right-of-Way \% Acquired: | 0 |
| PE / Design \% Completed: | 0 |
| Facility Type: | Sidewalk |
| Bicycle and Pedestrian Crashes: | 0 |
| Average Crash Severity: | 0 |
| Safety Risk Score: | 0.39 |
| Number of Automatic POI: | 10 |
| Number of Manual POI: | 5 |
| Number of Existing or Committed Connections: | 1 |
| Planned Connections? | No |
| Improves or Connects to Designated Route: | Neither |
| Name of Designated Route: | N/A |
| Population Within Buffer Area: | 39 |
| Employees Within Buffer Area: | 34 |
| Project Sponsor (Organization): | Town of Bath / Beaufort County |
| Submitted by: | Mid-East RPO |
| Original Submitter: | Mid-East RPO |

Project Cost:

| Preliminary Engineering / <br> Design Cost: | $\$ 191,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 6,000$ |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 0$ |
| Construction Cost: | $\$ 467,000$ |
| Total Project Cost (including <br> PE/Design) - used for <br> required match: | $\$ 664,000$ |
| Total Project Cost (without <br> PE/Design) - used for <br> scoring: | $\$ 473,000$ |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 473,000$ |
| Other Funding Source(s): | BPCET |
| Cost to NCDOT: |  |
| Source of Cost Estimation: |  |

## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Divison Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Improve safety for pedestrians.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary

| SPOT ID: B231363 | Mode: Bicycle \& Pedestrian Status: Submitted |
| :---: | :---: |
| W / E Old County Rd |  |
| From/Cross Street: US-264 BYP | Specific Improvement Type: 7 - Protected Linear Pedestrian Facility (Pedestrian) |
| To: US-264 BUS (Pamlico St) | Project Category: Division Needs |
| Length: 0.61774004 | TIP\#: |

Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No Cost to NCDOT: \$738,000
Description:
Construct sidewalks and fill in sidewalk gaps along roadway.

Division(s): Division 2
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Mid-East RPO

County(s): Beaufort

Project Location

## Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled Value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety | Number of Crashes (40\%) |  |  |
|  | Crash Severity (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Safety Risk (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Project Safety Benefit (20\%) |  |  |
| Accessibility / <br> Connectivity | Accessibility / Connectivity (100\%) |  |  |
| Demand/Density | Persons per Squre Mile (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Employees Per Square Mile (50\%) |  |  |
| Cost Effectiveness | Cost Effectiveness Value (100\%) |  |  |

## Project Data

## Data:

| Project Local ID: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Included in Plan? | Yes |
| Name and Year of Plan: | Beaufort County CTP, 2014 |
| Within 2 mi . of K-8 School? | No |
| Local Government(s) where project is located: | Town of Belhaven, Beaufort County |
| Right-of-Way \% Acquired: | 0 |
| PE / Design \% Completed: | 0 |
| Facility Type: | Sidewalk |
| Bicycle and Pedestrian Crashes: | 0 |
| Average Crash Severity: | 0 |
| Safety Risk Score: | 0.38 |
| Number of Automatic POI: | 19 |
| Number of Manual POI: | 5 |
| Number of Existing or Committed Connections: | 3 |
| Planned Connections? | No |
| Improves or Connects to Designated Route: | Neither |
| Name of Designated Route: | N/A |
| Population Within Buffer Area: | 458 |
| Employees Within Buffer Area: | 326 |
| Project Sponsor (Organization): | Town of Belhaven / Beaufort County |
| Submitted by: | Mid-East RPO |
| Original Submitter: | Mid-East RPO |

Project Cost:

| Preliminary Engineering / <br> Design Cost: | $\$ 194,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 8,000$ |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 118,000$ |
| Construction Cost: | $\$ 612,000$ |
| Total Project Cost (including <br> PE/Design) - used for <br> required match: | $\$ 932,000$ |
| Total Project Cost (without <br> PE/Design) - used for <br> scoring: | $\$ 738,000$ |
| Other Funding: | BPCET |
| Other Funding Source(s): | $\$ 738,000$ |
| Cost to NCDOT: | B0 |
| Source of Cost Estimation: |  |

## Project Ownership

Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Divison Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Improve pedestrian safety.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary

## US-17 BUS

From/Cross Street: SR-1143 (Patrick Ln)
To: NC-33
Length: 0.29530699
Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

Specific Improvement Type: 7 - Protected Linear Pedestrian Facility (Pedestrian)
Project Category: Division Needs
TIP\#:
Cost to NCDOT: \$538,000

Description:
Construct sidewalks along roadway.

Division(s): Division 2
County(s): Beaufort
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Mid-East RPO
Project Location


## Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled Value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety | Number of Crashes (40\%) |  |  |
|  | Crash Severity (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Safety Risk (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Project Safety Benefit (20\%) |  |  |
| Accessibility / <br> Connectivity | Accessibility / Connectivity (100\%) |  |  |
| Demand/Density | Persons per Squre Mile (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Employees Per Square Mile (50\%) |  |  |
| Cost Effectiveness | Cost Effectiveness Value (100\%) |  |  |

## Project Data

## Data:

| Project Local ID: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Included in Plan? | Yes |
| Name and Year of Plan: | Beaufort County CTP, 2014 |
| Within 2 mi . of K-8 School? | Yes |
| Local Government(s) where project is located: | Town of Chocowinity, Beaufort County |
| Right-of-Way \% Acquired: | 0 |
| PE / Design \% Completed: | 0 |
| Facility Type: | Sidewalk |
| Bicycle and Pedestrian Crashes: | 1 |
| Average Crash Severity: | 8 |
| Safety Risk Score: | 0.4 |
| Number of Automatic POI: | 14 |
| Number of Manual POI: | 4 |
| Number of Existing or Committed Connections: | 0 |
| Planned Connections? | No |
| Improves or Connects to Designated Route: | Neither |
| Name of Designated Route: | TBD |
| Population Within Buffer Area: | 120 |
| Employees Within Buffer Area: | 94 |
| Project Sponsor (Organization): | Town of Chocowinity / Beaufort County |
| Submitted by: | Mid-East RPO |
| Original Submitter: | Mid-East RPO |

Project Cost:

| Preliminary Engineering / <br> Design Cost: | $\$ 157,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 4,000$ |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 189,000$ |
| Construction Cost: | $\$ 345,000$ |
| Total Project Cost (including <br> PE/Design) - used for <br> required match: | $\$ 695,000$ |
| Total Project Cost (without <br> PE/Design) - used for <br> scoring: | $\$ 538,000$ |
| Other Funding: | BP38,000 |
| Other Funding Source(s): | BPCET |
| Cost to NCDOT: |  |
| Source of Cost Estimation: |  |

## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Divison Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Improve safety for pedestrians.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary

## SR-1501 (Highland Dr)

From/Cross Street: Health Department (S Reed Dr)
To: ECU Health Beaufort Hospital (E 12th St)
Length: 0.58994313
Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

Specific Improvement Type: 7 - Protected Linear Pedestrian Facility (Pedestrian)
Project Category: Division Needs
TIP\#:
Cost to NCDOT: \$715,000

Description:
Construct sidewalks along roadway.

Division(s): Division 2
County(s): Beaufort
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Mid-East RPO

## Project Location



## Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled Value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Safety | Number of Crashes (40\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Crash Severity (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Safety Risk (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Project Safety Benefit (20\%) |  |  |
| Accessibility / <br> Connectivity | Accessibility / Connectivity (100\%) |  | 67.45 |
| Demand/Density | Persons per Squre Mile (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Employees Per Square Mile (50\%) |  |  |
| Cost Effectiveness | Cost Effectiveness Value (100\%) |  |  |

## Project Data

## Data:

| Project Local ID: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Included in Plan? | Yes |
| Name and Year of Plan: | Beaufort County CTP, 2014 |
| Within 2 mi . of K-8 School? | Yes |
| Local Government(s) where project is located: | City of Washington, Beaufort County |
| Right-of-Way \% Acquired: | 0 |
| PE / Design \% Completed: | 0 |
| Facility Type: | Sidewalk |
| Bicycle and Pedestrian Crashes: | 0 |
| Average Crash Severity: | 0 |
| Safety Risk Score: | 0.4 |
| Number of Automatic POI: | 26 |
| Number of Manual POI: | 9 |
| Number of Existing or Committed Connections: | 1 |
| Planned Connections? | Yes |
| Improves or Connects to Designated Route: | Neither |
| Name of Designated Route: | N/A |
| Population Within Buffer Area: | 2222 |
| Employees Within Buffer Area: | 1764 |
| Project Sponsor (Organization): | City of Washington / Beaufort County |
| Submitted by: | Mid-East RPO |
| Original Submitter: | Mid-East RPO |

Project Cost:

| Preliminary Engineering / <br> Design Cost: | $\$ 171,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 8,000$ |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 146,000$ |
| Construction Cost: | $\$ 561,000$ |
| Total Project Cost (including <br> PE/Design) - used for <br> required match: | $\$ 886,000$ |
| Total Project Cost (without <br> PE/Design) - used for <br> scoring: | $\$ 715,000$ |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 715,000$ |
| Other Funding Source(s): | BPCET |
| Cost to NCDOT: |  |
| Source of Cost Estimation: |  |

## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Divison Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Improve safety for pedestrians.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary

SPOT ID: B230356 Mode: Bicycle \& Pedestrian Status: Submitted

## N Market St

From/Cross Street: US-264 (E 5th St)
To: SR-1306 (E 15th St)
Length: 0.68669337
Specific Improvement Type: 3-On-Road Designated Bicycle Facility (Bicycle)
Project Category: Division Needs
TIP\#:
Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No
Cost to NCDOT: \$591,000
Description:
Construct bicycle lane within existing right-of-way along northbound lane.

Division(s): Division 2
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Mid-East RPO

County(s): Beaufort

Project Location


## Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled Value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety | Number of Crashes (40\%) |  |  |
|  | Crash Severity (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Safety Risk (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Project Safety Benefit (20\%) |  |  |
| Accessibility / <br> Connectivity | Accessibility / Connectivity (100\%) |  |  |
| Demand/Density | Persons per Squre Mile (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Employees Per Square Mile (50\%) |  |  |
| Cost Effectiveness | Cost Effectiveness Value (100\%) |  |  |

## Project Data

Data:

| Project Local ID: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Included in Plan? | Yes |
| Name and Year of Plan: | 2014 City of Washington Comprehensive Bicycle Plan, 2014 Beaufort County CTP |
| Within 2 mi. of K-8 School? | Yes |
| Local Government(s) where project is located: | City of Washington, County of Beaufort |
| Right-of-Way \% Acquired: | 75 |
| PE / Design \% Completed: | 0 |
| Facility Type: | Bicycle Lane |
| Bicycle and Pedestrian Crashes: | 2 |
| Average Crash Severity: | 8 |
| Safety Risk Score: | 0.41 |
| Number of Automatic POI: | 98 |
| Number of Manual POI: | 10 |
| Number of Existing or Committed Connections: | 10 |
| Planned Connections? | Yes |
| Improves or Connects to Designated Route: | Neither |
| Name of Designated Route: | N/A |
| Population Within Buffer Area: | 7484 |
| Employees Within Buffer Area: | 6013 |
| Project Sponsor (Organization): | City of Washington/ Beaufort County |
| Submitted by: | Mid-East RPO |
| Original Submitter: | Mid-East RPO |

Project Cost:

| Preliminary Engineering / <br> Design Cost: | $\$ 211,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 5,000$ |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 98,000$ |
| Construction Cost: $\$ 488,000$ <br> Total Project Cost (including <br> PE/Design) - used for <br> required match: $\$ 802,000$ <br> Total Project Cost (without <br> PE/Design) - used for <br> scoring: $\$ 591,000$ <br> Other Funding: BPCET <br> Other Funding Source(s): $\$ 0$ <br> Cost to NCDOT:  <br> Source of Cost Estimation:  |  |

## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Divison Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Improved safety for cyclists, pedestrians, and motorists.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary

## SR-1001 (E Bear Grass Rd)

From/Cross Street: SR-1106 (N Rogers St)
To: Taylor Est
Length: 0.70030581
Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

Specific Improvement Type: 7 - Protected Linear Pedestrian Facility (Pedestrian)
Project Category: Division Needs
TIP\#:
Cost to NCDOT: \$970,000

Description:
Construct sidewalks along roadway.

Division(s): Division 1
County(s): Martin
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Mid-East RPO

## Project Location



## Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled Value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety | Number of Crashes (40\%) |  |  |
|  | Crash Severity (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Safety Risk (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Project Safety Benefit (20\%) |  |  |
| Accessibility / <br> Connectivity | Accessibility / Connectivity (100\%) |  |  |
| Demand/Density | Persons per Squre Mile (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Employees Per Square Mile (50\%) |  |  |
| Cost Effectiveness | Cost Effectiveness Value (100\%) |  |  |

## Project Data

Data:

| Project Local ID: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Included in Plan? | Yes |
| Name and Year of Plan: | Martin County CTP, 2019 |
| Within 2 mi. of K-8 School? | Yes |
| Local Government(s) where project is located: | Town of Bear Grass, Martin County |
| Right-of-Way \% Acquired: | 0 |
| PE / Design \% Completed: | 0 |
| Facility Type: | Sidewalk |
| Bicycle and Pedestrian Crashes: | 0 |
| Average Crash Severity: | 0 |
| Safety Risk Score: | 0.36 |
| Number of Automatic POI: | 6 |
| Number of Manual POI: | 2 |
| Number of Existing or Committed Connections: | 0 |
| Planned Connections? | Yes |
| Improves or Connects to Designated Route: | Neither |
| Name of Designated Route: | N/A |
| Population Within Buffer Area: | 102 |
| Employees Within Buffer Area: | 86 |
| Project Sponsor (Organization): | Town of Bear Grass / Martin County |
| Submitted by: | Mid-East RPO |
| Original Submitter: | Mid-East RPO |

Project Cost:

| Preliminary Engineering / <br> Design Cost: | $\$ 188,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 5,000$ |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 299,000$ |
| Construction Cost: | $\$ 666,000$ |
| Total Project Cost (including <br> PE/Design) - used for <br> required match: | $\$ 1,158,000$ |
| Total Project Cost (without <br> PE/Design) - used for <br> scoring: | $\$ 970,000$ |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 970,000$ |
| Other Funding Source(s): | BPCET |
| Cost to NCDOT: |  |
| Source of Cost Estimation: |  |

## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 1 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Divison Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Improve safety for pedestrians. Multiple students from Bear Grass Charter School walk along E Bear Grass Rd to get to/from school. Having a sidewalk would provide a safe area for students (and residents) to walk along.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary

| SPOT ID: B231400 | Mode: Bicycle \& Pedestrian | Status: Submitted |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NC-125 / NC-903 (S Front St) |  |  |
| From/Cross Street: SR-1433 (W Main St) | Specific Improvement Type: 7 - Protected Linear Pedestrian <br> Facility (Pedestrian) |  |
| To: SR-1429 (Penco Dr) | Project Category: Division Needs |  |
| Length: 0.72577552 | TIP\#: |  |
| Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No |  | Cost to NCDOT: $\$ 833,000$ |

Description:
Construct sidewalks along roadway.

Division(s): Division 1
County(s): Martin
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Mid-East RPO

## Project Location



## Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled Value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety | Number of Crashes (40\%) |  |  |
|  | Crash Severity (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Safety Risk (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Project Safety Benefit (20\%) |  |  |
| Accessibility / <br> Connectivity | Accessibility / Connectivity (100\%) |  |  |
| Demand/Density | Persons per Squre Mile (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Employees Per Square Mile (50\%) |  |  |
| Cost Effectiveness | Cost Effectiveness Value (100\%) |  |  |

## Project Data

## Data:

| Project Local ID: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Included in Plan? | Yes |
| Name and Year of Plan: | Martin County CTP, 2019 |
| Within 2 mi . of K-8 School? | Yes |
| Local Government(s) where project is located: | Town of Hamilton, Martin County |
| Right-of-Way \% Acquired: | 0 |
| PE / Design \% Completed: | 0 |
| Facility Type: | Sidewalk |
| Bicycle and Pedestrian Crashes: | 0 |
| Average Crash Severity: | 0 |
| Safety Risk Score: | 0.37 |
| Number of Automatic POI: | 9 |
| Number of Manual POI: | 2 |
| Number of Existing or Committed Connections: | 2 |
| Planned Connections? | No |
| Improves or Connects to Designated Route: | Neither |
| Name of Designated Route: | N/A |
| Population Within Buffer Area: | 21 |
| Employees Within Buffer Area: | 19 |
| Project Sponsor (Organization): | Town of Hamilton <br> / Martin County |
| Submitted by: | Mid-East RPO |
| Original Submitter: | Mid-East RPO |

Project Cost:

| Preliminary Engineering / <br> Design Cost: | $\$ 192,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 5,000$ |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 138,000$ |
| Construction Cost: | $\$ 690,000$ |
| Total Project Cost (including <br> PE/Design) - used for <br> required match: | $\$ 1,025,000$ |
| Total Project Cost (without <br> PE/Design) - used for <br> scoring: | $\$ 833,000$ |
| Other Funding: | BPCET |
| Other Funding Source(s): | $\$ 833,000$ |
| Cost to NCDOT: | \$0 |
| Source of Cost Estimation: |  |

## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 1 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Divison Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Improve safety for pedestrians.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary

| SPOT ID: B231401 | Mode: Bicycle \& Pedestrian | Status: Submitted |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | US-64 BUS (Main St) |  |

## Project Location



## Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled Value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety | Number of Crashes (40\%) |  |  |
|  | Crash Severity (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Safety Risk (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Project Safety Benefit (20\%) |  |  |
| Accessibility / <br> Connectivity | Accessibility / Connectivity (100\%) |  |  |
| Demand/Density | Persons per Squre Mile (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Employees Per Square Mile (50\%) |  |  |
| Cost Effectiveness | Cost Effectiveness Value (100\%) |  |  |

## Project Data

## Data:

| Project Local ID: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Included in Plan? | Yes |
| Name and Year of Plan: | Martin County CTP, 2019 |
| Within 2 mi . of K-8 School? | Yes |
| Local Government(s) where project is located: | Town of Jamesville, Martin County |
| Right-of-Way \% Acquired: | 0 |
| PE / Design \% Completed: | 0 |
| Facility Type: | Sidewalk |
| Bicycle and Pedestrian Crashes: | 0 |
| Average Crash Severity: | 0 |
| Safety Risk Score: | 0.38 |
| Number of Automatic POI: | 8 |
| Number of Manual POI: | 4 |
| Number of Existing or Committed Connections: | 0 |
| Planned Connections? | Yes |
| Improves or Connects to Designated Route: | Neither |
| Name of Designated Route: | N/A |
| Population Within Buffer Area: | 45 |
| Employees Within Buffer Area: | 39 |
| Project Sponsor (Organization): | Town of Jamesville / Martin County |
| Submitted by: | Mid-East RPO |
| Original Submitter: | Mid-East RPO |

Project Cost:

| Preliminary Engineering / <br> Design Cost: | $\$ 125,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 2,000$ |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 39,000$ |
| Construction Cost: | $\$ 199,000$ |
| Total Project Cost (including <br> PE/Design) - used for <br> required match: | $\$ 365,000$ |
| Total Project Cost (without <br> PE/Design) - used for <br> scoring: | $\$ 240,000$ |
| Other Funding: | B240,000 |
| Other Funding Source(s): | BPCET |
| Cost to NCDOT: |  |
| Source of Cost Estimation: |  |

## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 1 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Divison Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Improve safety for pedestrians.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary

## James St

From/Cross Street: SR-1164 (N Main St)
To: Jones St
Length: 0.14837091
Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

Specific Improvement Type: 7 - Protected Linear Pedestrian Facility (Pedestrian)
Project Category: Division Needs
TIP\#:
Cost to NCDOT: \$134,000

Description:
Construct sidewalks along roadway.

Division(s): Division 1
County(s): Martin
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Mid-East RPO
Project Location


## Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled Value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety | Number of Crashes (40\%) |  |  |
|  | Crash Severity (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Safety Risk (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Project Safety Benefit (20\%) |  |  |
| Accessibility / <br> Connectivity | Accessibility / Connectivity (100\%) |  |  |
| Demand/Density | Persons per Squre Mile (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Employees Per Square Mile (50\%) |  |  |
| Cost Effectiveness | Cost Effectiveness Value (100\%) |  |  |

## Project Data

Data:

| Project Local ID: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Included in Plan? | Yes |
| Name and Year of Plan: | Martin County <br> CTP, 2019 |
| Within 2 mi. of K-8 School? | No |
| Local Government(s) where <br> project is located: | Town of Parmele, <br> Martin County |
| Right-of-Way \% Acquired: | 0 |
| PE / Design \% Completed: | 0 |
| Facility Type: | 0 |
| Bicycle and Pedestrian Crashes: | 0 |
| Average Crash Severity: | 0 |
| Safety Risk Score: | 0.4 |
| Number of Automatic POI: | 0 <br> Number of Manual POI: <br> Number of Existing or Committed <br> Connections: <br> Planned Connections? <br> Improves or Connects to <br> Designated Route: |
| Name of Designated Route: | No |
| Population Within Buffer Area: | Neither |
| Employees Within Buffer Area: | N/A |
| Project Sponsor (Organization): | Town of Parmele |
| Martin County |  |
| Submitted by: | Mid-East RPO |
| Original Submitter: | Mid-East RPO |

Project Cost:

| Preliminary Engineering / <br> Design Cost: | $\$ 106,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 1,000$ |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 15,000$ |
| Construction Cost: | $\$ 118,000$ |
| Total Project Cost (including <br> PE/Design) - used for <br> required match: | $\$ 240,000$ |
| Total Project Cost (without <br> PE/Design) - used for <br> scoring: | $\$ 134,000$ |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 134,000$ |
| Other Funding Source(s): | BPCET |
| Cost to NCDOT: |  |
| Source of Cost Estimation: |  |

## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 1 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Divison Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Improve safety for pedestrians.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary

SPOT ID: B231405 Mode: Bicycle \& Pedestrian Status: Submitted

US-64 ALT / US-13 (E Academy St)
From/Cross Street: N Grimes St
To: SR-1431 (Nelson Rd)
Length: 0.41791433
Specific Improvement Type: 7 - Protected Linear Pedestrian Facility (Pedestrian)
Project Category: Division Needs
TIP\#:
Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No
Cost to NCDOT: $\$ 480,000$
Description:
Construct sidewalks along roadway.

Division(s): Division 1
County(s): Martin
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Mid-East RPO

## Project Location



## Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled Value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety | Number of Crashes (40\%) |  |  |
|  | Crash Severity (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Safety Risk (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Project Safety Benefit (20\%) |  |  |
| Accessibility / <br> Connectivity | Accessibility / Connectivity (100\%) |  |  |
| Demand/Density | Persons per Squre Mile (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Employees Per Square Mile (50\%) |  |  |
| Cost Effectiveness | Cost Effectiveness Value (100\%) |  |  |

## Project Data

Data:

| Project Local ID: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Included in Plan? | Yes |
| Name and Year of Plan: | Martin County <br> CTP, 2019 |
| Within 2 mi. of K-8 School? | Yes |
| Local Government(s) where project is located: | Town of Robersonville, Martin County |
| Right-of-Way \% Acquired: | 0 |
| PE / Design \% Completed: | 0 |
| Facility Type: | Sidewalk |
| Bicycle and Pedestrian Crashes: | 0 |
| Average Crash Severity: | 0 |
| Safety Risk Score: | . 4 |
| Number of Automatic POI: | 21 |
| Number of Manual POI: | 2 |
| Number of Existing or Committed Connections: | 2 |
| Planned Connections? | Yes |
| Improves or Connects to Designated Route: | Neither |
| Name of Designated Route: | N/A |
| Population Within Buffer Area: | 123 |
| Employees Within Buffer Area: | 105 |
| Project Sponsor (Organization): | Town of Robersonville / Martin County |
| Submitted by: | Mid-East RPO |
| Original Submitter: | Mid-East RPO |

Project Cost:

| Preliminary Engineering / <br> Design Cost: | $\$ 162,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 3,000$ |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 80,000$ |
| Construction Cost: | $\$ 397,000$ |
| Total Project Cost (including <br> PE/Design) - used for <br> required match: | $\$ 642,000$ |
| Total Project Cost (without <br> PE/Design) - used for <br> scoring: | $\$ 480,000$ |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 480,000$ |
| Other Funding Source(s): | BPCET |
| Cost to NCDOT: |  |
| Source of Cost Estimation: |  |

## Project Ownership

Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 1 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Divison Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Improve safety for pedestrians.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary

SPOT ID: B170820 Mode: Bicycle \& Pedestrian Status: Submitted

## Washington St in Williamston

From/Cross Street: Garrett Road
To: Peele Street
Specific Improvement Type: 7 - Protected Linear Pedestrian Facility (Pedestrian)

Length: 0.68358835
Project Category: Division Needs
TIP\#:
Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No
Cost to NCDOT: \$917,000
Description:
Install sidewalks and curb ramps along the west side of Washington St between the drainage ditch and easement limits.

Division(s): Division 1
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Mid-East RPO

County(s): Martin

Project Location


## Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled Value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Safety | Number of Crashes (40\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Crash Severity (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Safety Risk (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Project Safety Benefit (20\%) |  |  |
| Accessibility / <br> Connectivity | Accessibility / Connectivity (100\%) |  | 32.55 |
| Demand/Density | Persons per Squre Mile (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Employees Per Square Mile (50\%) |  |  |
| Cost Effectiveness | Cost Effectiveness Value (100\%) |  |  |

## Project Data

## Data:

| Project Local ID: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Included in Plan? | Yes |
| Name and Year of Plan: | Martin County CTP, 2019 |
| Within 2 mi . of K-8 School? | Yes |
| Local Government(s) where project is located: | Town of Williamston, Martin County |
| Right-of-Way \% Acquired: | 0 |
| PE / Design \% Completed: | 3 |
| Facility Type: | Sidewalk |
| Bicycle and Pedestrian Crashes: | 1 |
| Average Crash Severity: | 8 |
| Safety Risk Score: | 0.35 |
| Number of Automatic POI: | 25 |
| Number of Manual POI: | 8 |
| Number of Existing or Committed Connections: | 1 |
| Planned Connections? | Yes |
| Improves or Connects to Designated Route: | Neither |
| Name of Designated Route: | N/A |
| Population Within Buffer Area: | 922 |
| Employees Within Buffer Area: | 830 |
| Project Sponsor (Organization): | Town of Williamston |
| Submitted by: | Mid-East RPO |
| Original Submitter: | Mid-East RPO |

Project Cost:

| Preliminary Engineering / <br> Design Cost: | $\$ 224,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 5,000$ |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 130,000$ |
| Construction Cost: | $\$ 782,000$ |
| Total Project Cost (including <br> PE/Design) - used for <br> required match: | $\$ 1,141,000$ |
| Total Project Cost (without <br> PE/Design) - used for <br> scoring: | $\$ 917,000$ |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 917,000$ |
| Other Funding Source(s): | BPCET |
| Cost to NCDOT: | $\$ 0$ |
| Source of Cost Estimation: |  |

## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 1 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Divison Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Improve mobility and safety
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary

SPOT ID: B231408 Mode: Bicycle \& Pedestrian Status: Submitted

## US-64 ALT (W Washington St)

From/Cross Street: SR-1429 (Cemetery Rd)
To: Jenkins St
Specific Improvement Type: 7 - Protected Linear Pedestrian Facility (Pedestrian)

Length: 0.68821178
Project Category: Division Needs
TIP\#:
Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No
Cost to NCDOT: \$799,000
Description:
Construct sidewalks along roadway.

Division(s): Division 2
County(s): Pitt
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Mid-East RPO

## Project Location



## Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled Value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety | Number of Crashes (40\%) |  |  |
|  | Crash Severity (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Safety Risk (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Project Safety Benefit (20\%) |  |  |
| Accessibility / <br> Connectivity | Accessibility / Connectivity (100\%) |  |  |
| Demand/Density | Persons per Squre Mile (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Employees Per Square Mile (50\%) |  |  |
| Cost Effectiveness | Cost Effectiveness Value (100\%) |  |  |

## Project Data

## Data:

| Project Local ID: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Included in Plan? | Yes |
| Name and Year of Plan: | Pitt County CTP, 2023 (Draft) |
| Within 2 mi. of K-8 School? | Yes |
| Local Government(s) where project is located: | Town of Bethel, Pitt County |
| Right-of-Way \% Acquired: | 0 |
| PE / Design \% Completed: | 0 |
| Facility Type: | Sidewalk |
| Bicycle and Pedestrian Crashes: | 0 |
| Average Crash Severity: | 0 |
| Safety Risk Score: | 0.38 |
| Number of Automatic POI: | 8 |
| Number of Manual POI: | 2 |
| Number of Existing or Committed Connections: | 2 |
| Planned Connections? | Yes |
| Improves or Connects to Designated Route: | Neither |
| Name of Designated Route: | N/A |
| Population Within Buffer Area: | 486 |
| Employees Within Buffer Area: | 421 |
| Project Sponsor (Organization): | Town of Bethel / Pitt County |
| Submitted by: | Mid-East RPO |
| Original Submitter: | Mid-East RPO |

Project Cost:

| Preliminary Engineering / <br> Design Cost: | $\$ 202,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 41,000$ |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 131,000$ |
| Construction Cost: | $\$ 627,000$ |
| Total Project Cost (including <br> PE/Design) - used for <br> required match: | $\$ 1,001,000$ |
| Total Project Cost (without <br> PE/Design) - used for <br> scoring: | $\$ 799,000$ |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 799,000$ |
| Other Funding Source(s): | BPCET |
| Cost to NCDOT: |  |
| Source of Cost Estimation: |  |

## Project Ownership

Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Divison Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Improve safety for pedestrians.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary

SPOT ID: B231410 Mode: Bicycle \& Pedestrian Status: Submitted

## US-64 ALT (E Washington St)

From/Cross Street: East St
To: E Railroad St
Length: 0.46893443
Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

Specific Improvement Type: 7 - Protected Linear Pedestrian Facility (Pedestrian)
Project Category: Division Needs
TIP\#:
Cost to NCDOT: \$696,000

Description:
Construct sidewalks along roadway.

Division(s): Division 2
County(s): Pitt
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Mid-East RPO
Project Location


## Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled Value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety | Number of Crashes (40\%) |  |  |
|  | Crash Severity (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Safety Risk (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Project Safety Benefit (20\%) |  |  |
| Accessibility / <br> Connectivity | Accessibility / Connectivity (100\%) |  |  |
| Demand/Density | Persons per Squre Mile (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Employees Per Square Mile (50\%) |  |  |
| Cost Effectiveness | Cost Effectiveness Value (100\%) |  |  |

## Project Data

Data:

| Project Local ID: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Included in Plan? | Yes |
| Name and Year of Plan: | Pitt County CTP, 2023 (Draft) |
| Within 2 mi. of K-8 School? | Yes |
| Local Government(s) where project is located: | Town of Bethel, Pitt County |
| Right-of-Way \% Acquired: | 0 |
| PE / Design \% Completed: | 0 |
| Facility Type: | Sidewalk |
| Bicycle and Pedestrian Crashes: | 0 |
| Average Crash Severity: | 0 |
| Safety Risk Score: | 0.39 |
| Number of Automatic POI: | 9 |
| Number of Manual POI: | 3 |
| Number of Existing or Committed Connections: | 2 |
| Planned Connections? | Yes |
| Improves or Connects to Designated Route: | Neither |
| Name of Designated Route: | N/A |
| Population Within Buffer Area: | 142 |
| Employees Within Buffer Area: | 123 |
| Project Sponsor (Organization): | Town of Bethel / Pitt County |
| Submitted by: | Mid-East RPO |
| Original Submitter: | Mid-East RPO |

Project Cost:

| Preliminary Engineering / <br> Design Cost: | $\$ 172,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 28,000$ |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 222,000$ |
| Construction Cost: | $\$ 446,000$ |
| Total Project Cost (including <br> PE/Design) - used for <br> required match: | $\$ 868,000$ |
| Total Project Cost (without <br> PE/Design) - used for <br> scoring: | $\$ 696,000$ |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 696,000$ |
| Other Funding Source(s): | BPCET |
| Cost to NCDOT: |  |
| Source of Cost Estimation: |  |

## Project Ownership

Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Divison Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Improve safety for pedestrians.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary

SPOT ID: B231413 Mode: Bicycle \& Pedestrian Status: Submitted

## SR-1568 (School Rd)

From/Cross Street: NC-33 (Pitt St)
To: SR-1565 (N Beaufort St)
Length: 0.62069427
Specific Improvement Type: 7 - Protected Linear Pedestrian Facility (Pedestrian)
Project Category: Division Needs
TIP\#:
Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No
Cost to NCDOT: \$716,000
Description:
Construct sidewalks along roadway.

Division(s): Division 2
County(s): Pitt
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Mid-East RPO
Project Location


## Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled Value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety | Number of Crashes (40\%) |  |  |
|  | Crash Severity (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Safety Risk (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Project Safety Benefit (20\%) |  |  |
| Accessibility / <br> Connectivity | Accessibility / Connectivity (100\%) |  |  |
| Demand/Density | Persons per Squre Mile (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Employees Per Square Mile (50\%) |  |  |
| Cost Effectiveness | Cost Effectiveness Value (100\%) |  |  |

## Project Data

Data:

| Project Local ID: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Included in Plan? | Yes |
| Name and Year of Plan: | Pitt County CTP, 2023 (Draft) |
| Within 2 mi. of K-8 School? | Yes |
| Local Government(s) where project is located: | Town of Grimesland, Pitt County |
| Right-of-Way \% Acquired: | 0 |
| PE / Design \% Completed: | 0 |
| Facility Type: | Sidewalk |
| Bicycle and Pedestrian Crashes: | 1 |
| Average Crash Severity: | 76 |
| Safety Risk Score: | 0.4 |
| Number of Automatic POI: | 12 |
| Number of Manual POI: | 1 |
| Number of Existing or Committed Connections: | 1 |
| Planned Connections? | Yes |
| Improves or Connects to Designated Route: | Project connects to a designated route |
| Name of Designated Route: | NC Mountains to Sea Trail |
| Population Within Buffer Area: | 135 |
| Employees Within Buffer Area: | 123 |
| Project Sponsor (Organization): | Town of Grimesland / Pitt County |
| Submitted by: | Mid-East RPO |
| Original Submitter: | Mid-East RPO |

Project Cost:

| Preliminary Engineering / <br> Design Cost: | $\$ 387,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 37,000$ |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 89,000$ |
| Construction Cost: | $\$ 590,000$ |
| Total Project Cost (including <br> PE/Design) - used for <br> required match: | $\$ 1,103,000$ |
| Total Project Cost (without <br> PE/Design) - used for <br> scoring: | $\$ 716,000$ |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 716,000$ |
| Other Funding Source(s): | BPCET |
| Cost to NCDOT: |  |
| Source of Cost Estimation: |  |

## Project Ownership

Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Divison Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Improve safety for pedestrians.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary

SPOT ID: B231415 Mode: Bicycle \& Pedestrian Status: Submitted

## SR-1567 (Clarks Neck Rd)

From/Cross Street: Wilderness Ln
To: SR-1565 (N Grimesland Bridge Rd)
Length: 4.87693004
Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

Specific Improvement Type: 3-On-Road Designated Bicycle Facility (Bicycle)
Project Category: Division Needs
TIP\#:
Cost to NCDOT: \$3,753,000

Description:
Construct on-road designated bicycle lane.

Division(s): Division 2
County(s): Pitt
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Mid-East RPO

## Project Location



## Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled Value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety | Number of Crashes (40\%) |  |  |
|  | Crash Severity (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Safety Risk (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Project Safety Benefit (20\%) |  |  |
| Accessibility / <br> Connectivity | Accessibility / Connectivity (100\%) |  |  |
| Demand/Density | Persons per Squre Mile (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Employees Per Square Mile (50\%) |  |  |
| Cost Effectiveness | Cost Effectiveness Value (100\%) |  |  |

## Project Data

## Data:

| Project Local ID: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Included in Plan? | Yes |
| Name and Year of Plan: | Pitt County CTP, 2023 (Draft) |
| Within 2 mi . of K-8 School? | Yes |
| Local Government(s) where project is located: | Pitt County |
| Right-of-Way \% Acquired: | 0 |
| PE / Design \% Completed: | 0 |
| Facility Type: | Bicycle Lane |
| Bicycle and Pedestrian Crashes: | 1 |
| Average Crash Severity: | 8 |
| Safety Risk Score: | 0.27 |
| Number of Automatic POI: | 26 |
| Number of Manual POI: | 0 |
| Number of Existing or Committed Connections: | 0 |
| Planned Connections? | Yes |
| Improves or Connects to Designated Route: | Neither |
| Name of Designated Route: | N/A |
| Population Within Buffer Area: | 4215 |
| Employees Within Buffer Area: | 3373 |
| Project Sponsor (Organization): | Pitt County |
| Submitted by: | Mid-East RPO |
| Original Submitter: | Mid-East RPO |

Project Cost:

| Preliminary Engineering / <br> Design Cost: | $\$ 499,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 405,000$ |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 464,000$ |
| Construction Cost: | $\$ 2,884,000$ |
| Total Project Cost (including <br> PE/Design) - used for <br> required match: | $\$ 4,252,000$ |
| Total Project Cost (without <br> PE/Design) - used for <br> scoring: | $\$ 3,753,000$ |
| Other Funding: | BPCET |
| Other Funding Source(s): | $\$ 3,753,000$ |
| Cost to NCDOT: | BPC |
| Source of Cost Estimation: |  |

## Project Ownership

Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Divison Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Improve safety for cyclists and motorists.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary

SPOT ID: B231417 Mode: Bicycle \& Pedestrian Status: Submitted

## SR-1565 (N Grimesland Bridge Rd)

From/Cross Street: SR-1567 (Clarks Neck Rd)
To: US-264
Length: 0.71410502
Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

Specific Improvement Type: 3-On-Road Designated Bicycle Facility (Bicycle)
Project Category: Division Needs
TIP\#:
Cost to NCDOT: \$572,000

Description:
Construct on-road designated bicycle lane.

Division(s): Division 2
County(s): Pitt
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Mid-East RPO

## Project Location



## Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled Value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety | Number of Crashes (40\%) |  |  |
|  | Crash Severity (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Safety Risk (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Project Safety Benefit (20\%) |  |  |
| Accessibility / <br> Connectivity | Accessibility / Connectivity (100\%) |  |  |
| Demand/Density | Persons per Squre Mile (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Employees Per Square Mile (50\%) |  |  |
| Cost Effectiveness | Cost Effectiveness Value (100\%) |  |  |

## Project Data

## Data:

| Project Local ID: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Included in Plan? | Yes |
| Name and Year of Plan: | Pitt County CTP, 2023 (Draft) |
| Within 2 mi . of K-8 School? | No |
| Local Government(s) where project is located: | Pitt County |
| Right-of-Way \% Acquired: | 0 |
| PE / Design \% Completed: | 0 |
| Facility Type: | Bicycle Lane |
| Bicycle and Pedestrian Crashes: | 0 |
| Average Crash Severity: | 0 |
| Safety Risk Score: | 0.28 |
| Number of Automatic POI: | 5 |
| Number of Manual POI: | 0 |
| Number of Existing or Committed Connections: | 0 |
| Planned Connections? | No |
| Improves or Connects to Designated Route: | Neither |
| Name of Designated Route: | N/A |
| Population Within Buffer Area: | 1009 |
| Employees Within Buffer Area: | 763 |
| Project Sponsor (Organization): | Pitt County |
| Submitted by: | Mid-East RPO |
| Original Submitter: | Mid-East RPO |

Project Cost:

| Preliminary Engineering / <br> Design Cost: | $\$ 186,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 60,000$ |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 68,000$ |
| Construction Cost: | $\$ 444,000$ |
| Total Project Cost (including <br> PE/Design) - used for <br> required match: | $\$ 758,000$ |
| Total Project Cost (without <br> PE/Design) - used for <br> scoring: | $\$ 572,000$ |
| Other Funding: | BPCET |
| Other Funding Source(s): | $\$ 572,000$ |
| Cost to NCDOT: |  |
| Source of Cost Estimation: |  |

## Project Ownership

Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Divison Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Improve safety for cyclists and motorists.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary

## NC-121 / US-258 (W Wilson St)

From/Cross Street: US-258 BUS (N Main St)
To: SR-2107 (Edward Main Rd)
Length: 2.02058228

Specific Improvement Type: 7 - Protected Linear Pedestrian Facility (Pedestrian)
Project Category: Division Needs
TIP\#:

Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No
Cost to NCDOT: $\$ 2,556,000$
Description:
Construct sidewalks and fill in sidewalk gaps along roadway.

Division(s): Division 2
County(s): Pitt
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Mid-East RPO

## Project Location



## Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled Value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety | Number of Crashes (40\%) |  |  |
|  | Crash Severity (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Safety Risk (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Project Safety Benefit (20\%) |  |  |
| Accessibility / <br> Connectivity | Accessibility / Connectivity (100\%) |  |  |
| Demand/Density | Persons per Squre Mile (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Employees Per Square Mile (50\%) |  |  |
| Cost Effectiveness | Cost Effectiveness Value (100\%) |  |  |

## Project Data

Data:

| Project Local ID: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Included in Plan? | Yes |
| Name and Year of Plan: | Pitt County CTP, 2023 (Draft) |
| Within 2 mi. of K-8 School? | Yes |
| Local Government(s) where project is located: | Town of Farmville, Pitt County |
| Right-of-Way \% Acquired: | 0 |
| PE / Design \% Completed: | 0 |
| Facility Type: | Sidewalk |
| Bicycle and Pedestrian Crashes: | 2 |
| Average Crash Severity: | 8 |
| Safety Risk Score: | 0.38 |
| Number of Automatic POI: | 18 |
| Number of Manual POI: | 5 |
| Number of Existing or Committed Connections: | 6 |
| Planned Connections? | Yes |
| Improves or Connects to Designated Route: | Neither |
| Name of Designated Route: | N/A |
| Population Within Buffer Area: | 1246 |
| Employees Within Buffer Area: | 1071 |
| Project Sponsor (Organization): | Town of Farmville / Pitt County |
| Submitted by: | Mid-East RPO |
| Original Submitter: | Mid-East RPO |

Project Cost:

| Preliminary Engineering / <br> Design Cost: | $\$ 299,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 119,000$ |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 385,000$ |
| Construction Cost: | $\$ 2,052,000$ |
| Total Project Cost (including <br> PE/Design) - used for <br> required match: | $\$ 2,855,000$ |
| Total Project Cost (without <br> PE/Design) - used for <br> scoring: | $\$ 2,556,000$ |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 2,556,000$ |
| Other Funding Source(s): | BPCET |
| Cost to NCDOT: |  |
| Source of Cost Estimation: |  |

## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Divison Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Improve safety for pedestrians.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.
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FERRY PROJECTS SUBMITTED IN PRIORITIZATION 7.0
ID-EAST RURAL PLANNING ORGANIZATION

| FY | INTERNAL ID | SUBMITTAL TYPE | P7 SUBMITTER | SPOTID | TIP | COUNTY(S) | DIVISION(S) | $\begin{gathered} \text { STIP } \\ \text { REGION(S) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | RPO(S)/ MPO(S) | FUNDING CATEGORY | SIT | ROUTE | PROJECT DESCRIPTION | EST. SOURCE |  | EST. TOT | PAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | P7FY-01 | New | Mid-East RPO | F231469 | N/A | Beaufort | 2 | B | Mid-East RPO | Division Needs | 4 - Replacement Vessel-River Class Ferry | Bayview-Aurora | Project will replace the aging River Class vessel (MN Russell) with a new River Class vessel to increase safety, mobility, and reliability. | Ferry Division Engineering Unit | \$ | 25,768,160.00 | 116 |
|  | PTFY-02 | New | Mid-East RPO | F231438 | N/A | Beaufort | 2 | B | Mid-East RPO | Regional Impact | 13- Other Terminal or Shipyard Unrestricted | Bayview-Aurora | Replace Bayview and Aurora Operations Buildings / Comfort Centers. | Mott MacDonald | \$ | 1,379,000.00 | 120 |
|  | PTFYO-01 | SBO | Albemarle RPO | F192745 | N/A | Beaufort, <br> Brunswick, Carteret, Craven, Currituck, Dare, Hyde, New Hanover, Pamlico | 1,2,3 | A, B | Albemarle RPO, Cape Fear RPO, Down East RPO, Mid-East RPO, Wilmington MPO | Regional Impact | 13- Other Terminal or Shipyard Infrastructure | Manns Harbor Shipyard | Project would replace the deteriorated current water tower with new a new tank storage system and an entirely improved water system for the Shipyard. This includes fire suppression systems, new pumps, water treatment facilities, and other improved systems to provide clean and pressurized water throughout the campus. | Transystems | \$ | 10,000,000.00 | 124 |
|  | PTFYO-02 | SBO | Albemarle RPO | F192751 | N/A | Beaufort, Brunswick, Carteret, Craven, Currituck, Dare, Hyde, New Hanover, Pamlico | 1,2,3 | A, B | Albemarle RPO, Cape Fear RPO, Down East RPO, Mid-East RPO, Wilmington MPO | Regional Impact | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} 13 \text { - Other Terminal } \\ \text { or Shipyard } \\ \text { Infrastructure } \end{array}$ | Manns Harbor Shipyard | Refurbishment of the aging synchro-Lift to increase reliability and ensure haul out capabilities. To include equipment upgrades, retrofits, and dredging | Ferry Division | \$ | 3,000,000.00 | 128 |
|  | P7FYO-03 | SBO | Albemarle RPO | F231106 | N/A | Beaufort, Brunswick, Carteret, Craven Currituck, Dare, Hyde, New Hanover, Pamlico | 1,2,3 | A, B | Albemarle RPO, Cape Fear RPO, Down East RPO, Mid-East RPO, Wilmington MPO | Regional Impact | 13- Other Terminal or Shipyard Infrastructure | Manns Harbor Shipyard | Construction of a dorm facility at the Manns Harbor Shipyard. | Ferry Division | \$ | 3,000,000.00 | 132 |
|  | PTFYO-04 | SBO | Albemarle RPO | F231109 | N/A | Beaufort, Brunswick, Carteret, Craven, Currituck, Dare, Hyde, New Hanover, Pamlico | 1,2,3 | A, B | Albemarle RPO, Cape Fear RPO Down East RPO, Mid-East RPO, Wilmington MPO | Regional Impact | 2 - Replacement Vessel (Support Fleet) - Barge | All | Replace the aging crane barge Skyco that performs piling, bulkhead, and ramp and gantry work at each Ferry terminal in the system. | Ferry Division | \$ | 8,857,805.00 | 136 |
|  | NOTE: ALL PROJECTS LISTED ARE UNFUNDED AND ARE CURRENTLY BEING SCORED BY THE NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION. PROJECT DETALLS \& COST ESTIMATES ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | SUM | \$ | 52,004,965.00 | FY |




| PRIORITIZATION 7.0 FERRY PROJECTS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INTERNALIO | Sporio | sir | ROUTE | PROJECT DESCRIPTION |
| Pfry.01 | F23149 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 4-Replacement } \\ \text { Vessel - River } \\ \text { Class Ferry } \end{gathered}$ | Baxien-Aura | Project wil repacae the aging River Class vessel to increase safety, mobility, and reliability. |
| PTFF.02 | F231438 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 13 \text { - Other Terminal } \\ \text { or Shipyard } \\ \text { Unrestricted } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Baxiendumora | Replace Bayview and Aurora Operations Buildings / Comfort Centers. |
| P7fro.01 | F19274 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 13 \text { - Other Terminal } \\ \text { or Shipyard } \\ \text { Infrastructure } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Manns Harbor Shipyard |  |
| P7fro. 02 | F192751 | $\begin{gathered} 13 \text { - Other Terminal } \\ \text { or Shipyard } \\ \text { Infrastructure } \end{gathered}$ | Manns Harbor Shipyard |  |
| P7Fro. 03 | F231106 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 13 \text { - Other Terminal } \\ \text { or Shipyard } \\ \text { Infrastructure } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Manns Harbor Shipyard | Construction of a dorm facility at the Manns Harbor Shipyard. |
| P7Fro.04 | F231109 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2-Replacement } \\ & \text { Vessel (Suport } \\ & \text { Feeet) - Barge } \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{\text {Al }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Replace the aging crane barge Skyco that } \\ & \text { performs pling, bulkhead, and ramp and } \\ & \text { gantry work at each Ferry terminal in the } \\ & \text { system. } \end{aligned}$ |



终 ( ) 앙

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary

## Aurora - Bayview

Location: Bayview-Aurora
Specific Improvement Type: 4 - Replacement Vessel - River Class Ferry (like for like)
Project Category: Division Needs
TIP \#:
Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No Cost to NCDOT: \$25,768,160
Description:
Project will replace the aging River Class vessel (M/V Russell) with a new River Class vessel to increase safety, mobility, and reliability.

Division(s) :
MPO(s)/RPO(s) :

## County(s) :

## Project Location

## Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled Value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Asset Conditon | Asset Condition (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Benefit | Benefit (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Accessibility/Connectivity | Accessibility/Connectivity (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Asset Efficiency | Asset Efficiency (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Capacity/Congestion | Capacity/Congestion (100\%) | 0 | 0 |

## Project Data

Data:

| Project Local ID: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Included in Plan? | Yes |
| Name and Year of Plan: | Ferry Division <br> Capital Plan |
| Submitted by: | Mid-East RPO |
| Original Submitter: | Mid-East RPO |

Project Cost:

| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 0$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 0$ |
| Construction Cost: | $\$ 25,768,160$ |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 25,768,160$ |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ |
| Other Funding Source(s): |  |
| Cost to NCDOT: | $\$ 25,768,160$ |
| Source of Cost <br> Estimation: | Ferry Division Engineering <br> Unit |

## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Division Points | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total MPO/RPO Points | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Replacement of the aging River Class vessel (M/V Russell) with a new River Class vessel to increase safety, mobility, and reliability.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary

SPOT ID: F231438
Mode: Ferry
Status: Submitted

## Aurora - Bayview

Location: Bayview-Aurora
Specific Improvement Type: 13-Other Terminal or Shipyard Infrastructure
Project Category: Regional Impact
TIP \#:
Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No Cost to NCDOT: $\$ 1,379,000$
Description:
Replace Bayview and Aurora Operations Buildings / Comfort Centers.
Division(s) :
County(s) :

MPO(s)/RPO(s) :

## Project Location



## Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled Value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Asset Conditon | Asset Condition (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Benefit | Benefit (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Accessibility/Connectivity | Accessibility/Connectivity (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Asset Efficiency | Asset Efficiency (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Capacity/Congestion | Capacity/Congestion (100\%) | 0 | 0 |

## Project Data

Data:

| Project Local ID: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Included in Plan? | Yes |
| Name and Year of Plan: | Ferry Division <br> Capital Plan |
| Submitted by: | Mid-East RPO |
| Original Submitter: | Mid-East RPO |

Project Cost:

| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 0$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 0$ |
| Construction Cost: | $\$ 1,379,000$ |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 1,379,000$ |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ |
| Other Funding Source(s): |  |
| Cost to NCDOT: | $\$ 1,379,000$ |
| Source of Cost <br> Estimation: | Mott MacDonald |

## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Division Points | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |

## MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total MPO/RPO Points | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Project will be to replace the existing facility for a new building that is larger to accommodate increased working area, offices, storage, and improve customer experience.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary

## New Route

Location: Manns Harbor Shipyard
Specific Improvement Type: 13-Other Terminal or Shipyard Infrastructure
Project Category:
TIP \#:

## Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

Cost to NCDOT: $\$ 10,000,000$
Description:
Project would be to replace the deteriorated current water tower with new 200,000 gallon ground storage tank at a new location on the Shipyard property. This project would also require additional pumps to supply adequate flow and a new well constructed.
Division(s) : County(s) :
MPO(s)/RPO(s) :

## Project Location



## Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled Value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Asset Conditon | Asset Condition (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Benefit | Benefit (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Accessibility/Connectivity | Accessibility/Connectivity (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Asset Efficiency | Asset Efficiency (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Capacity/Congestion | Capacity/Congestion (100\%) | 0 | 0 |

## Project Data

Data:

| Project Local ID: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Included in Plan? | Yes |
| Name and Year of Plan: | Ferry Capital Plan |
| Submitted by: | Division 1 |
| Original Submitter: | Division 1 (P6) |

Project Cost:

| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 0$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 0$ |
| Construction Cost: | $\$ 10,000,000$ |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 10,000,000$ |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ |
| Other Funding Source(s): |  |
| Cost to NCDOT: | $\$ 10,000,000$ |
| Source of Cost <br> Estimation: | Transystems |

## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Division Points | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |

## MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total MPO/RPO Points | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Project would be to replace the deteriorated current water tower with new 200,000 gallon ground storage tank at a new location on the Shipyard property. This project would also require additional pumps to supply adequate flow and a new well constructed.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary



Replacement of the aging synchro-Lift to increase reliability and ensure haul out capabilities
Division(s) :
County(s) :

MPO(s)/RPO(s) :
Project Location


## Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled Value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Asset Conditon | Asset Condition (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Benefit | Benefit (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Accessibility/Connectivity | Accessibility/Connectivity (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Asset Efficiency | Asset Efficiency (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Capacity/Congestion | Capacity/Congestion (100\%) | 0 | 0 |

## Project Data

Data:

| Project Local ID: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Included in Plan? | Yes |
| Name and Year of Plan: | Ferry Capital Plan |
| Submitted by: | Division 1 |
| Original Submitter: | Division 1 (P6) |

Project Cost:

| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 0$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 0$ |
| Construction Cost: | $\$ 3,000,000$ |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 3,000,000$ |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ |
| Other Funding Source(s): |  |
| Cost to NCDOT: | $\$ 3,000,000$ |
| Source of Cost <br> Estimation: | Ferry Division |

## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Division Points | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |

## MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total MPO/RPO Points | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: The synchro-lift at the Manns Harbor Shipyard is aging and needs replacement in order to be reliable and efficient in hauling out ferry vessels in order to perform needed corrective and preventative maintenance.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary



Description:
Construction of a dorm facility at the Manns Harbor Shipyard
Division(s) :
County(s) :

MPO(s)/RPO(s) :


## Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled Value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Asset Conditon | Asset Condition (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Benefit | Benefit (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Accessibility/Connectivity | Accessibility/Connectivity (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Asset Efficiency | Asset Efficiency (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Capacity/Congestion | Capacity/Congestion (100\%) | 0 | 0 |

## Project Data

Data:

| Project Local ID: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Included in Plan? | Yes |
| Name and Year of Plan: | $?$ |
| Submitted by: | Albemarle RPO |
| Original Submitter: | TBD |

Project Cost:

| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 0$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 0$ |
| Construction Cost: | $\$ 3,000,000$ |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 3,000,000$ |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ |
| Other Funding Source(s): |  |
| Cost to NCDOT: | $\$ 3,000,000$ |
| Source of Cost <br> Estimation: | ferry division |

## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Division Points | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total MPO/RPO Points | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Construction of a dorm facility at the Manns Harbor Shipyard
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary

## Statewide: Support Vessel

Location: All routes
Specific Improvement Type: 2 - Replacement Vessel (Support Fleet) - Barge
Project Category: Regional Impact
TIP \#:

## Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

Cost to NCDOT: \$8,857,805

## Description:

Replace the aging crane barge Skyco that performs piling, bulkhead, and ramp and gantry work at each Ferry terminal in the system
Division(s) :
County(s) :

MPO(s)/RPO(s) :

## Project Location



## Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled Value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Asset Conditon | Asset Condition (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Benefit | Benefit (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Accessibility/Connectivity | Accessibility/Connectivity (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Asset Efficiency | Asset Efficiency (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Capacity/Congestion | Capacity/Congestion (100\%) | 0 | 0 |

## Project Data

Data:

| Project Local ID: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Included in Plan? | Yes |
| Name and Year of Plan: | $?$ |
| Submitted by: | Albemarle RPO |
| Original Submitter: | TBD |

Project Cost:

| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 0$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 0$ |
| Construction Cost: | $\$ 8,857,805$ |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 8,857,805$ |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ |
| Other Funding Source(s): |  |
| Cost to NCDOT: | $\$ 8,857,805$ |
| Source of Cost <br> Estimation: | ferry division |

## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Division Points | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |

## MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total MPO/RPO Points | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Replace the aging crane barge Skyco that performs piling, bulkhead, and ramp and gantry work at each Ferry terminal in the system
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.


## HIGHWAY
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | РтнMOO1 | New | Md.Esastro | F02203 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | NA | Beavort | Uninouporated | 2 | в | Md.Easaspo | Staemide Modiliy | $\begin{gathered} \text { 5-Construct Roadway } \\ \text { On New Location } \end{gathered}$ |  | SR-1410 (NoAR) | us.17 | Construct four-lane divided freeway on new location from SR-1410 (VOA Rd) to US-17 with $46^{\prime}$ depressed median, guardrails, and paved shoulders. | Yes | Fs | s 39,900,00000 | S 7,500,00000 |  | 155200,000000 | s | 202,60,000.00 | 143 |
|  | P7tM.02 | New | Md.Eastrpo | H00233: ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | NA | Beavor | Uninomporated | 2 | в | Mdigastroo | Staewide Modiliy | 5. Costruct foadiay On | New Route - US-264 Washington Northem Bypass - B | US.17 | SR-1422 (Wareest ${ }_{\text {Ext }}$ |  | Ves | HwCet | S 17,47,00000 | s 2.617,00000 |  | 146,29200000 | s | 166,55,000.00 | 147 |
|  | P74W03 | New | Modesastpo | H0егз3.- | NA | Beavor | Uninouporated | 2 | в | Mdebastro | Staewie Modily | 5. Constux Roaday |  | SR-1422 Manees SEx) |  | Construct four-lane divided freeway on new location from SR-1422 (Market St Ext) to SR-1507 (Slatestone Rd) with $46^{\prime}$ depressed median, guardrails, and paved shoulders. | ves | Hucet | s 17,232,00000 | S 2.585,00000 |  | 146,59,00000 | s | 166,411,000.00 | 151 |
|  | P7HW04 | New | Modesastpo | H00233.0. | NA | Bealor | Uninomporated | 2 | в | Md.Easaspo | Staemide Moblity |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { SR-1507 (Slaestone } \\ \text { Rol }}}$ | Us.264 |  | ves | нмсет | S 52009,00000 | S 7,80,00000 |  | 148,895,00000 | s | 208,75,000.00 | 155 |
|  | Prtwos | Holding Tank | Mdetastrpo | H00\%r3 ${ }^{\text {A }}$ | NA | Bealor | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aurora, } \\ \text { Chocowinity, } \\ \text { Unincorporated } \end{gathered}$ | 2 | в | Mdicasarpo | Regional lipact | 1- Wrien Exising | N. 33 | NC336 | us.178YP |  | Ves | Fs | s 37,099,00000 | S 18,552,78000 |  | 125,40,00000 | s | 180,55,780.00 | 159 |
|  | P7\%W06 | New | Mdeasaspo | H20132 | NA | Beavort | Uninocopasied | 2 | в | Mdetesastpo | Regonal lmpat | 10- Impove Inesesecion | US.264, NC.92 | US.264/ N-9.92 | US2644NC.92 |  | No | нweet | S 66200000 | s . | s | 3,418,00000 | s | 4,008,00.00 | 163 |
|  | P7tM00 | New | Md.Eastrpo | H230030 | NA | Beavor | Wassinglon | 2 | в | Mdebastro | Regonal mpact |  | US.264 (Jom Sman Ave) | SR-1501 Migland Dif | SR-1303 (Bick Kiningo | Convert existing four-lane with TWLTL to four-lane divided with $17^{\prime}-5^{\prime \prime}$ concrete median and convert existing intersections at Hodges Ave \& Avon Ave to onelane directional crossover or RCl . Consolidate Driveways. | No | HwCet | S 5,74100000 | s 881,00000 |  | 40,78,00000 | s | 4,736,000.00 | 167 |
|  | Р7нW08 | Nen | Mdi.Esastpo | H22084 | NA | Bealor | Wassingon | 2 | в | Md.East Ppo | Divison Neats |  | New Route - Aerospace Industrial Park Access R |  | SR-1509 Spinims Ro) | Construct two-lane undivided roadway on new location from US-17 BUS (Carolina Ave) to SR-1509 (Springs Rd) with 12 lanes and 2' paved shoulders | No | нмсеt | S 253,00000 | \$ 38,0000 |  | 19,023,00000 | s | 19,314,00000 | 171 |
|  | P74W09 | New | Md.EastPo | H23042 | NA | Matin |  | 1 | A | Mdebastpo | Divison Needs | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|r\|cr:} \hline \text { Exsising } \\ \text { Roadnay } \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | SR-1106 Eld Giomea Ra) |  |  |  | yes | нweet | 18,00000 | s . |  | 1074,00000 | $s$ |  | 175 |
|  | P7HW10 | Hoding Tank | Mdetastrpo | H70819 | NA | Matin | (Rotessonile | 1 | A | Modeastro | Divison Needs | $\begin{gathered} \text { 16-Mdedenize Exsining } \\ \text { Roadnay } \end{gathered}$ | SR-1159 (3rd St / Robersonville Products Rd) | SR-1150 (Eveetras) | nc.903 |  | Yes | нмсеt | S 184,00000 | s. |  | 19,483,00000 | s | 19,667,00.00 | 179 |
|  | P7HWW 11 | Holding Tank | Md.Eastrpo | H23045 | NA | Matin | Uninomeporied | 1 | A | modesaspo | Divison Needs | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 9- Convert Grade } \\ & \text { Separation to } \\ & \text { Interchange } \end{aligned}$ | US 64 (futuel 8 87) | SR.1303 (0xom Ra) | S8.1303 (0xor Ra) | Comeretexsising gate separiolon in inecrange. | No | нмсет | S 4,410,00000 | s |  | 22789,00000 | s | 27,195,00000 | 183 |
|  | P7HW-12 | Holing Tank | Mdesastro | H19289 | NA |  | Uninomporated | 1,4 | A |  | Staewie Modily |  | US 64 ( Futue 187) | NC.11/us.13 | NC.003 | Upgrade existing freeway to Interstate Standards. To include ITS and Signing Improvements, existing ramps (Exits $502,505,507,512, \& 514$ ) widen to $16^{\prime}$ lane width. | Yes | нмсет | S 5.880,00000 | s |  | 85922,00000 | s | 9,100,000,00 | 187 |
|  | P7HW-13 | New | Mdetastro | H23084 | NA | Matin | Unincopoasied | 1 | A | Mdegastroo | Regional mpact | $\begin{gathered} 16-\text { Modernize Existing } \\ \text { Roadway } \end{gathered}$ | NO.11 | NC.125 | Matingerie Line |  | No | нмсет | 184,00000 | s |  | 24,959,000.00 | s | 25,143,00.00 | 191 |
|  | PYHW-14 | Nen | Md.Eastrpo | H150861-A | NA | Pitl | Unineonomeated | 2 | в |  | Regonal Inpad | $\begin{gathered} \text { 3-Upgrade Expressway } \\ \text { to Freeway } \end{gathered}$ | NC.11/us.13 | US-264 ALT (Greenville Blvd NE) | SR.5150( Trigeen Re) | Upgrade existing expressway segment to freeway standards. Four-lane divided with $46^{\prime}$ depressed median, guardrails, and paved shoulders. | Yes | Hwcet ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | \$ 25,137,00000 | S 3.71,00000 |  | 365681,00000 | 5 | 394,58,000.00 | 195 |
| G | P7\%M-15 | Nen | Md.Eastrpo | H150681-8 | NA |  | Uninouporaled | 2,4 | A, B | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mid-East RPO, Upper } \\ \text { Coastal Plain RPO } \end{gathered}$ | Regional Inpad | $\begin{gathered} \text { 3-Upgrade Expressway } \\ \text { to Freeway } \end{gathered}$ | NC.11/us 13 | SR-1510 (Thigener Re) | US.64( fuwele 8 87) | Upgrade existing expressway segment to freeway standards. Four-lane divided with $46^{\prime}$ depressed median, guardrails, and paved shoulders. | yes | Hwcer' | s 67,022,00000 | S 10,055,00000 |  | 535,62000000 | s | 612,68,000.00 | 199 |
|  | P7HW/16 | Holding Tank | MdeEastrpo | H14201 | NA | Pit, Beation |  | 2 | в |  | Staewie Modily | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2- Upgrade Arterial to } \\ & \text { Freeway / Expressway } \end{aligned}$ | us:264, US:264ALT | NC.11/us.13 | SR. 410 ( NOARS) |  | Yes | HwCer | S 17,74,400000 | S 2.657,0000 |  | 575,651,00000 | s | 595,022,000.00 | 203 |
|  | P7HW-17 | Hoding Tank | Mdesastro | Hо0\%78. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | NA | al, Beautat |  | 2 | в | Mdidasatpo | Regonal impact | $\underset{\substack{\text { - Wrien E Esising } \\ \text { Roanne }}}{\text { a }}$ | NC.33 | us.17 us | SR-1688 (Caveras) | Upgrade existing two-lane undivided highway to two-lane with TWLTL with 12 lanes and 2 paved shoulders. | Yes | fs | s 19,954,00000 | s 4,851,70675 |  | 31,700.00000 | s | 56,505,70675 | 207 |
| Y | P7HW-18 | Holing Tank | MdezastPo | нооо24, | R.20078 | Evocoumbe $_{\text {Pit }}$ | Uninomporaied | 2.4 | A, B |  | Regonal lnpat | 1-Widen Existing Roadway | NC.33 | NC.42 | NC.22 | Widen existing two-lane undivided to four-lane divided with 46 ' depressed median and paved shoulders. | Yes | нweet | S 16,578,00000 | S 2487700000 |  | 144279,000.00 | s | 16,3,34,000.00 | 211 |
|  | P7\%WC01 | over | Divion 2 | H00010:E | R.2513E | Bealor | Uninouporated | 2 | в | Mudeas RPo | Staemide Moblicy | ${ }_{\text {1-W.aen Exsising }}^{\text {Roomne }}$ | บs. 17 | S8.1300 (C. R R ) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0.4 \text { Miles South of SR- } \\ 1127 \text { (Possum Track } \\ \text { Rd) } \end{array}$ | Widen to Multi-Lanes. Project ends . 4 miles South of SR-1127 to join up with <br> existing 4 -lane section. | Yes | нисет | S 6.995.00000 | s 1,099,00000 |  | 640,03,00000 | s | 72,077,00000 | 215 |
|  | P7HWCO2 | Cano | Divison 2 | H70913 | R.5897 | Bear | Wasingon, | 2 | в | modeastpo | Regonal mpact | 10. Impove lenesection |  |  |  | Improve intersection for safety and accommodate bicycles with wider lanes. The road is on a State Bike Route and East Coast Greenway | Yes | Hmcer ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | 1,323,00000 |  |  | 4,101,00000 | $s$ | 5,424,000.00 | 219 |
|  | P7twcos | Carmoer | Division 1 | H112972 | NA | Matin | WWilamson | 1 | A | MdebastPo | Statewie Moblity | 2.UYpara Atreial | US.17( futue 1887 | US 64 | Roanote River fricge |  | Yes | нWCEt | S 13,766,00000 | S 2065,00000 |  | 57,77,00000 | $s$ | ${ }^{73,608,500.00}$ | 223 |
|  | P7HWCO4 | Carnoer | Division 2 | H70366 | U.6215 | Pit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Greenville, } \\ & \text { Simpson, } \\ & \text { Grimesland, } \\ & \text { Unincomorated } \end{aligned}$ | 2 | в | Modeasapo | Regional mpad |  | NC.33 | SR-1755 (Blackjack- Simpson Rd) | SR-1760 (Mobley's Bridge Rd) |  | ves | ${ }^{\text {Fs }}$ | s 46,714,53500 | s 15,823,1919.9 |  | 72.500.00000 | s | 135,07,741,19 | 227 |
|  | P7HWC.05 | Carnover | Division 2 | H19296 | NA | Pit | Uninowporated | 2 | в | modeasapo | Regional lmad | 1- Wroen Exising | NC43 | $\underbrace{\text { a }}_{\substack{\text { SR-771 ( Wortingon } \\ \text { Ro) }}}$ | NC. 122 |  | Yes | нмсет | \$ 37,001.00000 | S 5.400,00000 |  | 169466,00000 | s | 212,77,000.00 | 231 |
|  | PrHW0.01 | s80 | Divison 1 | H183274 | NA | Matin | wilianson | 1 | A | modesaspo | Statewe Noblily | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \text { - Upgrade At-Grade } \\ & \text { Intersection to } \\ & \text { Interchange or Grade } \\ & \text { Separation } \end{aligned}$ | us.17( futuel 8 87) | US.17/ US 64 | US.17 US 64 | Constuct inecreramet oflow wor modily on tuwe 187 | yes | нweet | s 11,025,00000 | s |  | 56,963,00000 | s | 67,98,000,00 | 235 |
|  | PrHW0.02 | s80 | Divison1 | H23128 | NA | Matin | WWlianson | 1 | A | Md.Easatpo | Staeweme Modily | 17 - Upgrade Freeway to Interstate Standards | US.17 (futuel 8 87 | USGAALT | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Martin County / Bertie } \\ \text { County Line, south end } \\ \text { of Roanoke River } \\ \text { Bridge. } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | de US-170 | yes | нмсет | S 4,410,00000 | s |  | 50.056,00000 | s | 54,466,000.00 | 239 |
|  | P77W0.03 | s80 | Divison 2 | H00013:0 | R223130 | $\underset{\substack{\text { Craven } \\ \text { Beauto }}}{ }$ | Uninomporated | 2 | ${ }^{8}$ | Down East RPO, Mid- East RPO | Stalemide Modily | 1- WWien Exising | Us 17 |  | SR-1130 (C.C. Rd) in Beaufort County | Wien omulitlanes. | No | HwCet | S 8.69900000 | S 1,27,00000 |  | 73,313,00000 | s | 83,25,000.00 | 243 |
|  | PrHW0.04 | s80 | Peanut Etar Reo | H00201 | R2200 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Martin, } \\ & \text { Bertie, } \\ & \text { Hertiond } \end{aligned}$ | Muliple | 1 | A | Peanut Belt RPO, Mid- East RPO | Regional lmpad |  | N-11 | Nc.903in Matin Couny | $\begin{gathered} \text { US-13 Bypass north of } \\ \text { Ahoskie in Hertford } \\ \text { County. } \end{gathered}$ | Widen Iomulitiones | Yes | нисет | s 19,43200000 | S 29915,00000 |  | 575,74,00000 | s | 59,090,00 | 247 |
|  | P77W0.05 | s80 | Peanut EttRPO | H41724 | NA | n, Beafe | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Williamston, } \\ & \text { Windsor, } \\ & \text { Unincorporated } \end{aligned}$ | 1 | A |  | Staeme Modily | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2- Upgrade Arterial to } \\ & \text { Freeway / Expressway } \end{aligned}$ | us.17 (futuel 887 | US.64a a wilimsen | Us.13 at Winsar |  | Yes | нйет | S 47,778.00000 | S 7,12200000 |  | 499,28,00000 | s | 555,72,000.00 | 251 |
|  | P77W0.08 | s80 | Division 2 | H23419 | ${ }^{\text {H.141004 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {Pill }}$ | Uninomporated | 2 | в |  | Regonal lnpad | 10- mpove liesescion | NC.115R-1108 (Litefeld R Ro) | NC-11/SR-1108 (Littlefield Rd) | NC-11/SR-1108 (Littefield Rd) |  | ${ }^{\text {res }}$ | NA | s . | s | s |  | s |  | 255 |
|  | P7\%W0.07 | s80 | Dinsion 2 | H23221 | R.5915 | Pititenoir |  | 2 | в |  | Regonal impat |  | NC. 11 |  |  | Upprate Expessayy ( Freeny ( Sone on nevevication) | Yes | HwCet | s 019,29,00000 | S 15,29,90000 |  | 527,24.00000 | s | 844,460,00.00 | 259 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Toteal prociess | Lsto nel | Nobi No | curbemir sin score |  |  |  |  | crio cmuve |  |  | sum | S626,922.55500 | 5114.76 .68584 | s | 47904,400000 | s | 5,401,100200984 | HW |



## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary - Mobility

## US-264 BYP (New Route - Washington Northern Bypass)

From/Cross Street: SR-1410 (VOA Rd)
To: US-17
Length: 4.25

Specific Improvement Type: 5 - Construct Roadway on New Location
Project Category: Statewide Mobility
TIP\#: F022023-A
Cost to NCDOT: $\$ 591,314,000$

## Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

## Description:

Construct four-lane divided freeway on new location with 46\' depressed median and paved shoulders. Construct interchanges at US-264, SR-1001 (Cherry Run Rd), and US-17.

Division(s): Division 2
County(s): Beaufort
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Mid-East RPO
Project Location


## Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW 60\%, <br> REG 80\%, DIV 100\%) |  |  |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG 20\%, <br> DIV 0\%) |  |  |
|  | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost <br> (REG/DIV) | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV (100\%) |  |  |
| Safety <br> (Segments) | Crash Density (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Crash Severity (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  |
| Safety <br> (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (30\%) |  |  |
|  | Severity Index (30\%) |  |  |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  |


| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Economic <br> Competitiveness | \% Change in Economy (50\%) <br> \% Change in Long-term jobs <br> $(50 \%)$ |  |  |
|  | County Economic Indicator <br> $(50 \%)$ |  |  |
|  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time <br> Savings (50\%) |  |  |
| Freight | Truck Volume (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Truck Percentage (50\%) | \% | $\%$ |
| Multimodal | Multimodal Benefits |  |  |
| Lane Width | Lane Width Difference (100\%) |  |  |
| Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width <br> Difference (100\%) |  |  |
| Pavement <br> Condition | Pavement Condition Rating <br> $(100 \%)$ |  |  |

Existing Conditions

| Existing Cross-Section: | New Roadway |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Sp |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 4.01 |
| Length (miles): | Arterial |
| Facility Type: | None |
| Access Control: | Lether Principal Arterial |
| Functional Classification: | 12 |
| Terrain Type: | 0 |
| Lane Width (ft): | Yes |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | $20,534.62$ |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | $21,534.62$ |
| Volume (AADT): | 1.05 |
| Volume (PADT): | $37,122.63$ |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | 0.58 |
| Capacity (vpd): | $91 \%$ |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | $9 \%$ |
| \% Autos: | $1,749.55$ |
| \% Trucks: | 283 |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 7.03 |
| Fature Interstate Route? | 0.62 |
| Total Crashes: |  |
| Crash Density (seg): |  |
| Crash Severity (seg): |  |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): |  |
| Crash Frequency (int): | Nondition Rating: |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita |  |
| Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |
| 12 Month Average Unemployment Rate |  |
|  |  |

## Project Benefits

| Project Cross-Section: | 4A - 4 Lane Divided <br> (46\' Depressed <br> Median) with Paved <br> Shoulders |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 70 |
| Length (miles): | 4.25 |
| Facility Type: | Freeway |
| Access Control: | Full |
| Functional Classification: | Other Principal Arterial- <br> Other Freeway |
| TerrainType: | Level |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 12 |
| DOT Design Paved <br> Shoulder Width (ft): | 4 |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) <br> SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) - <br> SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) - <br> DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| \% Change in Long-term Employment: |  |
| \% Change in Economy: |  |
| Future Interstate Completion Factor: |  |
| Does project upgrade how the roadway <br> functions? |  |
| Travel Time Savings/User: | Mid-East RPO |
| In CTP or MTP? | No |
| CTP/MTP Name \& Year: |  |
| Submitted by: |  |
| Original Submitter: |  |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 416,958,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 151,614,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 22,742,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 591,314,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\$ 591, \mathbf{3 1 4 , 0 0 0}$ |  |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Project would alleviate traffic and congestion on existing US-264 route in Washington, provide direct access to Washington-Beaufort County Industrial Park, reduce travel time to US-17 and bolster overall safety of corridor. F022023-A would be the first of four segments of the planned US-264 Washington Northern Bypass.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary - Mobility

## US-264 BYP (New Route - Washington Northern Bypass)

From/Cross Street: US-17
To: SR-1422 (Market St Ext)
Length: 2.19

Specific Improvement Type: 5 - Construct Roadway on New Location
Project Category: Statewide Mobility
TIP\#: H090233-B
Cost to NCDOT: \$166,356,000

## Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

## Description:

Construct four-lane divided freeway on new location with 46 ft . depressed median and paved shoulders.

## Division(s): Division 2

County(s): Beaufort
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Mid-East RPO
Project Location


US-264 BYP (New Route - Washington Northern Bypass)
SPOT ID: H231222

## Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW 60\%, <br> REG 80\%, DIV 100\%) |  |  |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG 20\%, <br> DIV 0\%) |  |  |
|  | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost <br> (REG/DIV) | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV (100\%) |  |  |
| Safety <br> (Segments) | Crash Density (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Crash Severity (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  |
| Safety <br> (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (30\%) |  |  |
|  | Severity Index (30\%) |  |  |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  |


| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Economic <br> Competitiveness | \% Change in Economy (50\%) <br> \% Change in Long-term jobs <br> $(50 \%)$ |  |  |
|  | County Economic Indicator <br> $(50 \%)$ |  |  |
|  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time <br> Savings (50\%) |  |  |
| Freight | Truck Volume (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Truck Percentage (50\%) | \% | $\%$ |
| Multimodal | Multimodal Benefits |  |  |
| Lane Width | Lane Width Difference (100\%) |  |  |
| Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width <br> Difference (100\%) |  |  |
| Pavement <br> Condition | Pavement Condition Rating <br> $(100 \%)$ |  |  |

Existing Conditions

| Existing Cross-Section: | New Roadway |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | 45 |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 1.86 |
| Length (miles): | Two Lane Highway |
| Facility Type: | None |
| Access Control: | Major Collector |
| Functional Classification: | Level |
| Terrain Type: | 12 |
| Lane Width (ft): | 0 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | No |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | 2,800 |
| Volume (AADT): | 3,000 |
| Volume (PADT): | 1.07 |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | $15,977.55$ |
| Capacity (vpd): | 0.19 |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | $100 \%$ |
| \% Autos: | $0 \%$ |
| \% Trucks: | 0 |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 24 |
| Future Interstate Route? | 1.49 |
| Pavement Condition Rating: Crashes: |  |
| Crash Density (seg): |  |
| Crash Severity (seg): |  |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): |  |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita |  |
| Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |
| 12 Month Average Unemployment Rate |  |
|  |  |

## Project Benefits

| Project Cross-Section: | 4A - 4 Lane Divided <br> (46\' Depressed <br> Median) with Paved <br> Shoulders |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 70 |
| Length (miles): | 2.19 |
| Facility Type: | Freeway |
| Access Control: | Full |
| Functional Classification: | Other Principal Arterial- <br> Other Freeway |
| TerrainType: | Level |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 12 |
| DOT Design Paved <br> Shoulder Width (ft): | 0 |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) <br> SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) - <br> SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) - <br> DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| \% Change in Long-term Employment: |  |
| \% Change in Economy: |  |
| Future Interstate Completion Factor: |  |
| Does project upgrade how the roadway <br> functions? |  |
| Travel Time Savings/User: | Mid-East RPO |
| In CTP or MTP? | Yes |
| CTP/MTP Name \& Year: |  |
| Submitted by: | Origast RPO |
| Original Submitter: |  |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 146,292,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 17,447,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 2,617,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 166,356,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\mathbf{\$ 1 6 6 , 3 5 6 , 0 0 0}$ |  |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Project would provide for safer and faster connection between US-17 \& Market St Ext, benefitting two public schools nearby as well as multiple residential areas.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary - Mobility

## US-264 BUS (New Route - Washington Northern Bypass)

From/Cross Street: SR-1422 (Market St Ext)

To: SR-1507 (Slatestone Rd)
Length: 2.67

Specific Improvement Type: 5-Construct Roadway on New Location
Project Category: Statewide Mobility
TIP\#: H090233-C

## Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

Cost to NCDOT: $\$ 166,411,000$

## Description:

Construct four-lane divided freeway on new location with 46 ft . depressed median and paved shoulders.

## Division(s): Division 2

County(s): Beaufort
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Mid-East RPO
Project Location


US-264 BUS (New Route - Washington Northern Bypass)
SPOT ID: H231227

## Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW 60\%, <br> REG 80\%, DIV 100\%) |  |  |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG 20\%, <br> DIV 0\%) |  |  |
|  | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost <br> (REG/DIV) | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV (100\%) |  |  |
| Safety <br> (Segments) | Crash Density (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Crash Severity (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  |
| Safety <br> (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (30\%) |  |  |
|  | Severity Index (30\%) |  |  |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  |


| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Economic <br> Competitiveness | \% Change in Economy (50\%) <br>  <br>  <br> Accessibility / Change in Long-term jobs <br> Connectivity <br>  | County Economic Indicator <br> $(50 \%)$ |  |
|  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time <br> Savings (50\%) |  |  |
| Freight | Truck Volume (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Truck Percentage (50\%) | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| Multimodal | Multimodal Benefits |  |  |
| Lane Width | Lane Width Difference (100\%) |  |  |
| Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width <br> Difference (100\%) |  |  |
| Pavement <br> Condition | Pavement Condition Rating <br> $(100 \%)$ |  |  |

Existing Conditions

| Existing Cross-Section: | New Roadway |
| :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 55 |
| Length (miles): | 3.2 |
| Facility Type: | Two Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Local |
| Terrain Type: | Level |
| Lane Width (ft): | 12 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 0 |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | No |
| Volume (AADT): | 1,100 |
| Volume (PADT): | 1,200 |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | 1.09 |
| Capacity (vpd): | 19,200 |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | 0.06 |
| \% Autos: | 100\% |
| \% Trucks: | 0\% |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 0 |
| Total Crashes: | 27 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 1.8 |
| Crash Severity (seg): | 3.37 |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): | -0.34 |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |
| 12 Month Average Unemployment Rate Rank: |  |
| Sum County Rank: |  |
| Non-Interstate STRAHNET Route? | No |
| Future Interstate Route? | No |
| Pavement Condition Rating: |  |

## Project Benefits

| Project Cross-Section: | 4A - 4 Lane Divided <br> (46\' Depressed <br> Median) with Paved <br> Shoulders |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 70 |
| Length (miles): | 2.67 |
| Facility Type: | Freeway |
| Access Control: | Full |
| Functional Classification: | Other Principal Arterial- <br> Other Freeway |
| TerrainType: | Level |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 12 |
| DOT Design Paved <br> Shoulder Width (ft): | 0 |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) <br> SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) - <br> SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) - <br> DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| \% Change in Long-term Employment: |  |
| \% Change in Economy: |  |
| Future Interstate Completion Factor: |  |
| Does project upgrade how the roadway <br> functions? |  |
| Travel Time Savings/User: | Mid-East RPO |
| In CTP or MTP? | Yes-East RPO |
| CTP/MTP Name \& Year: |  |
| Submitted by: |  |
| Original Submitter: |  |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 146,594,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 17,232,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 2,585,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 166,411,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\mathbf{\$ 1 6 6 , 4 1 1 , 0 0 0}$ |  |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Project would provide for direct route between Market St Ext and Slatestone Rd, benefiting residential areas and three public schools with travel time savings and increased overall safety.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary - Mobility

## US-264 BYP (New Route - Washington Northern Bypass)

From/Cross Street: SR-1507 (Slatestone Rd)
To: US-264 (West of N Asbury Church Rd)
Length: 1.73

Specific Improvement Type: 5 - Construct Roadway on New Location
Project Category: Statewide Mobility
TIP\#: H090233-D

## Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

Cost to NCDOT: $\$ 208,795,000$

## Description:

Construct four-lane divided freeway on new location with 46 ft . depressed median and paved shoulders

## Division(s): Division 2

MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Mid-East RPO

County(s): Beaufort

Project Location


## Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW 60\%, <br> REG 80\%, DIV 100\%) |  |  |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG 20\%, <br> DIV 0\%) |  |  |
|  | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost <br> (REG/DIV) | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV (100\%) |  |  |
| Safety <br> (Segments) | Crash Density (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Crash Severity (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  |
| Safety <br> (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (30\%) |  |  |
|  | Severity Index (30\%) |  |  |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  |


| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Economic <br> Competitiveness | \% Change in Economy (50\%) <br> \% Change in Long-term jobs <br> $(50 \%)$ |  |  |
|  | County Economic Indicator <br> $(50 \%)$ |  |  |
|  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time <br> Savings (50\%) |  |  |
| Freight | Truck Volume (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Truck Percentage (50\%) | \% | $\%$ |
| Multimodal | Multimodal Benefits |  |  |
| Lane Width | Lane Width Difference (100\%) |  |  |
| Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width <br> Difference (100\%) |  |  |
| Pavement <br> Condition | Pavement Condition Rating <br> $(100 \%)$ |  |  |

Existing Conditions

| Existing Cross-Section: | New Roadway |
| :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 47 |
| Length (miles): | 1.55 |
| Facility Type: | Arterial |
| Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Major Collector |
| Terrain Type: | Level |
| Lane Width (ft): | 12 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 0 |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | No |
| Volume (AADT): | 1,100 |
| Volume (PADT): | 1,200 |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | 1.09 |
| Capacity (vpd): | 9,300 |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | 0.13 |
| \% Autos: | 100\% |
| \% Trucks: | 0\% |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 0 |
| Total Crashes: | 17 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 0.21 |
| Crash Severity (seg): | 1.05 |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): | 0.13 |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |
| 12 Month Average Unemployment Rate Rank: |  |
| Sum County Rank: |  |
| Non-Interstate STRAHNET Route? | No |
| Future Interstate Route? | No |
| Pavement Condition Rating: |  |

## Project Benefits

| Project Cross-Section: | 4A - 4 Lane Divided <br> (46\' Depressed <br> Median) with Paved <br> Shoulders |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 70 |
| Length (miles): | 1.73 |
| Facility Type: | Freeway |
| Access Control: | Full |
| Functional Classification: | Other Principal Arterial- <br> Other Freeway |
| TerrainType: | Level |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 11 |
| DOT Design Paved <br> Shoulder Width (ft): | 0 |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) <br> SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) - <br> SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) - <br> DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| \% Change in Long-term Employment: |  |
| \% Change in Economy: |  |
| Future Interstate Completion Factor: |  |
| Does project upgrade how the roadway <br> functions? |  |
| Travel Time Savings/User: | Mid-East RPO |
| In CTP or MTP? | Yes-East RPO |
| CTP/MTP Name \& Year: |  |
| Submitted by: |  |
| Original Submitter: |  |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 148,985,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 52,009,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 7,801,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 208,795,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\$ \mathbf{2 0 8 , 7 9 5 , 0 0 0}$ |  |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Project would allow for a direct connection between US-264 and Slatestone Rd. This would benefit Several residential areas along the proposed route, in addition to one public school and one public charter school. Travel time savings and increased safety would be achieved through decreased traffic along secondary roads.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary - Mobility

| SPOT ID: H090738-A | Mode: Highway | Status: Submitted |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
|  | NC-33 |  |
| From/Cross Street: NC-306 | Specific Improvement Type: 1-Widen Existing Roadway |  |
| To: US-17 BYP | Project Category: Regional Impact |  |
| Length: 20.94 | TIP\#: |  |
| Fully Funded in Draft STIP? | No | Cost to NCDOT: \$308,917,000 |
| Description: |  |  |

Widen roadway to two-lane with TWLTL with 12 ft . lanes and 2 ft . paved shoulders.

Division(s): Division 2 County(s): Beaufort
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Mid-East RPO

## Project Location



NC-33

Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled value | Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW 60\%, | 0.24 | 17.61 | Economic Competitiveness | \% Change in Economy (50\%) |  |  |
|  | \|RE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG 20\%, DIV 0\%) |  |  |  | (50\%) |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  | Accessibility / Connectivity | County Economic Indicator (50\%) | 227 | 77.82 |
| Benefit-Cost (REG/DIV) | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV (100\%) |  |  |  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time Savings (50\%) |  |  |
| Safety (Segments) |  |  |  | Freight | Truck Volume (50\%) | 362.65 | 27.24 |
|  | Crash Density (20\%) | 1.13 | 0.74 |  | Truck Percentage (50\%) | \% | \% |
|  | Crash Severity (20\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (20\%) |  |  | Multimodal | Multimodal Benefits | 0 | 0 |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  | Lane Width | Lane Width Difference (100\%) | 1 | 0 |
| Safety (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (30\%) |  |  | Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width Difference (100\%) |  | 0 |
|  | Severity Index (30\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  | Pavement Condition | Pavement Condition Rating (100\%) | 7 | 29.43 |

## Project Data*

Existing Conditions

| Existing Cross-Section: | 2 Lane Undivided |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 55 |
| Length (miles): | 20.94 |
| Facility Type: | Two Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Major Collector |
| Terrain Type: | Level |
| Lane Width (ft): | 11 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 0 |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | No |
| Volume (AADT): | $4,000.97$ |
| Volume (PADT): | $4,050.86$ |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | 1.01 |
| Capacity (vpd): | $19,273.76$ |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | 0.21 |
| \% Autos: | $86 \%$ |
| \% Trucks: | $14 \%$ |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 549.33 |
| Total Crashes: | 302 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 1.17 |
| Crash Severity (seg): | 6.39 |
| Future Interstate Route? |  |
| Pritical Crash Rate (seg): |  |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita <br> Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |
| 12 <br> Rank: |  |
| Sum County Rank: |  |

Project Benefits

| Project Cross-Section: | 3A - 2 Lane with Two Way <br> Left Turn Lane, and Paved <br> Shoulders |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 55 |
| Length (miles): | 20.94 |
| Facility Type: | Two Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Major Collector |
| TerrainType: | Level |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 2 |
| DOT Design Paved <br> Shoulder Width (ft): |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) <br> - SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) - <br> SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) - <br> DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| \% Change in Long-term Employment: |  |
| \% Change in Economy: | Mid-East RPO |
| Future Interstate Completion Factor: |  |
| Does project upgrade how the roadway <br> functions? |  |
| Travel Time Savings/User: | Yeaufort County CTP, 2014 |
| In CTP or MTP? | Mid-East RPO |
| CTP/MTP Name \& Year: |  |
| Submitted by: | Original Submitter: |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 289,610,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 16,789,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 2,518,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 308,917,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\mathbf{\$ 3 0 8 , 9 1 7 , 0 0 0}$ |  |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Improve safety and mobility along corridor.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary - Mobility

| SPOT ID: H230132 | Mode: Highway | Status: Submitted |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
|  | US-264 |  |
| From/Cross Street: NC-92 | Specific Improvement Type: 10 - Improve Intersection |  |
| To: | Project Category: Regional Impact |  |
| Length: 0.5 | TIP\#: |  |
| Fully Funded in Draft STIP? | No | Cost to NCDOT: $\$ 4,080,000$ |
| Description: |  |  |

Convert existing intersection to one-lane roundabout.
Division(s): Division 2 County(s): Beaufort

MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Mid-East RPO
Project Location


Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled value | Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW 60\%, REG 80\%, DIV 100\%) |  |  | Economic Competitiveness | \% Change in Economy (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG 20\%, DIV 0\%) |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { \% Change in Long-term jobs } \\ (50 \%) \end{array}$ |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost (SW) | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  | Accessibility / Connectivity | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { County Economic Indicator } \\ (50 \%) \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost (REG/DIV) | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV (100\%) |  |  |  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time Savings (50\%) |  |  |
| Safety (Segments) | Crash Density (20\%) |  |  | Freight | Truck Volume (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Crash Severity (20\%) |  |  |  | Truck Percentage (50\%) | \% | \% |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (20\%) |  |  | Multimodal | Multimodal Benefits |  |  |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  | Lane Width | Lane Width Difference (100\%) |  |  |
| Safety (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (30\%) |  |  | Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width Difference ( $100 \%$ ) |  |  |
|  | Severity Index (30\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  | Pavement Condition | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pavement Condition Rating } \\ & (100 \%) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

## Project Data*

Existing Conditions

| Existing Cross-Section: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 55 |
| Length (miles): | 0.5 |
| Facility Type: | Two Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | Oone |
| Functional Classification: | Level |
| Terrain Type: | 12 |
| Lane Width (ft): | 0 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | No |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? |  |
| Volume (AADT): | $11,892.04$ |
| Volume (PADT): | $12,285.61$ |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | 1.03 |
| Capacity (vpd): | 38,400 |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | 0.32 |
| \% Autos: | $90 \%$ |
| \% Trucks: | $10 \%$ |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | $1,246.29$ |
| Total Crashes: | 55 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 0 |
| Crash Severity (seg): | 0 |
| Pature Interstate Route? |  |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): |  |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita <br> Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |
| 12 Month Average Unemployment Rate <br> Rank: |  |
| Sum County Rank: |  |
| Non-Interstate STRAHNET Route? |  |
|  |  |

## Project Benefits

| Project Cross-Section: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 45 |
| Length (miles): | 0.5 |
| Facility Type: | Two Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | Other Principal Arterial |
| Functional Classification: | Level |
| TerrainType: | 12 |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 4 |
| DOT Design Paved <br> Shoulder Width (ft): |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) <br> - SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) - <br> SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) - <br> DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| $\%$ Change in Long-term Employment: |  |
| \% Change in Economy: |  |
| Future Interstate Completion Factor: |  |
| Does project upgrade how the roadway <br> functions? |  |
| Travel Time Savings/User: | Mid-East RPO |
| In CTP or MTP? |  |
| CTP/MTP Name \& Year: |  |
| Submitted by: | No |
| Original Submitter: |  |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 3,418,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 662,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 0$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 4,080,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\$ 4,080,000$ |  |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: To improve safety and mobility. Current Y Intersection design is prone to crashes. Fatal crash occurred in 2022.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary - Mobility

## US-264 (John Small Ave)

From/Cross Street: SR-1501 (Highland Dr)
To: SR-1303 (Brick Kiln Rd)
Length: 0.86

Specific Improvement Type: 11-Access Management
Project Category: Regional Impact
TIP\#:

Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

## Description:

Convert existing four-lane with TWLTL to four-lane divided with 17 ft .6 in . concrete median.

Division(s): Division 2
County(s): Beaufort
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Mid-East RPO
Project Location


US-264 (John Small Ave)

Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled value | Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW 60\%, REG 80\%, DIV 100\%) |  |  | Economic Competitiveness | \% Change in Economy (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG 20\%, DIV 0\%) |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { \% Change in Long-term jobs } \\ (50 \%) \end{array}$ |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost (SW) | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  | Accessibility / Connectivity | County Economic Indicator (50\%) |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost (REG/DIV) | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV (100\%) |  |  |  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time Savings (50\%) |  |  |
| Safety (Segments) | Crash Density (20\%) |  |  | Freight | Truck Volume (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Crash Severity (20\%) |  |  |  | Truck Percentage (50\%) | \% | \% |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (20\%) |  |  | Multimodal | Multimodal Benefits |  |  |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  | Lane Width | Lane Width Difference (100\%) |  |  |
| Safety (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (30\%) |  |  | Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width Difference (100\%) |  |  |
|  | Severity Index (30\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  | Pavement Condition | Pavement Condition Rating (100\%) |  |  |

## Project Data*

Existing Conditions

| Existing Cross-Section: | 4 Lane Undivided |
| :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 42 |
| Length (miles): | 0.86 |
| Facility Type: | Arterial |
| Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Other Principal Arterial |
| Terrain Type: | Level |
| Lane Width (ft): | 12 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 0 |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | No |
| Volume (AADT): | 18,643.16 |
| Volume (PADT): | 19,143.16 |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | 1.03 |
| Capacity (vpd): | 13,452.44 |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | 1.42 |
| \% Autos: | 92\% |
| \% Trucks: | 8\% |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 1,442.98 |
| Total Crashes: | 155 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 0 |
| Crash Severity (seg): | 0 |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): | 0 |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |
| 12 Month Average Unemployment Rate Rank: |  |
| Sum County Rank: |  |
| Non-Interstate STRAHNET Route? | No |
| Future Interstate Route? | No |
| Pavement Condition Rating: |  |

Project Benefits

| Project Cross-Section: | 4F - 4 Lane Divided <br> (17\'-6\" Raised <br> Median) with Curb \& <br> Gutter and Sidewalks |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 45 |
| Length (miles): | 0.86 |
| Facility Type: | Superstreet |
| Access Control: | Partial |
| Functional Classification: | Lther Principal Arterial |
| TerrainType: | 12 |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 4 |
| DOT Design Paved <br> Shoulder Width (ft): |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) <br> SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) - <br> SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) - <br> DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| \% Change in Long-term Employment: |  |
| \% Change in Economy: |  |
| Future Interstate Completion Factor: |  |
| Does project upgrade how the roadway <br> functions? |  |
| Travel Time Savings/User: | Mid-East RPO |
| In CTP or MTP? |  |
| CTP/MTP Name \& Year: |  |
| Submitted by: | No |
| Original Submitter: |  |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 40,767,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 5,741,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 861,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 47,369,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\$ 47,369,000$ |  |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Current design allows for left turns out of businesses and side-streets and has high crash rate. Some of the crashes were fatal. Project will eliminate left-turn access from businesses and side-streets to reduce crashes and increase safety.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary - Mobility

## SR-1509 (New Route - Aerospace Industrial Park Road)

From/Cross Street: SR-1509 (Springs Rd)
To: US-17 BUS (Carolina Ave)
Length: 1.32

Specific Improvement Type: 5-Construct Roadway on New Location
Project Category: Division Needs
TIP\#:

## Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

Cost to NCDOT: $\$ 19,314,000$

## Description:

Construct two-lane undivided roadway on new location with 12\' lanes and 2\' paved shoulders.

## Division(s): Division 2

County(s): Beaufort
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Mid-East RPO
Project Location


[^0]
## Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW 60\%, <br> REG 80\%, DIV 100\%) |  |  |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG 20\%, <br> DIV 0\%) |  |  |
|  | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost <br> (REG/DIV) | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV (100\%) |  |  |
| Safety <br> (Segments) | Crash Density (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Crash Severity (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  |
| Safety <br> (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (30\%) |  |  |
|  | Severity Index (30\%) |  |  |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  |


| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Economic <br> Competitiveness | \% Change in Economy (50\%) <br>  <br>  <br> Accessibility / Change in Long-term jobs <br> Connectivity <br>  | County Economic Indicator <br> $(50 \%)$ |  |
|  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time <br> Savings (50\%) |  |  |
| Freight | Truck Volume (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Truck Percentage (50\%) | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| Multimodal | Multimodal Benefits |  |  |
| Lane Width | Lane Width Difference (100\%) |  |  |
| Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width <br> Difference (100\%) |  |  |
| Pavement <br> Condition | Pavement Condition Rating <br> $(100 \%)$ |  |  |

## Project Data*

Existing Conditions

| Existing Cross-Section: | New Roadway |
| :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 55 |
| Length (miles): | 0.56 |
| Facility Type: | Freeway |
| Access Control: | Full |
| Functional Classification: | Other Principal ArterialOther Freeway |
| Terrain Type: | Level |
| Lane Width (ft): | 12 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 3 |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | No |
| Volume (AADT): | 9,800 |
| Volume (PADT): | 11,000 |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | 1.12 |
| Capacity (vpd): | 67,863.71 |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | 0.16 |
| \% Autos: | 89\% |
| \% Trucks: | 11\% |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 1,070.16 |
| Total Crashes: | 28 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 0.47 |
| Crash Severity (seg): | 2.16 |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): | 1.39 |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |
| 12 Month Average Unemployment Rate Rank: |  |
| Sum County Rank: |  |
| Non-Interstate STRAHNET Route? | Yes |
| Future Interstate Route? | No |
| Pavement Condition Rating: | 44 |

## Project Benefits

| Project Cross-Section: | 2A - 2 Lane Undivided with <br> Paved Shoulders, 55 mph |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 55 |
| Length (miles): | 1.32 |
| Facility Type: | Two Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | Miner Collector |
| Functional Classification: | Level |
| TerrainType: | 12 |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 4 |
| DOT Design Paved <br> Shoulder Width (ft): |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) <br> - SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) - <br> SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) - <br> DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| \% Change in Long-term Employment: |  |
| \% Change in Economy: |  |
| Future Interstate Completion Factor: |  |
| Does project upgrade how the roadway <br> functions? |  |
| Travel Time Savings/User: | Mid-East RPO |
| In CTP or MTP? |  |
| CTP/MTP Name \& Year: |  |
| Submitted by: |  |
| Original Submitter: |  |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 19,023,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 253,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 38,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 19,314,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\$ 19,314,000$ |  |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Provide access to the planned Aerospace Industrial Park adjacent to Washington-Warren Airport (OCW). Economic Development, Mobility.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary - Modernization

| SPOT ID: H230842 | Sighway | Status: Submitted |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SR-1106 (Eds Grocery Rd) |  |  |

Widen to 12 ft . lanes with 2 ft . paved shoulders.

Division(s): Division 1
County(s): Martin
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Mid-East RPO

## Project Location

Usitighwor

SR-1106 (Eds Grocery Rd)

Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled value | Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW 60\%, REG 80\%, DIV 100\%) |  |  | Economic Competitiveness | \% Change in Economy (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG 20\%, DIV 0\%) |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { \% Change in Long-term jobs } \\ (50 \%) \end{array}$ |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost (SW) | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  | Accessibility / Connectivity | County Economic Indicator (50\%) |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost (REG/DIV) | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV (100\%) |  |  |  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time Savings (50\%) |  |  |
| Safety (Segments) | Crash Density (20\%) |  |  | Freight | Truck Volume (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Crash Severity (20\%) |  |  |  | Truck Percentage (50\%) | \% | \% |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (20\%) |  |  | Multimodal | Multimodal Benefits |  |  |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  | Lane Width | Lane Width Difference (100\%) |  |  |
| Safety (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (30\%) |  |  | Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width Difference (100\%) |  |  |
|  | Severity Index (30\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  | Pavement Condition | Pavement Condition Rating $(100 \%)$ |  |  |

## Project Data*

Existing Conditions

| Existing Cross-Section: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 51 |
| Length (miles): | 3.35 |
| Facility Type: | Two Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Level |
| Terrain Type: | 10 |
| Lane Width (ft): | 0 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | No |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | 730.29 |
| Volume (AADT): | 780.29 |
| Volume (PADT): | 1.07 |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | $18,165.93$ |
| Capacity (vpd): | 0.04 |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | $100 \%$ |
| \% Autos: | $0 \%$ |
| \% Trucks: | 0 |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 10 |
| Total Crashes: | 0.68 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 3.82 |
| Crash Severity (seg): | -0.21 |
| Pavement Condition Rating: |  |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): |  |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita <br> Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |
| $12 ~ M o n t h ~ A v e r a g e ~ U n e m p l o y m e n t ~ R a t e ~$ <br> Rank: |  |
| Sum County Rank: | Nonter |
| Interstate STRAHNET Route? |  |

## Project Benefits

| Project Cross-Section: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 50 |
| Length (miles): | 3.35 |
| Facility Type: | Two Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | Local |
| Functional Classification: | Level |
| TerrainType: | 12 |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 0 |
| DOT Design Paved <br> Shoulder Width (ft): |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) <br> - SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) - <br> SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) - <br> DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| \% Change in Long-term Employment: |  |
| \% Change in Economy: |  |
| Future Interstate Completion Factor: |  |
| Does project upgrade how the roadway <br> functions? |  |
| Travel Time Savings/User: | Mid-East RPO |
| In CTP or MTP? | Martin County CTP, 2019 |
| CTP/MTP Name \& Year: |  |
| Submitted by: | Original Submitter: |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 1 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 11,074,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 184,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 0$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 11,258,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\mathbf{\$ 1 1 , 2 5 8 , 0 0 0}$ |  |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Project would widen the existing roadway, which is currently below NCDOT standards. Additional lane width and added shoulders would increase safety along route.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary - Modernization

## SR-1159 (Robersonville Products Road)

From/Cross Street: SR-1159 (3rd St Extension /
Specific Improvement Type: 16 - Modernize Roadway
Robersonville Products Road), three-lane section east of Robersonville
To: NC-903 Project Category: Division Needs
Length: 6.03
TIP\#:
Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No Cost to NCDOT: $\$ 19,667,000$

Description:
Widen road from 20 to 28 feet and add pavement strengthening.

Division(s): Division 1
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Mid-East RPO

County(s): Martin

Project Location

SR-1159 (Robersonville Products Road)

Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW 60\%, <br> REG 80\%, DIV 100\%) | 0.02 | 0.25 |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG 20\%, <br> DIV 0\%) |  |  |
|  | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost <br> (REG/DIV) | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV (100\%) |  |  |
| (Segments) | Crash Density (20\%) | 0.69 | 0.74 |
|  | Crash Severity (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  |
| Safety <br> (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (30\%) |  |  |
|  | Severity Index (30\%) |  |  |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  |


| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Economic <br> Competitiveness | \% Change in Economy (50\%) <br> \% Change in Long-term jobs <br> $(50 \%)$ |  |  |
|  | County Economic Indicator <br> $(50 \%)$ | 312 | 91.94 |
|  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time <br> Savings (50\%) |  |  |
| Freight | Truck Volume (50\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Truck Percentage (50\%) | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| Multimodal | Multimodal Benefits | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Width | Lane Width Difference (100\%) | 2 | 94.52 |
| Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width <br> Difference (100\%) | 0 |  |
| Pavement <br> Condition | Pavement Condition Rating <br> $(100 \%)$ | 17 | 55.68 |

## Project Data*

Existing Conditions

| Existing Cross-Section: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 54 |
| Length (miles): | 6.03 |
| Facility Type: | Two Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | Lone |
| Functional Classification: | Level |
| Terrain Type: | 10 |
| Lane Width (ft): | 0 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | No |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | 935.21 |
| Volume (AADT): | $1,000.98$ |
| Volume (PADT): | 1.07 |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | $19,067.88$ |
| Capacity (vpd): | 0.05 |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | $100 \%$ |
| \% Autos: | $0 \%$ |
| \% Trucks: | 0 |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | No |
| Total Crashes: | 20 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 0.76 |
| Crash Severity (seg): | 1.98 |
| Future Interstate Route? |  |
| Pavement Condition Rating: |  |
| Crash Frash Rate (seg): |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita <br> Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |
| 12 Month Average Unemployment Rate <br> Rank: |  |
| Sum County Rank: | Nonterstate STRAHNET Route? |

## Project Benefits

| Project Cross-Section: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 55 |
| Length (miles): | 6.03 |
| Facility Type: | Two Lane Highway |
| Access Contro:: | Local |
| Functional Classification: | Level |
| TerrainType: | 12 |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 0 |
| DOT Design Paved <br> Shoulder Width (ft): | Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) <br> - SW/REG: |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) - <br> SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) - <br> DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| \% Change in Long-term Employment: |  |
| \% Change in Economy: |  |
| Future Interstate Completion Factor: |  |
| Does project upgrade how the roadway <br> functions? |  |
| Travel Time Savings/User: | Mid-East RPO |
| In CTP or MTP? | Martin County CTP, 2019 |
| CTP/MTP Name \& Year: |  |
| Submitted by: | Original Submitter: |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 1 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 19,483,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 184,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 0$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 19,667,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\$ 19,667,000$ |  |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Improve mobility and safety
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary - Mobility

## US-64 (Future l-87)

From/Cross Street: SR-1303 (Dixon Rd)

To:
Length: 1

## Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

## Description:

Convert existing grade separation to interchange.

## Division(s): Division 1

MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Mid-East RPO
Project Location

## Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW 60\%, <br> REG 80\%, DIV 100\%) |  |  |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG 20\%, <br> DIV 0\%) |  |  |
|  | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost <br> (REG/DIV) | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV (100\%) |  |  |
| Safety <br> (Segments) | Crash Density (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Crash Severity (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  |
| Safety <br> (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (30\%) |  |  |
|  | Severity Index (30\%) |  |  |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  |


| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Economic <br> Competitiveness | \% Change in Economy (50\%) <br> \% Change in Long-term jobs <br> $(50 \%)$ |  |  |
|  | County Economic Indicator <br> $(50 \%)$ |  |  |
|  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time <br> Savings (50\%) |  |  |
| Freight | Truck Volume (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Truck Percentage (50\%) | \% | $\%$ |
| Multimodal | Multimodal Benefits |  |  |
| Lane Width | Lane Width Difference (100\%) |  |  |
| Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width <br> Difference (100\%) |  |  |
| Pavement <br> Condition | Pavement Condition Rating <br> $(100 \%)$ |  |  |

## Project Data*

Existing Conditions

| Existing Cross-Section: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 55 |
| Length (miles): | Two Lane Highway |
| Facility Type: | None |
| Access Control: | Local |
| Functional Classification: | Level |
| Terrain Type: | 12 |
| Lane Width (ft): | 0 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | No |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | 70 |
| Volume (AADT): | 70 |
| Volume (PADT): | 1 |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | 19,200 |
| Capacity (vpd): | 0 |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | $100 \%$ |
| \% Autos: | $0 \%$ |
| \% Trucks: | 0 |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 1 |
| Total Crashes: | 0.48 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 5.4 |
| Crash Severity (seg): | 0.27 |
| Pavement Condition Rating: |  |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): |  |
| Crash Frequency (int): | Nonterstate Route? |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita <br> Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |
| 12 Month Average Unemployment Rate <br> Rank: |  |
| Sum County Rank: |  |

## Project Benefits

| Project Cross-Section: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 55 |
| Length (miles): | 1 |
| Facility Type: | Two Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | Full |
| Functional Classification: | Local |
| TerrainType: | 12 |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 0 |
| DOT Design Paved <br> Shoulder Width (ft): |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) <br> - SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) - <br> SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) - <br> DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| \% Change in Long-term Employment: |  |
| \% Change in Economy: | No |
| Future Interstate Completion Factor: |  |
| Does project upgrade how the roadway <br> functions? |  |
| Travel Time Savings/User: |  |
| In CTP or MTP? |  |
| CTP/MTP Name \& Year: |  |
| Submitted by: |  |
| Original Submitter: |  |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 1 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 22,785,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 4,410,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 0$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 27,195,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\mathbf{2 2 7 , 1 9 5 , 0 0 0}$ |  |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Mobility, Connectivity
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary - Modernization

## US-64 (Future l-87)

From/Cross Street: NC-11 / US-13
To: NC-903
Length: 6.84

Specific Improvement Type: 17 - Upgrade Freeway to Interstate Standards
Project Category: Statewide Mobility
TIP\#:

## Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

Cost to NCDOT: \$91,106,000
Description:
Upgrade existing freeway to Interstate Standards. To include ITS and Signing Improvements, existing ramps (Exits


Division(s): Division 1, Division 4 County(s): Martin, Edgecombe
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Mid-East RPO, Upper Coastal Plain RPO
Project Location


## Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Raw } \\ \text { Value }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Scaled } \\ \text { value }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Congestion | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Volume/Capacity (SW 60\%, } \\ \text { REG 80\%, DIV 100\%) }\end{array}$ | 0.15 | 8.72 |
|  | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Volume (SW 40\%, REG 20\%, } \\ \text { DIV 0\%) }\end{array}$ |  |  |
|  | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Safety } \\ \text { (Senefit-Cost } \\ \text { (Segments) }\end{array}$ | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV (100\%) |  |  |$)$


| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Economic <br> Competitiveness | \% Change in Economy (50\%) |  |  |
|  | \% Change in Long-term jobs <br> $(50 \%)$ |  |  |
| Accessibility / <br> Connectivity | County Economic Indicator <br> $(50 \%)$ | 312 | 91.94 |
|  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time <br> Savings (50\%) |  |  |
| Multimodal | Truck Volume (50\%) | 1,780 | 79.65 |
|  | Truck Percentage (50\%) | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| Lane Width | Multimodal Benefits | 0 | 0 |
| Shoulder Width | Pane Width Difference (100\%) Shoulder Width <br> Difference (100\%) | 5 | 100 |
| Pavement <br> Condition | Pavement Condition Rating <br> $(100 \%)$ | 6 | 25.02 |

## Project Data*

| Existing Conditions |  | Project Benefits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Existing Cross-Section: |  | Project Cross-Section: |  |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 70 | Speed Limit (mph): | 70 |
| Length (miles): | 6.84 | Length (miles): | 6.84 |
| Facility Type: | Freeway | Facility Type: | Freeway |
| Access Control: | Full | Access Control: | Full |
| Functional Classification: | Other Principal Arterial | Functional Classification: | Other Principal Arterial |
| Terrain Type: | Level | TerrainType: | Level |
| Lane Width (ft): | 12 | DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 12 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 0 | DOT Design Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 4 |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | No | Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) - SW/REG: |  |
| Volume (AADT): | 11,000 | Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) SW/REG: |  |
| Volume (PADT): | 11,500 | Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) DIV: |  |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | 1.05 | Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Capacity (vpd): | 65,500 | Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | 0.18 | \% Change in Long-term Employment: |  |
| \% Autos: | 83\% | \% Change in Economy: |  |
| \% Trucks: | 17\% | Future Interstate Completion Factor: |  |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 1,919.5 | Does project upgrade how the roadway functions? |  |
| Total Crashes: | 120 | Travel Time Savings/User: |  |
| Crash Density (seg): | 0.61 | In CTP or MTP? | Yes |
| Crash Severity (seg): | 3.77 | CTP/MTP Name \& Year: | Martin County CTP, 2019 |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): | 0.63 | Submitted by: | Mid-East RPO |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  | Original Submitter: | Mid-East RPO |
| Severity Index (int): |  |  |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita Rank: |  |  |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |  |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |  |  |
| 12 Month Average Unemployment Rate Rank: |  |  |  |
| Sum County Rank: |  |  |  |
| Non-Interstate STRAHNET Route? | No |  |  |
| Future Interstate Route? | Yes |  |  |
| Pavement Condition Rating: |  |  |  |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 1 | $81 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| Division 4 | $19 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-East RPO | $81 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| Upper Coastal Plain RPO | $19 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 85,226,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 5,880,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 0$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 91,106,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\$ 91, \mathbf{1 0 6 , 0 0 0}$ |  |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Improve mobility and safety.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary - Modernization

| SPOT ID: H230843 | Mode: Highway Status: Submitted |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | NC-11 |
| From/Cross Street: NC-125 | Specific Improvement Type: 16 - Modernize Roadway |
| To: Martin/Bertie Line | Project Category: Regional Impact |
| Length: 6.26 | TIP\#: |
| Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No | Cost to NCDOT: $\$ 25,143,000$ |
| Description: |  |

Widen to 12\' lanes with 2\' paved shoulders.

Division(s): Division $1 \quad$ County(s): Martin
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Mid-East RPO
Project Location


NC-11

Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled value | Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW 60\%, REG 80\%, DIV 100\%) |  |  | Economic Competitiveness | \% Change in Economy (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG 20\%, DIV 0\%) |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { \% Change in Long-term jobs } \\ (50 \%) \end{array}$ |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost (SW) | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  | Accessibility / Connectivity | County Economic Indicator (50\%) |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost (REG/DIV) | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV (100\%) |  |  |  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time Savings (50\%) |  |  |
| Safety (Segments) | Crash Density (20\%) |  |  | Freight | Truck Volume (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Crash Severity (20\%) |  |  |  | Truck Percentage (50\%) | \% | \% |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (20\%) |  |  | Multimodal | Multimodal Benefits |  |  |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  | Lane Width | Lane Width Difference (100\%) |  |  |
| Safety (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (30\%) |  |  | Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width Difference (100\%) |  |  |
|  | Severity Index (30\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  | Pavement Condition | Pavement Condition Rating $(100 \%)$ |  |  |

## Project Data*

Existing Conditions

| Existing Cross-Section: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 54 |
| Length (miles): | 6.26 |
| Facility Type: | Two Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Level |
| Terrain Type: | 12 |
| Lane Width (ft): | 0 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | No |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | $5,491.42$ |
| Volume (AADT): | $5,787.02$ |
| Volume (PADT): | 1.05 |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | $18,776.18$ |
| Capacity (vpd): | 0.31 |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | $79 \%$ |
| \% Autos: | $21 \%$ |
| $\%$ Trucks: | $1,175.16$ |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 87 |
| Total Crashes: | 1.05 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 6.35 |
| Crash Severity (seg): | 0.43 |
| Future Interstate Route? |  |
| Pavement Condition Rating: |  |
| Crash Frash Rate (seg): |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita <br> Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |
| 12 Month Average Unemployment Rate <br> Rank: |  |
| Sum County Rank: | Norterstate STRAHNET Route? |

## Project Benefits

| Project Cross-Section: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 55 |
| Length (miles): | 6.26 |
| Facility Type: | Two Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Level |
| TerrainType: | 12 |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 2 |
| DOT Design Paved <br> Shoulder Width (ft): |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) <br> - SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) - <br> SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) - <br> DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| \% Change in Long-term Employment: |  |
| \% Change in Economy: | No |
| Future Interstate Completion Factor: |  |
| Does project upgrade how the roadway <br> functions? |  |
| Travel Time Savings/User: |  |
| In CTP or MTP? | Mid-East RPO |
| CTP/MTP Name \& Year: |  |
| Submitted by: |  |
| Original Submitter: |  |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 1 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 24,959,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 184,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 0$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 25,143,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\mathbf{2 2 5 , 1 4 3 , 0 0 0}$ |  |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Safety, Mobility
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary - Mobility

## NC-11, US-13

From/Cross Street: US-264 ALT
To: SR-1510 (Thigpen Rd)
Length: 7.16

Specific Improvement Type: 3 - Upgrade Expressway to Freeway
Project Category: Statewide Mobility
TIP\#:
Cost to NCDOT: \$394,589,000

## Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

## Description:

Upgrade to freeway / interstate standards.

## Division(s): Division 2

County(s): Pitt
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Mid-East RPO, Greenville Urban Area MPO
Project Location


## Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW 60\%, <br> REG 80\%, DIV 100\%) |  |  |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG 20\%, <br> DIV 0\%) |  |  |
|  | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost <br> (REG/DIV) | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV (100\%) |  |  |
| Safety <br> (Segments) | Crash Density (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Crash Severity (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  |
| Safety <br> (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (30\%) |  |  |
|  | Severity Index (30\%) |  |  |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  |


| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Economic <br> Competitiveness | \% Change in Economy (50\%) <br> \% Change in Long-term jobs <br> $(50 \%)$ |  |  |
|  | County Economic Indicator <br> $(50 \%)$ |  |  |
|  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time <br> Savings (50\%) |  |  |
| Freight | Truck Volume (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Truck Percentage (50\%) | \% | $\%$ |
| Multimodal | Multimodal Benefits |  |  |
| Lane Width | Lane Width Difference (100\%) |  |  |
| Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width <br> Difference (100\%) |  |  |
| Pavement <br> Condition | Pavement Condition Rating <br> $(100 \%)$ |  |  |

## Project Data*

Existing Conditions

| Existing Cross-Section: | 4 Lane with Median Partial Control |
| :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 59 |
| Length (miles): | 7.16 |
| Facility Type: | Multi-Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Minor Arterial |
| Terrain Type: | Level |
| Lane Width (ft): | 9 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 6 |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | No |
| Volume (AADT): | 14,572.56 |
| Volume (PADT): | 15,122.31 |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | 1.04 |
| Capacity (vpd): | 66,050.73 |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | 0.23 |
| \% Autos: | 91\% |
| \% Trucks: | 9\% |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 1,334.85 |
| Total Crashes: | 247 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 0.78 |
| Crash Severity (seg): | 4.1 |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): | 0.66 |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |
| 12 Month Average Unemployment Rate Rank: |  |
| Sum County Rank: |  |
| Non-Interstate STRAHNET Route? | No |
| Future Interstate Route? | No |
| Pavement Condition Rating: | 79 |

## Project Benefits

| Project Cross-Section: | 4A - 4 Lane Divided <br> (46\' Depressed <br> Median) with Paved <br> Shoulders |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 70 |
| Length (miles): | 7.16 |
| Facility Type: | Freeway |
| Access Control: | Full |
| Functional Classification: | Interstate |
| TerrainType: | Level |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 4 |
| DOT Design Paved <br> Shoulder Width (ft): |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) <br> -SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) - <br> SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) - <br> DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| \% Change in Long-term Employment: |  |
| \% Change in Economy: |  |
| Future Interstate Completion Factor: |  |
| Does project upgrade how the roadway <br> functions? |  |
| Travel Time Savings/User: | Mid-East RPO |
| In CTP or MTP? | Pitt County CTP, 2005 and <br> $2023 ~(D r a f t) ~$ |
| CTP/MTP Name \& Year: | MPO |
| Submitted by: | Original Submitter: |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-East RPO | $56 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| Greenville Urban Area MPO | $44 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 365,681,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 25,137,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 3,771,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 394,589,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\$ 394,589,000$ |  |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Improve safety and mobility. Project would significantly improve connection between Greenville \& US-64 (Future I-87).
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary - Mobility

## NC-11, US-13

From/Cross Street: SR-1510 (Thigpen Rd)

To: US-64 (Future I-87)
Length: 5.33
Specific Improvement Type: 3 - Upgrade Expressway to Freeway
Project Category: Statewide Mobility
TIP\#:
Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No
Cost to NCDOT: \$612,689,000

## Description:

Upgrade to freeway / interstate standards.

Division(s): Division 2, Division 4
County(s): Pitt, Edgecombe
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Mid-East RPO, Upper Coastal Plain RPO
Project Location


NC-11, US-13
SPOT ID: H231352

## Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW 60\%, <br> REG 80\%, DIV 100\%) |  |  |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG 20\%, <br> DIV 0\%) |  |  |
|  | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost <br> (REG/DIV) | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV (100\%) |  |  |
| Safety <br> (Segments) | Crash Density (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Crash Severity (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  |
| Safety <br> (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (30\%) |  |  |
|  | Severity Index (30\%) |  |  |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  |


| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Economic <br> Competitiveness | \% Change in Economy (50\%) <br> \% Change in Long-term jobs <br> $(50 \%)$ |  |  |
|  | County Economic Indicator <br> $(50 \%)$ |  |  |
|  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time <br> Savings (50\%) |  |  |
| Freight | Truck Volume (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Truck Percentage (50\%) | \% | $\%$ |
| Multimodal | Multimodal Benefits |  |  |
| Lane Width | Lane Width Difference (100\%) |  |  |
| Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width <br> Difference (100\%) |  |  |
| Pavement <br> Condition | Pavement Condition Rating <br> $(100 \%)$ |  |  |

## Project Data*

Existing Conditions

| Existing Cross-Section: | 4 Lane with Median Partial Control |
| :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 59 |
| Length (miles): | 5.33 |
| Facility Type: | Multi-Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Minor Arterial |
| Terrain Type: | Level |
| Lane Width (ft): | 10 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 3 |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | No |
| Volume (AADT): | 8,934.66 |
| Volume (PADT): | 9,322.3 |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | 1.04 |
| Capacity (vpd): | 70,659.44 |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | 0.13 |
| \% Autos: | 89\% |
| \% Trucks: | 11\% |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 991.75 |
| Total Crashes: | 127 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 0.68 |
| Crash Severity (seg): | 2.86 |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): | 1.36 |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |
| 12 Month Average Unemployment Rate Rank: |  |
| Sum County Rank: |  |
| Non-Interstate STRAHNET Route? | No |
| Future Interstate Route? | No |
| Pavement Condition Rating: | 97 |

## Project Benefits

| Project Cross-Section: | 4A - 4 Lane Divided <br> (46\' Depressed <br> Median) with Paved <br> Shoulders |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 70 |
| Length (miles): | 5.33 |
| Facility Type: | Freeway |
| Access Control: | Full |
| Functional Classification: | Interstate |
| TerrainType: | Level |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 42 |
| DOT Design Paved <br> Shoulder Width (ft): | 4 |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) <br> - SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) - <br> SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) - <br> DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| \% Change in Long-term Employment: |  |
| \% Change in Economy: | Mid-East RPO |
| Future Interstate Completion Factor: |  |
| Does project upgrade how the roadway <br> functions? |  |
| Travel Time Savings/User: | Pes |
| In CTP or MTP? | 2023 (Draft) |
| CTP/MTP Name \& Year: | RPO |
| Submitted by: | Original Submitter: |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $90 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| Division 4 | $10 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-East RPO | $90 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| Upper Coastal Plain RPO | $10 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 535,602,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 67,032,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 10,055,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 612,689,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\$ 612,689,000$ |  |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Improve Safety and Mobility along corridor. Improve connection between Greenville and US-64 (Future I-87).
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary - Mobility

## US-264, US-264 BYP (Greenville Blvd NE)

From/Cross Street: NC 11 / US 13 (N Memorial Dr) in Specific Improvement Type: 2 - Upgrade Arterial to
Pitt County
To: SR-1410 (VOA Rd) in Beaufort County
Length: 14.7

## Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

 Freeway/ExpresswayProject Category: Statewide Mobility
TIP\#:
Cost to NCDOT: \$596,022,000

## Description:

Upgrade roadway to limited control-of-access with service roads.

## Division(s): Division 2

County(s): Pitt, Beaufort
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Mid-East RPO, Greenville Urban Area MPO
Project Location


US-264, US-264 BYP (Greenville Blvd NE)

## Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Raw } \\ \text { Value }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Scaled } \\ \text { value }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Congestion | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Volume/Capacity (SW 60\%, } \\ \text { REG 80\%, DIV 100\%) }\end{array}$ | 0.27 | 21.1 |
|  | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Volume (SW 40\%, REG 20\%, } \\ \text { DIV 0\%) }\end{array}$ |  |  |
|  | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Safety } \\ \text { (Senefit-Cost } \\ \text { (Segments) }\end{array}$ | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV (100\%) |  |  |$)$


| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Economic <br> Competitiveness | \% Change in Economy (50\%) |  |  |
|  | \% Change in Long-term jobs <br> $(50 \%)$ |  |  |
| Accessibility / <br> Connectivity | County Economic Indicator <br> $(50 \%)$ | 224 | 77.16 |
|  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time <br> Savings (50\%) |  |  |
| Multimodal | Truck Volume (50\%) | $1,578.56$ | 77.74 |
|  | Truck Percentage (50\%) | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| Lane Width | Multimodal Benefits | 0 | 0 |
| Shoulder Width | Pane Width Difference (100\%) |  |  |
| Difference (100\%) |  |  |  |

## Project Data*

Existing Conditions

| Existing Cross-Section: | 4 <br> Partial Control |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 59 |
| Length (miles): | 14.7 |
| Facility Type: | Multi-Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | Partial |
| Functional Classification: | Other Principal Arterial- <br> Other Freeway |
| Terrain Type: | Level |
| Lane Width (ft): | 11 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 0 |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | No |
| Volume (AADT): | $18,890.25$ |
| Volume (PADT): | $19,522.62$ |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | 1.03 |
| Capacity (vpd): | $60,678.1$ |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | 0.32 |
| \% Autos: | $93 \%$ |
| \% Trucks: | $7 \%$ |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | $1,352.54$ |
| Total Crashes: | 737 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 1.2 |
| Crash Severity (seg): | 4.54 |
| Future Interstate Route? |  |
| Pavement Condition Rating: |  |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): |  |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita <br> Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |
| 12 Month Average Unemployment Rate |  |
|  |  |

## Project Benefits

| Project Cross-Section: | 4A - 4 Lane Divided <br> (46\' Depressed <br> Median) with Paved <br> Shoulders |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 60 |
| Length (miles): | 14.7 |
| Facility Type: | Freeway |
| Access Control: | Limited |
| Functional Classification: | Other Principal Arterial- <br> Other Freeway |
| TerrainType: | Level |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 12 |
| DOT Design Paved <br> Shoulder Width (ft): | 4 |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) <br> - SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) - <br> SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) - <br> DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| \% Change in Long-term Employment: |  |
| \% Change in Economy: | Mid-East RPO |
| Future Interstate Completion Factor: |  |
| Does project upgrade how the roadway <br> functions? |  |
| Travel Time Savings/User: | Pitt County CTP, 2005 <br> \& Beaufort County <br> CTP, 2014 |
| In CTP or MTP? | Mid-East RPO |
| CTP/MTP Name \& Year: |  |
| Submitted by: | Original Submitter: |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-East RPO | $55 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| Greenville Urban Area MPO | $45 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 575,651,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 17,714,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 2,657,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 596,022,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\$ 596,022,000$ |  |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Improve safety and mobility along corridor through limited control-of-access. This segment of US264 serves as a critical link between Pitt and Beaufort Counties, with significant AADT counts.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary - Mobility

| SPOT ID: H090738-B | Mode: Highway | Status: Submitted |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | NC-33 |  |
| From/Cross Street: US-17 BUS | Specific Improvement Type: 1 - Widen Existing Roadway |  |
| To: SR-1568 (Calvert St) | Project Category: Regional Impact |  |
| Length: 5.96 | TIP\#: |  |
| Fully Funded in Draft STIP? | No | Cost to NCDOT: $\$ 91,572,000$ |
| Description: |  |  |

Widen roadway to two-lane TWLTL with 12 ft . lanes and paved shoulders.

Division(s): Division $2 \quad$ County(s): Beaufort, Pitt
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Mid-East RPO
Project Location


NC-33
SPOT ID: H090738-B

Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled value | Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW 60\%, | 0.33 | 27.82 | Economic Competitiveness | \% Change in Economy (50\%) |  |  |
|  | REG |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG 20\%, DIV 0\%) |  |  |  | $(50 \%)$ |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  | Accessibility / Connectivity | County Economic Indicator (50\%) | 227 | 77.82 |
| (SW) <br> Benefit-Cost (REG/DIV) | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV (100\%) |  |  |  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time Savings (50\%) |  |  |
| Safety (Segments) |  | 1.16 | 0.74 | Freight | Truck Volume (50\%) | 78.68 | 2.41 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Truck Percentage (50\%) | \% | \% |
|  | Crash Severity (20\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (20\%) |  |  | Multimodal | Multimodal Benefits | 0 | 0 |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  | Lane Width | Lane Width Difference (100\%) | 5 | 100 |
| Safety (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (30\%) |  |  | Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width Difference (100\%) |  | 0 |
|  | Severity Index (30\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  | Pavement Condition | Pavement Condition Rating $(100 \%)$ | 51 | 96.65 |

## Project Data*

Existing Conditions

| Existing Cross-Section: | 2 Lane Undivided |
| :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 52 |
| Length (miles): | 5.96 |
| Facility Type: | Two Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Major Collector |
| Terrain Type: | Level |
| Lane Width (ft): | 12 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 0 |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | No |
| Volume (AADT): | 6,388.16 |
| Volume (PADT): | 6,627.57 |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | 1.04 |
| Capacity (vpd): | 17,120.2 |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | 0.39 |
| \% Autos: | 97\% |
| \% Trucks: | 3\% |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 171.2 |
| Total Crashes: | 131 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 1.72 |
| Crash Severity (seg): | 7.08 |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): | 0.66 |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |
| 12 Month Average Unemployment Rate Rank: |  |
| Sum County Rank: |  |
| Non-Interstate STRAHNET Route? | No |
| Future Interstate Route? | No |
| Pavement Condition Rating: | 48 |

Project Benefits

| Project Cross-Section: | 3A - 2 Lane with Two Way <br> Left Turn Lane, and Paved <br> Shoulders |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 55 |
| Length (miles): | 5.96 |
| Facility Type: | Two Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Major Collector |
| TerrainType: | Level |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 12 |
| DOT Design Paved <br> Shoulder Width (ft): | 2 |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) <br> - SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) - <br> SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) - <br> DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| \% Change in Long-term Employment: |  |
| \% Change in Economy: | Mid-East RPO |
| Future Interstate Completion Factor: |  |
| Does project upgrade how the roadway <br> functions? |  |
| Travel Time Savings/User: | Yes |
| In CTP or MTP? | Beaufort County 2013, Pitt |
| CTP/MTP Name \& Year: | Mid-East RPO |
| Submitted by: | Original Submitter: |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 81,567,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 8,700,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 1,305,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 91,572,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\$ 91,572,000$ |  |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Improve safety and mobility along corridor with added lane and shoulder width, and with the additional TWLTL to allow for less hazardous left turns.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary - Mobility

## NC-33

From/Cross Street: NC-42 (At Scotts Crossroads)
To: NC-222 (At Belvoir Crossroads)
Length: 7.57

Specific Improvement Type: 1 - Widen Existing Roadway
Project Category: Regional Impact
TIP\#: R-3407 B

Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No
Cost to NCDOT: $\$ 163,344,000$
Description:
Widen existing facility to four-lane divided with 46\' depressed median and paved shoulders.

Division(s): Division 2, Division 4 County(s): Pitt, Edgecombe
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Mid-East RPO, Upper Coastal Plain RPO

## Project Location



NC-33

Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled value | Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW 60\%, REG 80\%, DIV 100\%) |  |  | Economic Competitiveness | \% Change in Economy (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG 20\%, DIV 0\%) |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { \% Change in Long-term jobs } \\ (50 \%) \end{array}$ |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost (SW) | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  | Accessibility / Connectivity | County Economic Indicator (50\%) |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost (REG/DIV) | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV (100\%) |  |  |  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time Savings (50\%) |  |  |
| Safety (Segments) | Crash Density (20\%) |  |  | Freight | Truck Volume (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Crash Severity (20\%) |  |  |  | Truck Percentage (50\%) | \% | \% |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (20\%) |  |  | Multimodal | Multimodal Benefits |  |  |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  | Lane Width | Lane Width Difference (100\%) |  |  |
| Safety (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (30\%) |  |  | Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width Difference (100\%) |  |  |
|  | Severity Index (30\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  | Pavement Condition | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pavement Condition Rating } \\ & (100 \%) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

## Project Data*

Existing Conditions

| Existing Cross-Section: | 2 Lane Undivided |
| :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 55 |
| Length (miles): | 7.57 |
| Facility Type: | Two Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Major Collector |
| Terrain Type: | Level |
| Lane Width (ft): | 11 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 0 |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | No |
| Volume (AADT): | 3,122.25 |
| Volume (PADT): | 3,404.7 |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | 1.09 |
| Capacity (vpd): | 19,200 |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | 0.18 |
| \% Autos: | 93\% |
| \% Trucks: | 7\% |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 203.57 |
| Total Crashes: | 107 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 31.35 |
| Crash Severity (seg): | 20.13 |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): | 30.04 |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |
| 12 Month Average Unemployment Rate Rank: |  |
| Sum County Rank: |  |
| Non-Interstate STRAHNET Route? | No |
| Future Interstate Route? | No |
| Pavement Condition Rating: | 61 |

## Project Benefits

| Project Cross-Section: | 4A - 4 Lane Divided <br> (46\' Depressed <br> Median) with Paved <br> Shoulders |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 55 |
| Length (miles): | 7.57 |
| Facility Type: | Multi-Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | Partial |
| Functional Classification: | Other Principal Arterial |
| TerrainType: | 12 |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 0 |
| DOT Design Paved <br> Shoulder Width (ft): |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) <br> - SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) - <br> SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) - <br> DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| \% Change in Long-term Employment: |  |
| \% Change in Economy: | Mid-East RPO |
| Future Interstate Completion Factor: |  |
| Does project upgrade how the roadway <br> functions? |  |
| Travel Time Savings/User: | Yes |
| In CTP or MTP? | 2011 |
| CTP/MTP Name \& Year: | RPO |
| Submitted by: | Original Submitter: |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $65 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| Division 4 | $35 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-East RPO | $65 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| Upper Coastal Plain RPO | $35 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 144,279,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 16,578,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 2,487,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 163,344,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\$ 163, \mathbf{3 4 4 , 0 0 0}$ |  |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Widening will address safety issues along corridor and will expand access between Greenville and Tarboro / Rocky Mount
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary - Mobility



Project Location


US-17

Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled value | Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW 60\%, | 0.45 | 43.19 | Economic Competitiveness | \% Change in Economy (50\%) |  |  |
|  | RE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG 20\%, DIV 0\%) |  |  |  | $(50 \%)$ |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  | Accessibility / Connectivity | County Economic Indicator (50\%) | 227 | 77.82 |
| (SW) <br> Benefit-Cost (REG/DIV) | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV (100\%) |  |  |  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time Savings (50\%) |  |  |
| Safety (Segments) |  | 1.41 |  | Freight | Truck Volume (50\%) | 764.68 | 56.23 |
|  | Crash Density (20\% | 1.41 | 0.74 |  | Truck Percentage (50\%) | \% | \% |
|  | Crash Severity (20\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (20\%) |  |  | Multimodal | Multimodal Benefits | 0 | 0 |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  | Lane Width | Lane Width Difference (100\%) | 1 | 0 |
| Safety (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (30\%) |  |  | Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width | 1 | 0 |
|  | Severity Index (30\%) |  |  |  | Difference (100\%) |  |  |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  | Pavement Condition | Pavement Condition Rating (100\%) | 20 | 61.85 |

## Project Data*

Existing Conditions

| Existing Cross-Section: | Lane Undivided |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Sped Limit (mph): |
| Speed |  |
| Length (miles): | 3.45 |
| Facility Type: | Multi-Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | Other Principal Arterial |
| Functional Classification: | Level |
| Terrain Type: | 12 |
| Lane Width (ft): | 0 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | No |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | $6,334.39$ |
| Volume (AADT): | $7,001.43$ |
| Volume (PADT): | 1.11 |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | 19,200 |
| Capacity (vpd): | 0.36 |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | $87 \%$ |
| \% Autos: | $13 \%$ |
| \% Trucks: | 849.44 |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 62 |
| Total Crashes: | 1.35 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 1.78 |
| Crash Severity (seg): |  |
| Pavement Condition Rating: |  |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): |  |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita <br> Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |
| 12 Month Average Unemployment Rate <br> Rank: |  |
| Sum County Rank: |  |

## Project Benefits

| Project Cross-Section: | 4A - 4 Lane Divided <br> (46\' Depressed <br> Median) with Paved <br> Shoulders |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 55 |
| Length (miles): | 3.45 |
| Facility Type: | Multi-Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | Partial |
| Functional Classification: | Other Principal Arterial |
| TerrainType: | 12 |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 0 |
| DOT Design Paved <br> Shoulder Width (ft): |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) <br> SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) - <br> SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) - <br> DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| \% Change in Long-term Employment: |  |
| \% Change in Economy: |  |
| Future Interstate Completion Factor: |  |
| Does project upgrade how the roadway <br> functions? |  |
| Travel Time Savings/User: | Division 2 |
| In CTP or MTP? |  |
| CTP/MTP Name \& Year: | No |
| Submitted by: |  |
| Original Submitter: |  |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 64,033,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 6,995,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 1,049,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 72,077,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\$ 72,077,000$ |  |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Capacity/Congestion. To reduce congestion and improve safety by adding capacity and a divided median. Also reduces travel time.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary - Mobility

## NC-32

From/Cross Street: Brick Kiln Road
To:
Length: 0.5

Specific Improvement Type: 10-Improve Intersection
Project Category: Regional Impact
TIP\#: R-5897

Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No
Cost to NCDOT: $\$ 5,424,000$
Description:
Improve intersection for safety and accommodate bicycles with wider lanes. The road is on a State Bike Route and East Coast Greenway.

Division(s): Division 2
County(s): Beaufort
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Mid-East RPO

## Project Location



NC-32

Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled value | Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW 60\%, | 0.45 | 43.19 | Economic Competitiveness | \% Change in Economy (50\%) |  |  |
|  | RE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG 20\%, DIV 0\%) |  |  |  | $(50 \%)$ |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  | Accessibility / Connectivity | County Economic Indicator (50\%) | 227 | 77.82 |
| (SW) <br> Benefit-Cost (REG/DIV) | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV (100\%) |  |  |  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time Savings (50\%) |  |  |
| Safety (Segments) |  |  | 0 | Freight | Truck Volume (50\%) | 478.07 | 36.63 |
|  | Crash Density (20\% |  | 0 |  | Truck Percentage (50\%) | \% | \% |
|  | Crash Severity (20\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (20\%) |  |  | Multimodal | Multimodal Benefits | 0 | 0 |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  | Lane Width | Lane Width Difference (100\%) | 1 | 0 |
| Safety (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (30\%) |  |  | Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width | 4 | 94.8 |
|  | Severity Index (30\%) |  |  |  | Difference (100\%) |  |  |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  | Pavement Condition | Pavement Condition Rating $(100 \%)$ | 27 | 75.15 |

## Project Data*

Existing Conditions

| Existing Cross-Section: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 35 |
| Length (miles): | 0.5 |
| Facility Type: | Arterial |
| Access Control: | Minor Arterial |
| Functional Classification: | Level |
| Terrain Type: | 12 |
| Lane Width (ft): | 0 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | No |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | $13,513.26$ |
| Volume (AADT): | $19,557.23$ |
| Volume (PADT): | 1.45 |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | $21,009.33$ |
| Capacity (vpd): | 0.93 |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | $95 \%$ |
| \% Autos: | $5 \%$ |
| \% Trucks: | 641.88 |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 34 |
| Total Crashes: | 0.94 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 3.51 |
| Crash Severity (seg): | 0.85 |
| Pavement Condition Rating: |  |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): |  |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita <br> Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |
| 12 Month Average Unemployment Rate <br> Rank: |  |
| Sum County Rank: | Non-Interstate STRAHNET Route? |
|  |  |

## Project Benefits

| Project Cross-Section: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 35 |
| Length (miles): | 0.5 |
| Facility Type: | Arerial |
| Access Control: | Minor Arterial |
| Functional Classification: | Level |
| TerrainType: | 4 |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): |  |
| DOT Design Paved <br> Shoulder Width (ft): |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) <br> - SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) - <br> SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) - <br> DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| \% Change in Long-term Employment: |  |
| \% Change in Economy: |  |
| Future Interstate Completion Factor: |  |
| Does project upgrade how the roadway <br> functions? |  |
| Travel Time Savings/User: | Mes |
| In CTP or MTP? | Beaufort County CTP 2014 |
| CTP/MTP Name \& Year: |  |
| Submitted by: | Division 2 |
| Original Submitter: | RPO |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 4,101,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 1,323,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 0$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 5,424,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\$ 5,424,000$ |  |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Congestion/Safety/Congestion. This is a State Bike Route and East Coast Greenway route. Provide, manage, and maintain a safe, efficient, and sustainable transportation system for all modes, intended to serve all segments of the population.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary - Mobility

## US-17 (Future l-87)

From/Cross Street: US 64

To: Roanoke River Bridge
Length: 1.5

## Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

## Description:

Upgrade Arterial to Interstate Standards

## Division(s): Division 1

MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Mid-East RPO
Project Location


US-17 (Future I-87)
SPOT ID: H192972

## Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Raw } \\ \text { Value }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Scaled } \\ \text { value }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Congestion | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Volume/Capacity (SW 60\%, } \\ \text { REG 80\%, DIV 100\%) }\end{array}$ | 0.23 | 16.53 |
|  | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Volume (SW 40\%, REG 20\%, } \\ \text { DIV 0\%) }\end{array}$ |  |  |
|  | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Safety } \\ \text { (Senefit-Cost } \\ \text { (Segments) }\end{array}$ | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV (100\%) |  |  |$)$


| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Economic <br> Competitiveness | \% Change in Economy (50\%) |  |  |
|  | \% Change in Long-term jobs <br> $(50 \%)$ |  |  |
| Accessibility / <br> Connectivity | County Economic Indicator <br> $(50 \%)$ | 312 | 91.94 |
|  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time <br> Savings (50\%) |  |  |
| Freight | Truck Volume (50\%) | $1,488.15$ | 76.25 |
|  | Truck Percentage (50\%) | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| Multimodal | Multimodal Benefits | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Width | Lane Width Difference (100\%) |  | 0 |
| Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width <br> Difference (100\%) | 4 | 94.8 |
| Pavement <br> Condition | Pavement Condition Rating <br> $(100 \%)$ | 52 | 97 |

## Project Data*

Existing Conditions

| Existing Cross-Section: | 4 <br> Partial Control |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 51 |
| Length (miles): | 1.5 |
| Facility Type: | Arterial |
| Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Other Principal Arterial |
| Terrain Type: | 12 |
| Lane Width (ft): | 0 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | No |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | $11,928.29$ |
| Volume (AADT): | $13,123.64$ |
| Volume (PADT): | 1.1 |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | $43,821.44$ |
| Capacity (vpd): | 0.3 |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | $89 \%$ |
| \% Autos: | $11 \%$ |
| \% Trucks: | $1,364.6$ |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 26 |
| Total Crashes: | 0.58 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 5 |
| Crash Severity (seg): |  |
| Pavement Condition Rating: |  |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): |  |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita <br> Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |
| 12 Month Average Unemployment Rate <br> Rank: |  |
| Suntate Route? |  |

## Project Benefits

| Project Cross-Section: | 4A - 4 Lane Divided <br> (46\' Depressed <br> Median) with Paved <br> Shoulders |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 65 |
| Length (miles): | 1.5 |
| Facility Type: | Freeway |
| Access Control: | Full |
| Functional Classification: | Other Principal Arterial |
| TerrainType: | Level |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 12 |
| DOT Design Paved <br> Shoulder Width (ft): | 4 |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) <br> - SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) - <br> SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) - <br> DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| \% Change in Long-term Employment: |  |
| \% Change in Economy: | Did-East RPO (in P6) |
| Future Interstate Completion Factor: |  |
| Does project upgrade how the roadway <br> functions? |  |
| Travel Time Savings/User: | No |
| In CTP or MTP? |  |
| CTP/MTP Name \& Year: |  |
| Submitted by: | Original Submitter: |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 1 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 57,777,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 13,766,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 2,065,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 73,608,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\$ 73,608,000$ |  |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Improve mobility and safety
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary - Mobility

## NC-33

From/Cross Street: SR 1755 (Blackjack-Simpson Road)
To: SR 1760 (Mobleys Bridge Road)
Length: 5.6

## Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

## Description:

Widen existing section of NC 33 to 4-lane divided boulevard with paved shoulders. Improve select intersections and create partial access control at minor intersections improving safety: Replace bridge over Chicod Creek. Add a shared use path and crossing options
Division(s): Division 2
County(s): Pitt
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Greenville Urban Area MPO, Mid-East RPO

## Project Location



NC-33
SPOT ID: H170366

## Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW 60\%, <br> REG 80\%, DIV 100\%) | 0.52 | 51.08 |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG 20\%, <br> DIV 0\%) |  |  |
|  | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost <br> (REG/DIV) | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV (100\%) |  |  |
| Safety <br> (Segments) | Crash Density (20\%) | 2.99 | 0.74 |
|  | Crash Severity (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  |
| Safety <br> (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (30\%) |  |  |
|  | Severity Index (30\%) |  |  |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  |


| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Economic <br> Competitiveness | \% Change in Economy (50\%) |  |  |
|  | \% Change in Long-term jobs <br> $(50 \%)$ |  |  |
| Accessibility / <br> Connectivity | County Economic Indicator <br> $(50 \%)$ | 224 | 77.16 |
|  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time <br> Savings (50\%) |  |  |
| Freight | Truck Volume (50\%) | 208.48 | 11.71 |
|  | Truck Percentage (50\%) | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| Multimodal | Multimodal Benefits | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Width | Lane Width Difference (100\%) | 1 | 0 |
| Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width <br> Difference (100\%) | 1 | 0 |
| Pavement <br> Condition | Pavement Condition Rating <br> $(100 \%)$ | 7 | 29.43 |

## Project Data*

Existing Conditions

| Existing Cross-Section: | 2 Lane Undivided |
| :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 55 |
| Length (miles): | 5.6 |
| Facility Type: | Two Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Major Collector |
| Terrain Type: | Level |
| Lane Width (ft): | 12 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 0 |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | No |
| Volume (AADT): | 7,773.43 |
| Volume (PADT): | 8,108.38 |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | 1.04 |
| Capacity (vpd): | 19,200 |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | 0.42 |
| \% Autos: | 97\% |
| \% Trucks: | 3\% |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 209.11 |
| Total Crashes: | 253 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 2.75 |
| Crash Severity (seg): | 3.53 |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): | 0.88 |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |
| 12 Month Average Unemployment Rate Rank: |  |
| Sum County Rank: |  |
| Non-Interstate STRAHNET Route? | No |
| Future Interstate Route? | No |
| Pavement Condition Rating: |  |

## Project Benefits

| Project Cross-Section: | 4A - 4 Lane Divided <br> (46\' Depressed <br> Median) with Paved <br> Shoulders |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 55 |
| Length (miles): | 5.6 |
| Facility Type: | Arterial |
| Access Control: | Partial |
| Functional Classification: | Major Collector |
| TerrainType: | Level |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 2 |
| DOT Design Paved <br> Shoulder Width (ft): |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) <br> - SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) - <br> SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) - <br> DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| \% Change in Long-term Employment: |  |
| \% Change in Economy: |  |
| Future Interstate Completion Factor: |  |
| Does project upgrade how the roadway <br> functions? |  |
| Travel Time Savings/User: | Givision 2 |
| In CTP or MTP? | 2014 |
| CTP/MTP Name \& Year: |  |
| Submitted by: | Grenville Urban Area MPO <br> Original Submitter: |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Greenville Urban Area MPO | $52 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| Mid-East RPO | $48 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 110,153,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 51,105,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 7,666,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 168,924,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\mathbf{\$ 1 6 8 , 9 2 4 , 0 0 0}$ |  |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Capacity/Congestion. To reduce congestion and improve safety by adding capacity and a divided median. Also reduces travel time. Providing bicycle and pedestrian access for State Bike Route and East Coast Greenway re-route.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary - Mobility

## NC-43

From/Cross Street: Worthington Road
To: NC 102
Length: 9.08

Specific Improvement Type: 1 - Widen Existing Roadway
Project Category: Regional Impact
TIP\#:

## Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

Cost to NCDOT: \$212,707,000

## Description:

Widen roadway to 4-lane divided with 46 depressed median and paved shoulders. Bus stop improvements including shelters and benches or mobility hubs along the corridor shall be considered where appropriate.

Division(s): Division 2<br>County(s): Pitt

MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Mid-East RPO, Greenville Urban Area MPO
Project Location


NC-43

Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled value | Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW 60\%, | 0.52 | 51.08 | Economic Competitiveness | \% Change in Economy (50\%) |  |  |
|  | \|RE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG 20\%, DIV 0\%) |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (50\%) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  | Accessibility / Connectivity | County Economic Indicator (50\%) | 224 | 77.16 |
| Benefit-Cost (REG/DIV) | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV (100\%) |  |  |  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time Savings (50\%) |  |  |
| Safety (Segments) |  |  |  | Freight | Truck Volume (50\%) | 255.95 | 16.03 |
|  | Crash Density (20\%) | 2.8 | 0.74 |  | Truck Percentage (50\%) | \% | \% |
|  | Crash Severity (20\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (20\%) |  |  | Multimodal | Multimodal Benefits | 0 | 0 |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  | Lane Width | Lane Width Difference (100\%) |  | 0 |
| Safety (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (30\%) |  |  | Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width | 2 | 43.2 |
|  | Severity Index (30\%) |  |  |  | Difference (100\%) |  |  |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  | Pavement Condition | Pavement Condition Rating $(100 \%)$ | 25 | 72.25 |

## Project Data*

Existing Conditions

| Existing Cross-Section: | 2 Lane Undivided |
| :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 55 |
| Length (miles): | 9.08 |
| Facility Type: | Two Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Major Collector |
| Terrain Type: | Level |
| Lane Width (ft): | 11 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 1 |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | No |
| Volume (AADT): | 7,494.03 |
| Volume (PADT): | 8,243.63 |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | 1.1 |
| Capacity (vpd): | 19,200 |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | 0.43 |
| \% Autos: | 97\% |
| \% Trucks: | 3\% |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 260.79 |
| Total Crashes: | 341 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 2.99 |
| Crash Severity (seg): | 4.53 |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): | 0.97 |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |
| 12 Month Average Unemployment Rate Rank: |  |
| Sum County Rank: |  |
| Non-Interstate STRAHNET Route? | No |
| Future Interstate Route? | No |
| Pavement Condition Rating: | 99 |

## Project Benefits

| Project Cross-Section: | 4A - 4 Lane Divided <br> (46\' Depressed <br> Median) with Paved <br> Shoulders |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 65 |
| Length (miles): | 9.08 |
| Facility Type: | Multi-Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | Partial |
| Functional Classification: | Major Collector |
| TerrainType: | 12 |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 2 |
| DOT Design Paved <br> Shoulder Width (ft): |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) <br> - SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) - <br> SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) - <br> DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| \% Change in Long-term Employment: |  |
| \% Change in Economy: | Pitt County 2005 |
| Future Interstate Completion Factor: |  |
| Does project upgrade how the roadway <br> functions? |  |
| Travel Time Savings/User: | Pivision 2 |
| In CTP or MTP? | Res (in P6) |
| CTP/MTP Name \& Year: |  |
| Submitted by: | Original Submitter: |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-East RPO | $64 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| Greenville Urban Area MPO | $36 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 169,466,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 37,601,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 5,640,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 212,707,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\mathbf{2 1 2 , 7 0 7 , 0 0 0}$ |  |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Improve mobility
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary - Mobility

## US-17

From/Cross Street: US 64
Specific Improvement Type: 7 - Upgrade At-grade Intersection to Interchange or Grade Separation
To:
Project Category: Statewide Mobility
TIP\#:
Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No
Cost to NCDOT: $\$ 67,988,000$

## Description:

Construct interchange to allow mobility on future 187

Division(s): Division 1
County(s): Martin
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Mid-East RPO
Project Location


## Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW 60\%, <br> REG 80\%, DIV 100\%) | 0.17 | 10.38 |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG 20\%, <br> DIV 0\%) |  |  |
|  | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost <br> (REG/DIV) | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV (100\%) |  |  |
| Safety <br> (Segments) | Crash Density (20\%) |  | 0 |
|  | Crash Severity (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  |
| Safety <br> (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (30\%) |  |  |
|  | Severity Index (30\%) |  |  |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  |


| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Economic <br> Competitiveness | \% Change in Economy (50\%) |  |  |
|  | \% Change in Long-term jobs <br> $(50 \%)$ |  |  |
| Accessibility / <br> Connectivity | County Economic Indicator <br> $(50 \%)$ | 312 | 91.94 |
|  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time <br> Savings (50\%) |  |  |
| Multimodal | Truck Volume (50\%) | $2,807.68$ | 87.54 |
|  | Truck Percentage (50\%) | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| Lane Width | Multimodal Benefits | 0 | 0 |
| Shoulder Width | Pane Width Difference (100\%) |  |  |
| Difference (100\%) |  |  |  |

## Project Data*

Existing Conditions

| Existing Cross-Section: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 64 |
| Length (miles): | Freeway |
| Facility Type: | Full |
| Access Control: | Other Principal Arterial |
| Functional Classification: | Level |
| Terrain Type: | 12 |
| Lane Width (ft): | 0 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | No |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | $22,000.15$ |
| Volume (AADT): | $24,000.15$ |
| Volume (PADT): | 1.09 |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | $111,106.31$ |
| Capacity (vpd): | 0.22 |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | $86 \%$ |
| \% Autos: | $14 \%$ |
| \% Trucks: | $3,071.22$ |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 28 |
| Total Crashes: | 1.3 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 8.69 |
| Crash Severity (seg): | 1.16 |
| Puture Interstate Route? |  |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): |  |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita <br> Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |
| 12 Month Average Unemployment Rate <br> Rank: |  |
| Sum County Rank: | Rating: |
| Interstate STRAHNET Route? |  |
|  |  |

## Project Benefits

| Project Cross-Section: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 65 |
| Length (miles): | Freeway |
| Facility Type: | Limited |
| Access Control: | Other Principal Arterial |
| Functional Classification: | Level |
| TerrainType: | 12 |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): |  |
| DOT Design Paved <br> Shoulder Width (ft): |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) <br> - SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) - <br> SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) - <br> DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| \% Change in Long-term Employment: |  |
| \% Change in Economy: |  |
| Future Interstate Completion Factor: |  |
| Does project upgrade how the roadway <br> functions? |  |
| Travel Time Savings/User: | Division 1 (in P6) |
| In CTP or MTP? | CTP Martin County, 2018 |
| CTP/MTP Name \& Year: |  |
| Submitted by: |  |
| Original Submitter: |  |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 1 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 56,963,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 11,025,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 0$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 67,988,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\$ 67,988,000$ |  |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: US 17 is designated to be future I-87. To improve mobility and safety, and to meet the design criteria for Interstates, an upgrade of the intersection of US 17 and US 64 to an interchange is recommended.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary - Modernization

## US-17 (US 17)

From/Cross Street: US 64 Alt
To: Martin County/Bertie County Line, South end of Roanoke River Bridge
Length: 4.3
Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No
Description:
Upgrade US 17 to Interstate standards.

Division(s): Division 1 MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Mid-East RPO

Specific Improvement Type: 17 - Upgrade Freeway to Interstate Standards
Project Category: Statewide Mobility
TIP\#:
Cost to NCDOT: \$54,466,000

County(s): Martin

Project Location


## Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW 60\%, REG 80\%, DIV 100\%) |  |  |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG 20\%, DIV 0\%) |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost <br> (SW) | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost (REG/DIV) | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV (100\%) |  |  |
| Safety (Segments) | Crash Density (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Crash Severity (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  |
| Safety (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (30\%) |  |  |
|  | Severity Index (30\%) |  |  |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  |


| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Economic <br> Competitiveness | \% Change in Economy (50\%) |  |  |
|  | County Economic Indicator <br> $(50 \%)$ |  |  |
|  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time <br> Savings (50\%) |  |  |
| Freight | Truck Volume (50\%) |  |  |
| Truck Percentage (50\%) | $\%$ | $\%$ |  |
| Multimodal | Multimodal Benefits |  |  |
| Lane Width | Lane Width Difference (100\%) |  |  |
| Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width <br> Difference (100\%) |  |  |
| Pavement <br> Condition | Pavement Condition Rating <br> $(100 \%)$ |  |  |

## Project Data*

Existing Conditions

| Existing Cross-Section: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 59 |
| Length (miles): | 4.3 |
| Facility Type: | Freeway |
| Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Level |
| Terrain Type: | 12 |
| Lane Width (ft): | 0 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | No |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | $11,693.11$ |
| Volume (AADT): | $12,753.31$ |
| Volume (PADT): | 1.09 |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | $57,135.54$ |
| Capacity (vpd): | 0.22 |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | $86 \%$ |
| \% Autos: | $14 \%$ |
| \% Trucks: | $1,606.63$ |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 50 |
| Total Crashes: | 0.36 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 2.92 |
| Crash Severity (seg): | 0.33 |
| Pavement Condition Rating: |  |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): |  |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita <br> Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |
| $12 ~ M o n t h ~ A v e r a g e ~ U n e m p l o y m e n t ~ R a t e ~$ <br> Rank: |  |
| Sum County Rank: | Non-Interstate STRAHNET Route? |
|  |  |

## Project Benefits

| Project Cross-Section: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 65 |
| Length (miles): | 4.3 |
| Facility Type: | Freeway |
| Access Control: | Other Principal Arterial |
| Functional Classification: | Level |
| TerrainType: | 42 |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): |  |
| DOT Design Paved <br> Shoulder Width (ft): |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) <br> - SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) - <br> SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) - <br> DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| \% Change in Long-term Employment: |  |
| \% Change in Economy: | TBD |
| Future Interstate Completion Factor: |  |
| Does project upgrade how the roadway <br> functions? |  |
| Travel Time Savings/User: | Yes |
| In CTP or MTP? | Dartin County CTP 2018 |
| CTP/MTP Name \& Year: |  |
| Submitted by: | Original Submitter: |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 1 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 50,056,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 4,410,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 0$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 54,466,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\$ 54,466,000$ |  |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Upgrade facility to Interstate standards.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary - Mobility

## US-17

From/Cross Street: SR 1646 (Mile Road) in Craven County
To: SR 1130 (C.C. Road) in Beaufort County
Length: 3.95
Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

Specific Improvement Type: 1 - Widen Existing Roadway

Project Category: Statewide Mobility
TIP\#: R-2513D
Cost to NCDOT: $\$ 83,259,000$

## Description:

Widen to Multi-Lanes.

Division(s): Division 2
County(s): Craven, Beaufort
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Down East RPO, Mid-East RPO
Project Location


## Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW 60\%, <br> REG 80\%, DIV 100\%) | 0.42 | 38.79 |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG 20\%, <br> DIV 0\%) |  |  |
|  | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost <br> (REG/DIV) | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV (100\%) |  |  |
| Safety <br> (Segments) | Crash Density (20\%) | 0.99 | 0.74 |
|  | Crash Severity (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  |
| Safety <br> (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (30\%) |  |  |
|  | Severity Index (30\%) |  |  |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  |


| Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Economic Competitiveness | \% Change in Economy (50\%) |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% Change in Long-term jobs } \\ & (50 \%) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Accessibility / Connectivity | County Economic Indicator $(50 \%)$ | 208 | 68.69 |
|  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time Savings (50\%) |  |  |
| Freight | Truck Volume (50\%) | 729.28 | 54.24 |
|  | Truck Percentage (50\%) | \% | \% |
| Multimodal | Multimodal Benefits | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Width | Lane Width Difference (100\%) | 2 | 94.52 |
| Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width Difference (100\%) |  | 0 |
| Pavement Condition | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pavement Condition Rating } \\ & (100 \%) \end{aligned}$ | 20 | 61.85 |

## Project Data*

Existing Conditions

| Existing Cross-Section: | 2 Lane Undivided |
| :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 55 |
| Length (miles): | 3.95 |
| Facility Type: | Multi-Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Other Principal Arterial |
| Terrain Type: | Level |
| Lane Width (ft): | 12 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 0 |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | No |
| Volume (AADT): | 6,086.04 |
| Volume (PADT): | 6,786.04 |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | 1.12 |
| Capacity (vpd): | 19,200 |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | 0.35 |
| \% Autos: | 86\% |
| \% Trucks: | 14\% |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 835.61 |
| Total Crashes: | 68 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 1.16 |
| Crash Severity (seg): | 5.43 |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): | 0.55 |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |
| 12 Month Average Unemployment Rate Rank: |  |
| Sum County Rank: |  |
| Non-Interstate STRAHNET Route? | Yes |
| Future Interstate Route? | No |
| Pavement Condition Rating: |  |

## Project Benefits

| Project Cross-Section: | 4A - 4 Lane Divided <br> (46\' Depressed <br> Median) with Paved <br> Shoulders |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 55 |
| Length (miles): | 3.95 |
| Facility Type: | Multi-Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | Partial |
| Functional Classification: | Other Principal Arterial |
| TerrainType: | 12 |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 0 |
| DOT Design Paved <br> Shoulder Width (ft): |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) <br> SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) - <br> SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) - <br> DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| \% Change in Long-term Employment: |  |
| \% Change in Economy: |  |
| Future Interstate Completion Factor: |  |
| Does project upgrade how the roadway <br> functions? |  |
| Travel Time Savings/User: | Division 2 |
| In CTP or MTP? | Nown East RPO |
| CTP/MTP Name \& Year: |  |
| Submitted by: |  |
| Original Submitter: |  |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Down East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| Mid-East RPO | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 73,313,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 8,649,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 1,297,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 83,259,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\$ 83,259,000$ |  |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Capacity/Congestion. To reduce congestion and improve safety by adding capacity and a divided median. Also reduces travel time.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary - Mobility

## NC-11

From/Cross Street: NC 903 in Martin County
To: US 13 Bypass north of Ahoskie in Hertford County Length: 29.06

Specific Improvement Type: 1 - Widen Existing Roadway
Project Category: Regional Impact
TIP\#: R-2900

Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No
Cost to NCDOT: \$598,090,000

## Description:

Widen to Multi-Lanes

Division(s): Division 1
County(s): Bertie, Hertford, Martin
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Peanut Belt RPO, Mid-East RPO

## Project Location



NC-11

Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled value | Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW 60\%, | 0.38 | 34.39 | Economic Competitiveness | \% Change in Economy (50\%) |  |  |
|  | RE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG 20\%, DIV 0\%) |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (50\%) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  | Accessibility / Connectivity | County Economic Indicator (50\%) | 357 | 97.01 |
| Benefit-Cost (REG/DIV) | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV (100\%) |  |  |  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time Savings (50\%) |  |  |
| Safety (Segments) |  |  |  | Freight | Truck Volume (50\%) | 959.36 | 65.45 |
|  | Crash Density (20\%) | 0.9 | 0.74 |  | Truck Percentage (50\%) | \% | \% |
|  | Crash Severity (20\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (20\%) |  |  | Multimodal | Multimodal Benefits | 0 | 0 |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  | Lane Width | Lane Width Difference (100\%) | 2 | 94.52 |
| Safety (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (30\%) |  |  | Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width Difference (100\%) |  | 0 |
|  | Severity Index (30\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  | Pavement Condition | Pavement Condition Rating $(100 \%)$ | 23 | 67.67 |

## Project Data*

Existing Conditions

| Existing Cross-Section: | 2 Lane Undivided |
| :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 54 |
| Length (miles): | 29.06 |
| Facility Type: | Two Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | Partial |
| Functional Classification: | Minor Arterial |
| Terrain Type: | Level |
| Lane Width (ft): | 12 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 0 |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | No |
| Volume (AADT): | 5,921.71 |
| Volume (PADT): | 6,241.39 |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | 1.05 |
| Capacity (vpd): | 18,952.59 |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | 0.33 |
| \% Autos: | 82\% |
| \% Trucks: | 18\% |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 1,049.92 |
| Total Crashes: | 322 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 0.95 |
| Crash Severity (seg): | 6.76 |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): | 0.38 |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |
| 12 Month Average Unemployment Rate Rank: |  |
| Sum County Rank: |  |
| Non-Interstate STRAHNET Route? | No |
| Future Interstate Route? | No |
| Pavement Condition Rating: | 100 |

## Project Benefits

| Project Cross-Section: | 4A - 4 Lane Divided <br> (46\' Depressed <br> Median) with Paved <br> Shoulders |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 55 |
| Length (miles): | 29.06 |
| Facility Type: | Multi-Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | Limited |
| Functional Classification: | Minor Arterial |
| TerrainType: | 12 |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 2 |
| DOT Design Paved <br> Shoulder Width (ft): |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) <br> -SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) - <br> SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) - <br> DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| \% Change in Long-term Employment: |  |
| \% Change in Economy: |  |
| Future Interstate Completion Factor: |  |
| Does project upgrade how the roadway <br> functions? |  |
| Travel Time Savings/User: | Seanut Belt RPO |
| In CTP or MTP? | Bertie County CTP 2012 <br> Hertford County 2015 |
| CTP/MTP Name \& Year: |  |
| Submitted by: | Original Submitter: |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 1 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peanut Belt RPO | $92 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| Mid-East RPO | $8 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 575,743,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 19,432,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 2,915,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 598,090,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\$ 598,090,000$ |  |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Increase capacity, north-south connectivity, and improve safety. These will combine to improve living standards and commerce for this Tier 1 region.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary - Mobility

## I-87, US-17

From/Cross Street: US 64 at Williamston
To: US 13 North at Windsor
Length: 14.46

Specific Improvement Type: 2 - Upgrade Arterial to Freeway/Expressway
Project Category: Statewide Mobility
TIP\#:

## Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

Cost to NCDOT: $\$ 550,728,000$

## Description:

Upgrade roadway to Interstate. By improving the current major thoroughfare to an interstate, the project is intended to improve mobility, connectivity, as well as encouraging economic development. In conjunction with these improvements, the safety along the corridor should increase as access is more appropriately managed.
Division(s): Division 1
County(s): Bertie, Martin
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Peanut Belt RPO, Mid-East RPO

## Project Location



I-87, US-17
SPOT ID: H141724

## Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Raw } \\ \text { Value }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Scaled } \\ \text { value }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Congestion | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Volume/Capacity (SW 60\%, } \\ \text { REG 80\%, DIV 100\%) }\end{array}$ | 0.18 | 11.63 |
|  | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Volume (SW 40\%, REG 20\%, } \\ \text { DIV 0\%) }\end{array}$ |  |  |
|  | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Senefit-Cost } \\ \text { (REG/DIV) } \\ \text { (Segmenty }\end{array}$ | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV (100\%) |  |  |$)$


| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Economic <br> Competitiveness | \% Change in Economy (50\%) <br> \% Change in Long-term jobs <br> $(50 \%)$ |  |  |
|  | County Economic Indicator <br> $(50 \%)$ | 357 | 97.01 |
|  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time <br> Savings (50\%) |  |  |
| Freight | Truck Volume (50\%) | $1,560.17$ | 77.33 |
|  | Truck Percentage (50\%) | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| Multimodal | Multimodal Benefits | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Width | Lane Width Difference (100\%) | 1 | 0 |
| Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width <br> Difference (100\%) | 4 | 94.8 |
| Pavement <br> Condition | Pavement Condition Rating <br> $(100 \%)$ | 32 | 82.03 |

## Project Data*

Existing Conditions

| Existing Cross-Section: | 4 Lane with Median - <br> Partial Control |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 55 |
| Length (miles): | 14.46 |
| Facility Type: | Multi-Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Other Principal Arterial |
| Terrain Type: | Level |
| Lane Width (ft): | 10 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 0 |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | No |
| Volume (AADT): | $11,944.82$ |
| Volume (PADT): | $12,412.77$ |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | 1.04 |
| Capacity (vpd): | $57,162.14$ |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | 0.22 |
| \% Autos: | $86 \%$ |
| \% Trucks: | $14 \%$ |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | $1,632.86$ |
| Total Crashes: | 271 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 0.63 |
| Crash Severity (seg): |  |
| Future Interstate Route? |  |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): |  |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capdition Rating: <br> Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |
| 12 Month Average Unemployment Rate <br> Rank: |  |
| Rank: |  |

## Project Benefits

| Project Cross-Section: | 4A - 4 Lane Divided <br> (46\' Depressed <br> Median) with Paved <br> Shoulders |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 45 |
| Length (miles): | 14.46 |
| Facility Type: | Multi-Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | Limited |
| Functional Classification: | Other Principal Arterial |
| TerrainType: | 12 |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 4 |
| DOT Design Paved <br> Shoulder Width (ft): |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) <br> - SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) - <br> SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) - <br> DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| \% Change in Long-term Employment: |  |
| \% Change in Economy: | Peanut Belt RPO |
| Future Interstate Completion Factor: |  |
| Does project upgrade how the roadway <br> functions? |  |
| Travel Time Savings/User: | Yes |
| In CTP or MTP? | Co CTP 2011 |
| CTP/MTP Name \& Year: | Peart RPO Bertie |
| Submitted by: | Original Submitter: |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 1 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peanut Belt RPO | $90 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| Mid-East RPO | $10 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 496,128,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 47,478,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 7,122,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 550,728,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\$ 550,728,000$ |  |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: To provide interstate facility for a portion of Future I-87 connecting Raleigh NC to Norfolk VA
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary - Mobility

| SPOT ID: H231419 | Mode: Highway | Status: Submitted |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
|  | NC-11 |  |
| From/Cross Street: SR 1108 Littlefield Rd | Specific Improvement Type: 10-Improve Intersection |  |
| To: | Project Category: Regional Impact |  |
| Length: 0.5 | TIP\#: H-141004 |  |
| Fully Funded in Draft STIP? | No | Cost to NCDOT: \$0 |
| Description: |  |  |

Convert existing signalized intersection to a RCl

Division(s): Division 2
County(s): Pitt
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Greenville Urban Area MPO, Mid-East RPO
Project Location


NC-11

Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled value | Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW 60\%, REG 80\%, DIV 100\%) |  |  | Economic Competitiveness | \% Change in Economy (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG 20\%, DIV 0\%) |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { \% Change in Long-term jobs } \\ (50 \%) \end{array}$ |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost (SW) | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  | Accessibility / Connectivity | County Economic Indicator (50\%) |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost (REG/DIV) | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV (100\%) |  |  |  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time Savings (50\%) |  |  |
| Safety (Segments) | Crash Density (20\%) |  |  | Freight | Truck Volume (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Crash Severity (20\%) |  |  |  | Truck Percentage (50\%) | \% | \% |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (20\%) |  |  | Multimodal | Multimodal Benefits |  |  |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  | Lane Width | Lane Width Difference (100\%) |  |  |
| Safety (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (30\%) |  |  | Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width Difference (100\%) |  |  |
|  | Severity Index (30\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  | Pavement Condition | Pavement Condition Rating (100\%) |  |  |

## Project Data*

| Existing Conditions |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Existing Cross-Section: |  |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 55 |
| Length (miles): | 0.5 |
| Facility Type: | Multi-Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Lever Principal Arterial |
| Terrain Type: | 12 |
| Lane Width (ft): | 0 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | No |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | $27,771.99$ |
| Volume (AADT): | $29,530.5$ |
| Volume (PADT): | 1.06 |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | $86,667.8$ |
| Capacity (vpd): | 0.34 |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | $95 \%$ |
| \% Autos: | $5 \%$ |
| \% Trucks: | $1,521.91$ |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | No |
| Total Crashes: | 1.7 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 3.26 |
| Crash Severity (seg): | 0.6 |
| Pavement Condition Rating: |  |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): |  |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita |  |
| Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |
| 12 <br> Rank: Month Average Unemployment Rate |  |
| Rum County Rank: |  |


| Project Cross-Section: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 60 |
| Length (miles): | 0.5 |
| Facility Type: | Pulti-Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | Other Principal Arterial |
| Functional Classification: | Level |
| TerrainType: | 42 |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): |  |
| DOT Design Paved <br> Shoulder Width (ft): |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) <br> - SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) - <br> SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) - <br> DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| \% Change in Long-term Employment: |  |
| \% Change in Economy: |  |
| Future Interstate Completion Factor: |  |
| Does project upgrade how the roadway <br> functions? |  |
| Travel Time Savings/User: | Pes |
| In CTP or MTP? | Pitt County |
| CTP/MTP Name \& Year: |  |
| Submitted by: |  |
| Original Submitter: |  |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Greenville Urban Area MPO | $56 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| Mid-East RPO | $44 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | \#Error |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | \#Error |  |
| Utilities Cost: | \#Error |  |
| Total Project Cost: | \#Error |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\$ 0$ |  |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Would make intersection safer by eliminating left turns out of side road.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary - Mobility

## NC- (11)

From/Cross Street: NC 148 (Felix Harvey Pkwy)

To: NC 11 Bypass (South West Bypass)
Length: 28.13

Specific Improvement Type: 3 - Upgrade Expressway to Freeway
Project Category: Regional Impact
TIP\#: R-5815

## Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

Cost to NCDOT: $\$ 644,460,000$

## Description:

Upgrade Expressway to Freeway (some on new location)
Division(s): Division $2 \quad$ County(s): Pitt, Lenoir

MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Eastern Carolina RPO, Mid-East RPO, Greenville Urban Area MPO
Project Location


NC- (11)
SPOT ID: H231221

## Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW 60\%, <br> REG 80\%, DIV 100\%) |  |  |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG 20\%, <br> DIV 0\%) |  |  |
|  | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost <br> (REG/DIV) | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV (100\%) |  |  |
| Safety <br> (Segments) | Crash Density (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Crash Severity (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (20\%) |  |  |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  |
| Safety <br> (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (30\%) |  |  |
|  | Severity Index (30\%) |  |  |
|  | Safety Benefit (40\%) |  |  |


| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Economic <br> Competitiveness | \% Change in Economy (50\%) <br> \% Change in Long-term jobs <br> $(50 \%)$ |  |  |
|  | County Economic Indicator <br> $(50 \%)$ |  |  |
|  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time <br> Savings (50\%) |  |  |
| Freight | Truck Volume (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Truck Percentage (50\%) | \% | $\%$ |
| Multimodal | Multimodal Benefits |  |  |
| Lane Width | Lane Width Difference (100\%) |  |  |
| Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width <br> Difference (100\%) |  |  |
| Pavement <br> Condition | Pavement Condition Rating <br> $(100 \%)$ |  |  |

## Project Data*

Existing Conditions

| Existing Cross-Section: | 2 Lane Undivided |
| :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 51 |
| Length (miles): | 28.13 |
| Facility Type: | Two Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Local |
| Terrain Type: | Level |
| Lane Width (ft): | 10 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 0 |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | No |
| Volume (AADT): | 9,116.83 |
| Volume (PADT): | 9,685.15 |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | 1.06 |
| Capacity (vpd): | 36,253.29 |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | 0.27 |
| \% Autos: | 94\% |
| \% Trucks: | 6\% |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 591.68 |
| Total Crashes: | 467 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 0.94 |
| Crash Severity (seg): | 2.45 |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): | 0.28 |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |
| 12 Month Average Unemployment Rate Rank: |  |
| Sum County Rank: |  |
| Non-Interstate STRAHNET Route? | No |
| Future Interstate Route? | No |
| Pavement Condition Rating: | 88 |

## Project Benefits

| Project Cross-Section: | 4A - 4 Lane Divided <br> (46\' Depressed <br> Median) with Paved <br> Shoulders |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 65 |
| Length (miles): | 28.13 |
| Facility Type: | Multi-Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | Full |
| Functional Classification: | Other Principal Arterial |
| TerrainType: | Level |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 12 |
| DOT Design Paved <br> Shoulder Width (ft): | 4 |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) <br> - SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) - <br> SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) - <br> DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| \% Change in Long-term Employment: |  |
| \% Change in Economy: | Yivision 2 |
| Future Interstate Completion Factor: |  |
| Does project upgrade how the roadway <br> functions? |  |
| Travel Time Savings/User: | Yes |
| In CTP or MTP? | Lenoir County 2018 |
| CTP/MTP Name \& Year: |  |
| Submitted by: | Original Submitter: |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eastern Carolina RPO | $49 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| Mid-East RPO | $30 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| Greenville Urban Area MPO | $21 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 527,242,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 101,929,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 15,289,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 644,460,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\$ 644,460,000$ |  |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: This project would bring NC11 to Freeway standards connecting to two existing Freeways leading to the GTP in Kinston.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.



## PUBLIC TRANSIT

PUBLIC TRANSIT PROJECTS SUBMITTED IN PRIORITIZATION 7.0
Mid-EAST RURAL PLANNING ORGANIZATION



## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary



## Project Location



Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled Value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Impact | Number of Trips Affected by <br> Project (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Demand/Density | Hours Per Capita Serviced (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Efficiency | Utilization Ratio (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Cost Effectiveness | Cost Effectiveness Value (100\%) | 0 | 0 |

## Project Data

## Data:

| Project Local ID: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Included in Plan? | No |
| Name and Year of Plan: |  |
| Type of Vehicle: | 0 |
| Number of Vehicles Requested for <br> Project: | I |
| Vehicles used for Complementary <br> ADA: | No |
| Transit System Legal Name: | Beaufort County <br> Developmental <br> Center, Inc. |
| Contact Person: | Rhonda Suggs |
| Contact Phone Number: | $(252) 946-5778$ |
| Contact Email Address: | rsuggs@bcdcsolutio <br> ns.org |
| State Share: | 10 |
| Local Share: | 10 |
| Federal Share: | 0 |
| Other Share: | 0 |
| Additional Annual Trips: | 0 |
| Additional Annual Hours: | 0 |
| Existing Annual Hours for System: | 0 |
| Vehicle Utilization Ratio: | 0 |
| Lifespan of Project: | 0 |
| Service Area Population: | 0 |
| Project Sponsor (Organization): | Beaufort Area |
| Transit |  |

Project Cost:

| Preliminary Engineering / <br> Design Cost: | $\$ 0$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 0$ |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 0$ |
| Construction Cost: | $\$ 60,000$ |
| Total Project Cost <br> (including PE/Deign) - <br> used for required match: | $\$ 60,000$ |
| Total Project Cost <br> (without PE/Design) - <br> used for scoring: | $\$ 60,000$ |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ |
| Other Funding Source(s): | Cost to NCDOT:$\$ 60,000$ |
| Source of Cost <br> Estimation: | Beaufort Area Transit System |

## Project Ownership

Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Division Points | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-East RPO | 100 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total MPO/RPO Points | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Improve mobility. Goal is to provide safe reliable effective accessible transportation at an affordable cost. BATS provides 5 demand response daily routes in Beaufort County. Other daily routes include dialysis medicals senior trips blind center trips employment trips and RGP\'s. Future hour \& trip growth was estimated based on average annual growth in trips from 2012-2016 (-2\%) and average annual growth in hours from 2012-2016 (+12\%). The annual result was multiplied by 10 for 10 yr growth.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary

## Beaufort Area Transit System Administrative Office - Brick

Location: Beaufort County
Specific Improvement Type: 8 - Facility - Administrative
Project Category: Division Needs
TIP\#:
Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No
Cost to NCDOT: $\$ 300,000$

## Description:

Construct a 2560 square foot brick building to serve as the administration facility for Beaufort Area Transit System.

```
Division(s) : Division 2
County(s) : Beaufort
```

MPO(s)/RPO(s) : Mid-East RPO
Project Location


Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled Value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Impact | Number of Trips Affected by <br> Project (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Demand/Density | Ridership Growth Trend for the <br> Previous 5 Years (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Efficiency | Efficiency Value (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Cost Effectiveness | Cost Effectiveness Value (100\%) | 0 | 0 |

## Project Data

## Data:

| Project Local ID: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Included in Plan? | No |
| Name and Year of Plan: |  |
| New Facility or Expansion of Existing |  |
| Transit System Legal Name: | Beaufort County Developmental Center, Inc. |
| Contact Person: | Rhonda Suggs |
| Contact Phone Number: | (252) 946-5778 |
| Contact Email Address: | rsuggs@bcdcsolutio ns.org |
| State Share: | 10 |
| Local Share: | 10 |
| Federal Share: | 0 |
| Other Share: | 0 |
| Existing Annual Trips at Facility: | 0 |
| Additional Annual Trips: | 0 |
| Total Annual Trips (With Project): | 0 |
| Total Square Footage of Facility with Project: | 0 |
| Total FTE of Facility with Project: | 0 |
| \# Vehicles at Facility with Project: | 0 |
| \# Bays at Facility with Project: | 0 |
| Lifespan of Project: | 0 |
| Service Area Population: | 0 |
| System Ridership 2012: | 0 |
| System Ridership 2013: | 0 |
| System Ridership 2014: | 0 |


| System Ridership 2015: | 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| System Ridership 2016: | 0 |
| Number of Stops / <br> Shelters Requested for <br> Project: | 0 |
| Number of Park and Ride <br> Lots Requested for <br> Project: | 0 |
| Total Number of Park and <br> Ride Spaces Requested <br> for Project: | 0 |
| Project Sponsor <br> (Organization): | Beaufort Area Transit |
| Submitted by: | Mid-East RPO |
| Original Submitter: | Mid-East RPO |

## Project Cost:

| Preliminary Engineering / <br> Design Cost: | $\$ 0$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 0$ |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 0$ |
| Construction Cost: | $\$ 300,000$ |
| Total Project Cost <br> (including PE/Deign) - <br> used for required match: | $\$ 300,000$ |
| Total Project Cost <br> (without PE/Design) - <br> used for scoring: | $\$ 300,000$ |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ |
| Other Funding Source(s): | Cost to NCDOT: |
| Source of Cost <br> Estimation: | Beaufort Area Transit System |

Project Ownership
Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Division Points | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-East RPO | 100 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total MPO/RPO Points | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Increase Revenue. Future trip growth was based on 29000 trips per year (FY 16-17 data) and steps in submittal guidance for administrative facility additional trip values were followed.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary

Beaufort Area Transit System Maintenance Facility
Location: Beaufort County
Specific Improvement Type: 9 - Facility - Maintenance Project Category: Division Needs
TIP\#:
Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No
Cost to NCDOT: \$60,000

## Description:

Construct a covered maintenance shelter for vehicle maintenance and repairs including a wash area electricity water and a locked storage area.
Division(s) : Division 2
County(s) : Beaufort

MPO(s)/RPO(s) : Mid-East RPO
Project Location


Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled Value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Impact | Number of Trips Affected by <br> Project (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Demand/Density | Ridership Growth Trend for the <br> Previous 5 Years (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Efficiency | Efficiency Value (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Cost Effectiveness | Cost Effectiveness Value (100\%) | 0 | 0 |

## Project Data

## Data:

| Project Local ID: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Included in Plan? | No |
| Name and Year of Plan: |  |
| New Facility or Expansion of <br> Existing | Rhonda Suggs <br> Transit System Legal Name: <br> Cevelopmental |
| Contact Person: | $(252) ~ 946-5778$ |
| Contact Phone Number: | rsuggs@bcdcsolutio <br> ns.org |
| Contact Email Address: | 10 |
| State Share: | 10 |
| Local Share: | 0 |
| Federal Share: | 0 |
| Other Share: | 0 |
| Existing Annual Trips at Facility: | 0 |
| Additional Annual Trips: | 0 |
| Total Annual Trips (With Project): | 0 |
| Total Square Footage of Facility <br> with Project: | 0 |
| Total FTE of Facility with Project: | 0 |
| \# Vehicles at Facility with Project: | 0 |
| \# Bays at Facility with Project: | 0 |
| Lifespan of Project: | 0 |
| Service Area Population: | 0 |
| System Ridership 2012: | 0 |
| System Ridership 2013: | 0 |
| System Ridership 2014: |  |
|  | Beanty |
|  |  |


| System Ridership 2015: | 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| System Ridership 2016: | 0 |
| Number of Stops / <br> Shelters Requested for <br> Project: | 0 |
| Number of Park and Ride <br> Lots Requested for <br> Project: | 0 |
| Total Number of Park and <br> Ride Spaces Requested <br> for Project: | 0 |
| Project Sponsor <br> (Organization): | Beaufort Area Transit |
| Submitted by: | Mid-East RPO |
| Original Submitter: | Mid-East RPO |

## Project Cost:

| Preliminary Engineering / <br> Design Cost: | $\$ 0$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 0$ |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 0$ |
| Construction Cost: | $\$ 60,000$ |
| Total Project Cost <br> (including PE/Deign) - <br> used for required match: | $\$ 60,000$ |
| Total Project Cost <br> (without PE/Design) - <br> used for scoring: | $\$ 60,000$ |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ |
| Other Funding Source(s): |  |
| Cost to NCDOT: | $\$ 60,000$ |
| Source of Cost <br> Estimation: | Beaufort Area Transit System |

Project Ownership
Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Division Points | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-East RPO | 100 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total MPO/RPO Points | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Improve service delivery. Future trips were based on 29000 trips per year (FY 16-17 data) and the instructions for maintenance facility additional trips in the submittal guidance was followed.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary

## Martin County Transit Bus Stops

Location: Martin County. Locations: Walmart Piggly Wiggly Downtown Roanoke Landing Food Lion MC Farmer\'s Market in Williamston and Food Lion Downtown in Robersonville.

Specific Improvement Type: 6 - Facility - Stop/Shelter
Project Category: Division Needs
TIP\#:
Cost to NCDOT: $\$ 60,000$
Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

## Description:

Install 8 bus stops with benches and shelters.
Division(s) : Division $1 \quad$ County(s) : Martin

MPO(s)/RPO(s) : Mid-East RPO

## Project Location

Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled Value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Impact | Number of Trips Affected by <br> Project (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Demand/Density | Ridership Growth Trend for the <br> Previous 5 Years (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Efficiency | Efficiency Value (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Cost Effectiveness | Cost Effectiveness Value (100\%) | 0 | 0 |

## Project Data

## Data:

| Project Local ID: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Included in Plan? | No |
| Name and Year of Plan: |  |
| New Facility or Expansion of Existing |  |
| Transit System Legal Name: | Martin County |
| Contact Person: | Angela Ellis |
| Contact Phone Number: | (252) 789-4400 |
| Contact Email Address: | angela.ellis@martinc ountyncgov.com |
| State Share: | 10 |
| Local Share: | 10 |
| Federal Share: | 0 |
| Other Share: | 0 |
| Existing Annual Trips at Facility: | 0 |
| Additional Annual Trips: | 0 |
| Total Annual Trips (With Project): | 0 |
| Total Square Footage of Facility with Project: | 0 |
| Total FTE of Facility with Project: | 0 |
| \# Vehicles at Facility with Project: | 0 |
| \# Bays at Facility with Project: | 0 |
| Lifespan of Project: | 0 |
| Service Area Population: | 0 |
| System Ridership 2012: | 0 |
| System Ridership 2013: | 0 |
| System Ridership 2014: | 0 |


| System Ridership 2015: | 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| System Ridership 2016: | 0 |
| Number of Stops / <br> Shelters Requested for <br> Project: | 0 |
| Number of Park and Ride <br> Lots Requested for <br> Project: | 0 |
| Total Number of Park and <br> Ride Spaces Requested <br> for Project: | 0 |
| Project Sponsor <br> (Organization): | Martin County Transit |
| Submitted by: | Mid-East RPO |
| Original Submitter: | Mid-East RPO |

## Project Cost:

| Preliminary Engineering / <br> Design Cost: | $\$ 0$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 0$ |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 0$ |
| Construction Cost: | $\$ 60,000$ |
| Total Project Cost <br> (including PE/Deign) - <br> used for required match: | $\$ 60,000$ |
| Total Project Cost <br> (without PE/Design) - <br> used for scoring: | $\$ 60,000$ |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ |
| Other Funding Source(s): |  |
| Cost to NCDOT: | $\$ 60,000$ |
| Source of Cost <br> Estimation: | Martin County Transit |

## Project Ownership

Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 1 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Division Points | 0 | 0 | 0 |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-East RPO | 100 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total MPO/RPO Points | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Improve Service Delivery. Trips have been declining by an avg of -8\% per year from 2012-2016. This project hopes to reverse that trend. Research shows that bus stop accommodations increase ridership. A modest $2 \%$ annual growth rate is assumed for the 10 year growth projection as a result of the bus stop projects.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.




RAIL PROJECTS SUBMITTED IN PRIORITIZATION 7.0



## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary

SPOT ID: R231444
Mode: Rail
Status: Submitted

## OP: Carolina Coastal Railway (CLNA) | BR: Norfolk Southern (NS)

Location: Owner: NS
Operator: CLNA
Type: Bridge
Location: NS 25.36 to NS 25.52 over Runyon
Creek (Beaufort County)
Major Connections: CSX Lines, NS Line

Specific Improvement Type: 2 - Freight rail facility improvement or construction (point)
Project Category: Statewide Mobility
TIP \#:

Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No
Cost to NCDOT: \$0
Description:
Replace deteriorated rail bridge over Runyon Creek in Beaufort County. NS 25.36 to NS 25.52.
Division(s) : Division 2 County(s) : Beaufort
MPO(s)/RPO(s) : Mid-East RPO

## Project Location



Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled Value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Benefit-Cost | Benefit-Cost Value (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| System Opportunities | Accessibility/Connectivity Value (50\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Multimodal Value (50\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Safety | Safety Value (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Volume/Capacity Value (75\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Highway Diversion Value (25\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Economic Competitiveness | Economic Competitiveness Value (100\%) | 0 | 0 |

## Project Data

## Data:

| Project Local ID: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Included in Plan? | No |
| Name and Year of Plan: |  |
| Right-of-Way Owner: | Norfolk Southern <br> (NS) |
| Rail Operator: | Carolina Coastal <br> Railway (CLNA) |
| Submitted by: | Mid-East RPO |
| Original Submitter: | Mid-East RPO |

Project Cost:

| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 0$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 0$ |
| Construction Cost: | $\$ 0$ |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 0$ |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ |
| Other Funding Source(s): |  |
| Cost to NCDOT: | $\$ 0$ |
| Source of Cost <br> Estimation: | Not Available |

Project Ownership

| Division |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| Division 2 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Division Points | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| MPO/RPO |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| Mid-East RPO | 100 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total MPO/RPO Points | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Existing rail bridge over Runyon Creek is aged, and partially collapsed several years ago during crossing. Replacement of bridge would mitigate safety issues and provide reliable crossing for locomotives.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary

## OP: CSX | BR: CSX AB, CSX ABC

Location: Owner: CSX AB Line, CSX ABC Line Operator: CSX
From: AB 121.1, ABC 135.24
To: AB 135.24, ABC 186.5

Specific Improvement Type: 7-Corridor modernization (line)
Project Category: Statewide Mobility TIP \#:

## Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

## Cost to NCDOT: \$0

## Description:

Replacement of legacy signal crossing controllers (PMD-1s \& 2s) on the Tarboro Sub from Rocky Mount (AB121.1) to Tarboro (AB/ABC-135.24) to Plymouth (ABC-186.5) by upgrading to the most current PMD units at approximately 9 locations.
Division(s) : Division 1, Division 4, Division 2 County(s) : Martin, Edgecombe, Pitt, Washington MPO(s)/RPO(s) : Mid-East RPO, Upper Coastal Plain RPO, Rocky Mount Urban Area MPO

## Project Location



Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled Value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Benefit-Cost | Benefit-Cost Value (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| System Opportunities | Accessibility/Connectivity Value (50\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Multimodal Value (50\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Safety | Safety Value (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Capacity and Diversion | Volume/Capacity Value (75\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Highway Diversion Value (25\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Economic Competitiveness | Economic Competitiveness Value (100\%) | 0 | 0 |

## Project Data

## Data:

| Project Local ID: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Included in Plan? | No |
| Name and Year of Plan: |  |
| Right-of-Way Owner: | CSX AB Line, CSX <br> ABC Line |
| Rail Operator: | CSX |
| Submitted by: | Mid-East RPO |
| Original Submitter: | Mid-East RPO |

Project Cost:

| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 0$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 0$ |
| Construction Cost: | $\$ 0$ |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 0$ |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ |
| Other Funding Source(s): |  |
| Cost to NCDOT: | $\$ 0$ |
| Source of Cost <br> Estimation: | Not Available |

Project Ownership

| Division |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| Division 1 | 54 | 0 | 0 |
| Division 4 | 36 | 0 | 0 |
| Division 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Division Points | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |


| MPO/RPO |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |  |
| Mid-East RPO | 64 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Upper Coastal Plain RPO | 24 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Rocky Mount Urban Area MPO | 11 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total MPO/RPO Points | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |  |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Modernization \& Safety. This motion detector signal equipment will improve highway-rail atgrade crossing operations along the corridor.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.

## NCDOT Prioritization 7.0 Project Summary

## OP: Multiple | BR: Norfolk Southern (NS)

Location: Owner: Norfolk Southern (NS)
Operator: Multiple
From: Greenville
To Raleigh

Specific Improvement Type: 5 - Passenger rail service (line)
Project Category: Statewide Mobility
TIP \#:

## Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

## Cost to NCDOT: \$0

## Description:

Upgrade rail infrastructure to support new passenger service from Greenville to Raleigh via Wilson on the NS Line. Project includes necessary infrastructure, stations, and passenger equipment to begin service with three round-trips per day.
Division(s) : Division 4, Division 5, Division $2 \quad$ County(s) : Wake, Wilson, Pitt, Nash
MPO(s)/RPO(s) : Upper Coastal Plain RPO, Capital Area MPO, Greenville Urban Area MPO
Project Location


Criteria Measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled Value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Benefit-Cost | Benefit-Cost Value (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| System Opportunities | Accessibility/Connectivity Value (50\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Multimodal Value (50\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Safety | Safety Value (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Capacity and Diversion | Volume/Capacity Value (75\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Highway Diversion Value (25\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Economic Competitiveness | Economic Competitiveness Value (100\%) | 0 | 0 |

## Project Data

## Data:

| Project Local ID: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Included in Plan? | No |
| Name and Year of Plan: |  |
| Right-of-Way Owner: | Norfolk Southern <br> (NS) |
| Rail Operator: | Multiple |
| Submitted by: | Mid-East RPO |
| Original Submitter: | Mid-East RPO |

Project Cost:

| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 0$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 0$ |
| Construction Cost: | $\$ 0$ |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 0$ |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ |
| Other Funding Source(s): |  |
| Cost to NCDOT: | $\$ 0$ |
| Source of Cost <br> Estimation: | Not Available |

Project Ownership

| Division |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| Division 4 | 40 | 0 | 0 |
| Division 5 | 32 | 0 | 0 |
| Division 2 | 28 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Division Points | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |


| MPO/RPO |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| Upper Coastal Plain RPO | 57 | 0 | 0 |
| Capital Area MPO | 33 | 0 | 0 |
| Greenville Urban Area MPO | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| Total MPO/RPO Points | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |

## Project Purpose and Identified Needs

Primary Purpose: Project would provide passenger rail service from Greenville to Raleigh. This would improve mobility and economic competitiveness.
Note that if the project has been submitted for P7.0 scoring, a separate Identified Needs form will be completed. Please reference this form for more information on the project's needs, justification, and solution.


[^0]:    SR-1509 (New Route - Aerospace Industrial Park Road)

